arXiv:math/9807124v1 [math.KT] 22 Jul 1998

Non Commutative Geometry Methods for Group C*-algebras

Do Ngoc Diep

Author address:

Institute of Mathematics, National Center for Natural Science and Technology, P. O. Box 631, Bo Ho 10.000, Hanoi, Vietnam
E-mail address: `dndiep@thevinh.ncst.ac.vn`

Acknowledgment. This work was partially supported by the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy, partially by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation of Germany and partially by the National Fundamental Science Foundation of Vietnam.

Abstract. This book is intended to provide a quick introduction to the subject. The exposition is scheduled in the sequence, as possible for more understanding for beginners. The author exposed a K-theoretic approach to study group C*-algebras: started in the elementary part, with one example of description of the structure of C*-algebra of the group of affine transformations of the real straight line, continued then for some special classes of solvable and nilpotent Lie groups. In the second advanced part, he introduced the main tools of the theory. In particular, the conception of multidimensional geometric quantization and the index of group C*-algebras were created and developed.

Preface

The main idea to create this book is to provide a quick introduction to the subject from the lowest level of beginners up to the actual research work level of working researchers. Certainly, we can not collect all together. So that we must do some choice. Our main choice is based on experiences with our research group on "K-theory, Harmonic Analysis and Mathematical Physics". From the teaching experience with postgraduate students in our group, we decide to expose the material in such a sequence that, any time the reader can stop over to take out the corresponding research problem. This means also that in the introduction, which is reserved to the experts, we introduce the main ideas. Perhaps these readers need not to read in detail the rest of the book. Other readers are the beginners. They need to start from some very concrete examples to illustrate the main ideas. So they should read from the part one. They could stop at the end of this part to do by themselves some research for other classes of examples. The third possibility is reserved to the readers who need to known only the results, what are new in the general theory. They could read the introduction chapter and then go directly to the part II of the advanced theory. And finally, for the fourth class of readers who want known all in the subject. They should read the chapters in the same sequence as scheduled in the book. We hope that many of readers could take contribution in perfecting the theory to solve the problem which is very important from the point of view of theory and of applications in physics and in mathematics.

The author is very much indebted to his teacher, Prof. Dr. A. A. Kirillov, for introducing him to the subject. Prof. Dr. G. G. Kasparov discussed with him many times on the subject during 1977. After returned from Moscow University (in 1977) he was happy to meet Prof. Dr. P. Cartier, who has totally supported him to develop research in this domain and arranged for him a one-year visit (1983) at IHES Bures s/Yvette France, where he could work with Prof. Dr. A. Connes. Discussions with Prof. Dr. A. Connes were not so much, but by which the author has believed some close relation of the subject with Non Commutative Geometry. Prof. Dr. A. Bak and Prof. Dr. J. Cuntz provided him a possibility to work in Germany as a Humboldt fellow (1991-1993). By the way, he could work in the nice conditions of Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and German universities Bielefeld and Heidelberg. Prof. Dr. K. H. Hofmann arranged for him some visits to Technische Hochschule Darmstadt as visiting C3 professor and completely supported him in research. During this time and especially during the time when the author prepared 3 invited Lectures for annual session (Summer 1993) of the "Seminar Sophus Lie", the idea about a book on the subject was appeared. The International Centre for Theoretical Physics has agreed to provide him a scientific stay (August - October 1996) to support his project to complete writing this book.

It is a great pleasure for the author to express the deep and sincere thanks to them and the institutions for these important, effective and productive help and support.

Author

Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction 7

1. The Scope and an Example 7
2. Multidimensional Orbit Methods 14
3. KK-theory Invariant $IndexC^*(G)$ 21
4. Deformation Quantization and Cyclic Theories 27
5. Bibliographical Remarks 28

Part 1. Elementary Theory: An Overview Based on Examples 29

Chapter 2. Classification of $\overline{\text{MD}}$-Groups 37

1. Definitions 37
2. $\overline{\text{MD}}$-Criteria 38
3. Classification Theorem 39
4. Bibliographical Remarks 43

Chapter 3. The Structure of C*-Algebras of $\overline{\text{MD}}$-Groups 45

1. The C*-Algebra of $\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}$ 45
2. The Structure of $C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})$ 59
3. Bibliographical Remarks 69

Chapter 4. Classification of MD_4-Groups 71

1. Real Diamond Group and Semi-direct Products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{H}_3$ 71
2. Classification Theorem 72
3. Description of the Co-adjoint Orbits 80
4. Measurable MD4-Foliation 93
5. Bibliographical Remarks 101

Chapter 5. The Structure of C*-Algebras of MD_4-Foliations 103

1. C*-Algebras of Measurable Foliations 103
2. The C*-Algebras of Measurable MD_4-Foliations 107
3. Bibliographical Remarks 118

Part 2. Advanced Theory: Multidimensional Quantization and Index of Group C*-Algebras 119

Chapter 6. Multidimensional Quantization 121
1. Induced Representations. Mackey Method of small subgroups 121
2. Symplectic Manifolds with Flat Action of Lie Groups 129
3. Prequantization 135
4. Polarization 141
5. Bibliographical Remarks 149

Chapter 7. Partially invariant holomorphly induced representations 151
1. Holomorphly Induced Representations. Lie Derivative 151
2. The Irreducible Representations of Nilpotent Lie Groups 157
3. Representations of Connected Reductive Groups 163
4. Representations of of almost algebraic Lie groups 173
5. The Trace Formula and The Plancher‘el Formula 178
6. Bibliographical Remarks 181

Chapter 8. Reduction, Modification and Superversion 183
1. Reduction to the Semi-simple or Reductive Cases 183
2. Multidimensional Quantization and U(1)-Covering 191
3. Globalization over U(1)-Coverings 209
4. Quantization of Mechanical Systems with Supersymetry 221
5. Bibliographical Remarks 249

Chapter 9. Index of Type I C*-algebras 251
1. Compact Type Ideals in Type I C*-Algebras 251
2. Canonical Composition Series 253
3. Index of type I C*-Algebras 256
4. Compactness Criteria for Group C*-Algebras 260
5. Application to Lie Group Representations 265
6. Bibliographical Remarks 267

Chapter 10. Invariant Index of Group C*-Algebras 269
1. The Structure of Group C*-Algebras 269
2. Construction of $IndexC^*(G)$ 271
3. Reduction of the Indices 274
4. General Remarks on Computation of Indices 275
5. Bibliographical Remarks 275

Bibliography 277

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

The main problem we are interested in is how to characterize groups and their group algebras. This problem is well solvable with the complex representation theory of finite or compact groups. It seems to be quite difficult for locally compact groups. We focus our attention, in this survey only on the topological method of characterizing the group C*-algebras.

1. The Scope and an Example

1.1. The Problem. Let us first of all consider a finite group G, $|G| \leq \infty$. It is easy to see that the group G can be included in some (co-)algebras, more precisely some Hopf bialgebra, for example in its complex group (Hopf bi-)algebra, $G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]$,

$$g \in G \mapsto \sum_{g' \in G} \delta_g(g')g' \in \mathbb{C}[G],$$

which consists of the formal linear combinations of form $\sum_{g\in G} c_g g$, and where δ_g is the Kronecker symbol corresponding to g. It is well-known that the group representation theory of G is equivalent to the algebra representation theory of $\mathbb{C}[G]$. The last one is practically more flexible to describe. With each representation π of this group one considers the Fourier-Gel'fand transforms of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ to the matrix algebra, corresponding to representation π,

$$\sum_{g\in G} c_g g \mapsto \sum_{g\in G} c_g \pi(g).$$

Let us denote $\hat{G}$ the dual of G, i.e. the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of G. We normally identify it with some set of representatives of equivalence classes. It is well-known that :

a) The set $\hat{G}$ is finite, i.e. there is only finite number of nonequivalent irreducible representations, say $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_N$,
b) Each irreducible representation is finite dimensional, say of dimension $n_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$,

c) This algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$, by using the Fourier-Gel'fand transformation, is isomorphic to the finite Cartesian product of matrix algebras

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

This means that the structure of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ and therefore of the group G is well defined, if we could for G do :

1) a good construction of all the irreducible representations $\pi_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ of G and
2) the Fourier-Gel'fand transformation, realizing the above cited isomorphism.

The problem is to extend this machinery to infinite, say locally compact, topological groups.

Let us from now on, consider a locally compact group G and consider some appropriate group algebras. The group algebras $\mathbb{C}[G]$ for G as an abstract group is not enough to define the structure of G. We must find a more effective group algebra.

It is well known that for any locally compact group G one must in place of the general linear representations consider the unitary ones. It is related with the fact that in general case one must consider also the infinite dimensional representations, which are not every time completely reducible. The unitary representations however are completely irreducible. In case of a locally compact group G there is a natural left-(right-)invariant Haar measure dg. The space $L^2(G) := L^2(G, dg)$ of the square-integrable functions plays an important role in harmonic analysis. If the group is of type I, $L^2(G)$ admits a spectral decomposition with respect to the left and right regular representations into a sum of the direct sum (the so called discrete series) and/or the direct integral (the continuous series) of irreducible unitary representations. The space $L^1(G) = L^1(G, dg)$ of the functions with integrable module plays a crucial role. With the well-defined convolution product,

$$\varphi, \psi \in L^1(G) \mapsto \varphi * \psi \in L^1(G);$$

$$(\varphi * \psi)(x) := \int_G \varphi(y)\psi(y^{-1}x)dy$$

it becomes a Banach algebra. There is also a well-defined Fourier-Gel'fand transformation on $L^1(G)$,

$$\varphi \in L^1(G, g) \mapsto \hat{\varphi},$$

$$\hat{\varphi}(\pi) := \pi(\varphi) = \int_G \pi(x)\varphi(x)dx.$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the (irreducible) unitary representations of G and the non-degenerate (irreducible) *-representations of the involutive Banach algebra $L^1(G)$. The general theorems of the spectral theory of the representations of G are then proved with the help of an appropriate translation in to the corresponding theory of $L^1(G)$, for which one can use more tools from functional analysis and topology. One can also define on $L^1(G)$ an involution $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$,

$$\varphi^*(g) := \overline{\varphi(g^{-1})}.$$

However the norm of the involutive Banach algebra $L^1(G)$ is not regular, i.e. in general

$$\|a^*a\|_{L^1(G)} \neq \|a\|^2_{L^1(G)} .$$

It is therefore more useful to consider the corresponding regular norm $\|.\|_{C^*(G)}$,

$$\|\varphi\|_{C^*(G)} := \sup_{\pi\in\hat{G}} \|\pi(\varphi)\|$$

and its completion $C^*(G)$. The spectral theory of unitary representations of G is equivalent to the spectral theory of non-degenerate *-representations of the C*-algebra $C^*(G)$. The general theorems of harmonic analysis say that the structure of G can be completely definite by the structure of $C^*(G)$. One poses therefore the problem of description of the structure of the C*-algebras of locally compact groups. This means that we must answer to the questions:

1) How to realize the irreducible unitary representations of the locally compact group G.
2) How to describe the images of the Fourier-Gel'fand transformation and in particular, of the inclusion of $C^*(G)$ into some "continuous" product of the algebras $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$, $\pi \in \hat{G}$ of bounded operators in the separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ of representation π.

To see that this is a good setting the problem for finite group to the locally compact groups, let us consider these questions for the compact group case. Consider for the moment a compact group G. For compact group, all representations are unitarizable, i.e. are equivalent to some unitary ones. It is well-known also that :

a) The family of irreducible representations is not more than countable.
b) Each irreducible representation is finite dimensional, say $n_i, i = 1, \dots, \infty$ and there is some good realizations of these representations, say in tensor spaces, or last time, in cohomologies.

c) The Fourier-Gel'fand transformation gives us an isomorphism

$$C^*(G) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}{}' \operatorname{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where the prime in the product means the subset of "continuous vanishing at infinity" elements.

This means that in compact group case the group C*-algebra plays the same role as the group algebra of finite groups.

Let us now return to the general case of locally compact group.

The main problem is how to describe the group algebra in general , and in particular the C-algebra $C^*(G)$.*

In general the problem of describing the structure of C*-algebras of non-compact groups rests open up-date. This review outlines only the well-known cases, where is a nice interaction of the methods from noncommutative geometry, say Orbit Method, category $\mathcal{O}$, KK-theory, deformation quantization, cyclic theories,... We restrict mainly onto the case of Lie groups.

1.1.1. *Analytic Method.* The first nontrivial example is the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Its C*-algebra was studied by J.M.G. Fell in 1961 in [**Fe1**]. He described exactly the Fourier-Gel'fand transforms of $C^*(G)$ as some C*-algebra of sections of a continuous field of operator algebras over the dual. Many other mathematicians attempted to generalize his beautiful but complicate analytic result to other groups. Nevertheless, until the moment the only groups, the structure of whose C*-algebras were explicitly described are: the Abelian or compact groups and a few semi-simple Lie groups, say $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and its universal covering $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})}$, the de Sitter group $\mathrm{Spin}(4,1)$ and recently a family $G(p,q,\alpha)$ of two step solvable Lie groups (see [De], [F], [M], [KM], [BM] and [**Wan1**]). A fair amount is known about the C*-algebras of nilpotent Lie groups (see [P]), including the Heisenberg groups. The C*-algebra of the Euclidean motion group were studied by Evans [**Ev**]. Also P. Green [**Gr**] proposed some another analytic method for studying the C*-algebras of several solvable Lie groups. The result are given very slowly and spectacularly.

One need therefore to develop another method, say to obtain some topological invariants, which will be described in the rest of this paper.

1.1.2. *K-Theory Approach.* The very useful K-functor for our approach is the operator KK-functor of G. G. Kasparov [**Kas1**], generalizing the BDF K-functor [**BDF1**], which characterizes the isomorphic classes of short exact sequences of C*-algebras.

We are trying to decompose our C*-algebras into some towers of ideals and step-by-step define the associated extensions by KK-functors or their generalizations. The resulting invariants form just our index. This idea was proposed in [**D1**] and developed in [**D2**] for a large class of type I C*-algebras. Hence, there are two general problems:

(1) Find out the C*-algebras which can be characterized by the well-known K-functors, say by the operator K-functors.
(2) Generalize the theory of K-functors in such a way that they are applicable for a large class of C*-algebras.

Concerning the first problem, we propose [**D8**] a general construction and some reduction procedure of the K-theory invariant Ind $C^*(G)$ of group C*-algebras. Using the orbit method [**Ki**], [**D4**] - [**D7**], we reduces $Index\ C^*(G)$ to a family of Connes' foliation C*-algebras indices $Index\ C^*(V_{2n_i}, \mathcal{F}_{2n_i})$, see [**C1**]-[**C2**], by a family of KK-theory invariants. Using some generalization of the Kasparov type condition (treated by G.G. Kasparov in the nilpotent Lie group case [**Kas2**]), we reduces every $IndexC^*(V_{2n_i}, \mathcal{F}_{2n_i})$ to a family of KK-theory invariants of the same type valuated in KK(X,Y) type groups. The last ones are in some sense computable by using the cup-cap product realizing the Fredholm operator indices.

To demonstrate the idea, we consider the C*-algebra of the group of affine transformations of the real straight line, but first of all we need some new K-functor tool. It is described in the next two subsections.

1.2. BDF K-Homology functor.

Let us recall in this subsection the well-known BDF K-functor $\mathcal{E}xt$. The main reference is [**BDF1**]. Denote by $C(X)$ the C*-algebra of continuous complex-valued functions over a fixed metrizable compact X, $\mathcal{H}$ a fixed separable Hilbert space over complex numbers, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ the C*-algebras of bounded and respectively, compact linear operators in $\mathcal{H}$. An extension of C*-algebras means a short exact sequence of C*-algebras and *-homomorphisms of special type

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow C(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Two extensions are by definition equivalent iff there exists an isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{E}_\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_\in$ and its restriction $\psi|_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_1)} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\psi|."] & \mathcal{E}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & C(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_2) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{E}_2 \arrow[r] & C(X) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

There is a canonical universal extension of C*-algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is well-known as the Calkin algebra. By the construction of fiber product, there is one-to-one correspondence between the extensions of type

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow C(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

and the unital monomorphisms of type

$$\varphi : C(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Thus we can identify the extensions with the inclusions of $C(X)$ into $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$. Because [**Ki**] all separable Hilbert spaces are isomorphic and the automorphisms of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ are inner and

$$\operatorname{Aut} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{PU}(\mathcal{H}),$$

the projective unitary group, where $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the unitary operator group, we can identify the equivalences classes of extensions with the unitary conjugacy classes of unital inclusions of $C(X)$ into the Calkin algebra: Two extensions τ_1 and τ_2 are equivalent iff there exists a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$, such that $\tau_2 = \alpha_U \circ \tau_1$, where by definition $\alpha_U : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ is the isomorphism obtained from the inner isomorphism

$$U.(-).U^{-1} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2).$$

Extension $\tau : C(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ is called trivial iff there exists a unital inclusion $\sigma : C(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\tau = \pi \circ \sigma$, where $\pi : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is the canonical quotient map. This inclusion τ corresponds to the split short exact sequence. The sum of two extensions $\tau_i : C(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_i, i = 1, 2$ is defined as the extension

$$\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 : C(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_1) \oplus \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2).$$

This definition is compatible also with the equivalence classes of extensions. In [**BDF1**] the authors proved that:

1) The equivalence class of trivial extension is the identity element with respect to this sum.
2) For every metrizable compact X, the set $\mathcal{E}xt_1(X)$ of the equivalence classes of extensions is an Abelian group. One defines the higher groups by $\mathcal{E}xt_{1-n}(X) := \mathcal{E}xt_1(\mathbb{S}^n \wedge X)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$
3) $\mathcal{E}xt_*$ is a generalized K-homology. In particular, the group $\mathcal{E}xt_1(X)$ is dependent only of the homotopy type of X and there is a homomorphism

$$Y_\infty : \mathcal{E}xt_1(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^{-1}(X), \mathbb{Z})$$

which will be an isomorphism if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

This K-homology is well developed and fruitfully applicable. It has many application in operator theory and in our problem of characterizing the group C*-algebras. Let us demonstrate this in the first example of the group of affine transformations of the real straight line.

1.3. Topological Invariant Index. Let us in this subsection denote by G the group of all affine transformations of the real straight line.

THEOREM 1.1. *Every irreducible unitary representation of group G is unitarilly equivalent to one of the following mutually nonequivalent representations:*

a) *the representation S, realized in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^*, \frac{dx}{|x|})$, where $\mathbb{R}^* := \mathbb{R} \setminus (0)$, and acting in according with the formula*

$$(S_g f)(x) = e^{\sqrt{-1}bx} f(ax), \text{ where } g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

b) *the representation U_λ^ε, realized in $\mathbb{C}^1$ and given by the formula*

$$U_\lambda^\varepsilon(g) = |\alpha|^{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}.(\operatorname{sgn}\alpha)^\varepsilon, \text{ where } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}; \varepsilon = 0, 1.$$

PROOF. See [**GN**]. □

This list of all the irreducible unitary representations gives the corresponding list of all the irreducible non-degenerate unitary *-representations of the group C*-algebra $C^*(G)$. In [**D1**] it was proved that

THEOREM 1.2. *The group C*-algebra with formally adjoined unity $C^*(G)^\sim$ can be included in a short exact sequence of C*-algebras and *-homomorphisms*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow C^*(G)^\sim \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

i.e. the C-algebra $C^*(G)^\sim$, following the BDF theory, is defined by an element, called the index and denoted by $Index\, C^*(G)^\sim$, of the groups $\mathcal{E}xt(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.*

PROOF. See [**D1**]. □

The infinite dimensional representation S realizes the inclusion said above. Since

$$\mathcal{E}xt(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi^1(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{Z})$$

it realized by a homomorphism from $\pi^1(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1)$ to $\mathbb{C}^*$. Since the isomorphism

$$Y_\infty : \mathcal{E}xt(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi^1(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1), \mathbb{Z})$$

is obtained by means of computing the indices and because the general type of elements of $\pi^1(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1)$ is $g_{k,l} = [g_{0,1}]^k [g_{1,0}]^l$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\operatorname{Ind}(g_{k,l}) = k. \operatorname{Ind} T(g_{1,0}) + l. \operatorname{Ind} T(g_{0,1}),$$

where T is the the *-isomorphism corresponding to S. It is enough therefore to compute the pair of two indices Ind $T(g_{1,0})$ and Ind $T(g_{0,1})$. The last ones are directly computed by the indices of the corresponding Fredholm operators.

THEOREM 1.3.

$$Index\, C^*(G) = (1,1) \in \mathcal{E}xt(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

PROOF. See [**D1**]. □

Let us now go to the general situation. To do this we must introduce also some preparation about, first of all, the construction of irreducible unitary representations, we mean the orbit method, then a method of decomposing the C*-algebra into a tower of extensions and lastly compute the index with the help of the general KK-theory.

2. Multidimensional Orbit Methods

Let us in this section consider the problem of realization of irreducible unitary representations of Lie groups. There are two versions of the orbit method; one is the multidimensional quantization, the other is the infinitesimal orbit method, related with the so called category $\mathcal{O}$.

2.1. Multidimensional Quantization.

The orbit method can be constructed from the point of view of the theory of holomorphly induced representations and also from the point of view of the ideas of quantization from physics.

2.1.1. *Construction of Partially Invariant Holomorphically Induced Representations.* Let us consider now a connected and simply connected Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$. The complex conjugation in the Lie algebra will be also denoted by an over-line sign. Consider the dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The group G acts on itself by the inner automorphisms

$$A(g) := g.(.).g^{-1} : G \longrightarrow G,$$

for each $g \in G$, conserving the identity element e as some fixed point. It follows therefore that the associated adjoint action $A(g)_*$ maps $\mathfrak{g} = T_eG$ into itself and the co-adjoint action $K(g) := A(g^{-1})^*$ maps the dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ into itself. The orbit space $\mathcal{O}(G) := \mathfrak{g}^*/G$ is in general a bad topological space, namely non- Hausdorff. Consider one orbit $\Omega \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ and an element $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ in it. The stabilizer is denote by G_F, its connected component by

$(G_F)_0$ and its Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{g}_F := \mathrm{Lie}(G_F)$. It is well-known that

$$\begin{tikzcd} G_F \arrow[r, hook] & G \arrow[d] \\ & \Omega_F \end{tikzcd}$$

is a principal bundle with the structural group G_F. Let us fix some *connection in this principal bundle,* i.e. some *trivialization* of this bundle, see [**SuW**]. We want to construct representations in some cohomology spaces with coefficients in the sheaf of sections of some vector bundle associated with this principal bundle. It is well know [**SuW**] that every vector bundle is an induced one with respect to some representation of the structural group in the typical fiber. It is natural to fix some unitary representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ of G_F such that its kernel contains $(G_F)_0$, the character χ_F of the connected component of stabilizer

$$\chi_F(\exp X) := \exp\left(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\langle F, X\rangle\right)$$

and therefore the differential $D(\tilde{\sigma}\chi_F) = \tilde{\rho}$ is some representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_F$. We suppose that the representation $D(\tilde{\rho}\chi_F)$ was extended to the complexification $(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}$. The whole space of all sections seems to be so large for the construction of irreducible unitary representations. One consider the invariant subspaces with the help of some so called polarizations.

Definition 2.1. We say that a triple $(\mathfrak{p}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ is some $(\tilde{\sigma}, F)$-*polarization,* iff :

a) $\mathfrak{p}$ is some subalgebra of the complex Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{C}}$, containing $\mathfrak{g}_F$.
b) The subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ is invariant under the action of all the operators of type $Ad_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}}x, x \in G_F$.
c) The vector space $\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the complexification of some real subalgebra $\mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$.
d) All the subgroups M_0, H_0, M, H are closed. where by definition M_0 (resp., H_0) is the connected subgroup of G with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}$) and $M := G_F.M_0$, $H := G_F.H_0$.
e) σ_0 is an irreducible representation of H_0 in some Hilbert space V such that : 1. the restriction $\sigma|_{G_F \cap H_0}$ is some multiple of the restriction $\chi_F.\tilde{\sigma}|_{G_F \cap H_0}$, where by definition $\chi_F(\exp X) := \exp\left(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\langle F, X\rangle\right)$; 2. under the action of G_F on the dual $\hat{H}_0$, the point σ_0 is fixed.
f) ρ is some representation of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ in V , which satisfies the E. Nelson conditions for H_0 and $\rho|_{\mathfrak{h}} = D\sigma_0$.

Let us recall that R. Blattner introduced the notion of mixed manifold of type (k, l), see for example [**Ki**]. We consider the fiber bundle, the base of which is some type (k, l) mixed manifold and the fibers of which are smooth m dimensional manifold. We say that this fiber bundle is some *mixed manifold of type* (k, l, m).

THEOREM 2.1. *Let us keep all the introduced above notation of* Ω_F, $\tilde{\sigma}$, G_F,*etc. and let us denote* χ_F *the character of the group* G_F *such that* $D\chi_F = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}F|_{\mathfrak{g}_F}$. *Then :*

1) *On the* K*-orbit* Ω_F *there exists a structure of some mixed manifold of type* (k, l, m), *where*
$$k = \dim G - \dim M,$$
$$l = \frac{1}{2}(\dim M - \dim H),$$
$$m = \dim H - \dim G_F.$$
2) *There exists some irreducible unitary representation* σ *of the group* H *such that its restriction* $\sigma|_{G_F}$ *is some multiple of the representation* $\chi_F.\tilde{\sigma}$ *and* $\rho|_{\mathfrak{h}} = D\sigma$.
3) *On the* G*-fiber bundle* $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma|_{G_F}} = G \times_{G_F} V$ *associated with the representation* $\sigma|_{G_F}$, *there exists a structure of a partially invariant and partially holomorphic Hilbert vector* G*-bundle* $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\rho}$ *such that the natural representation of* G *on the space of (partially invariant and partially holomorphic) sections is equivalent to the representation by right translations of* G *in the space* $C^\infty(G; \mathfrak{p}, \rho, F, \sigma_0)$ *of* V*-valued* C^∞*-functions on* G *satisfying the equations*
$$f(hx) = \sigma(h)f(x), \forall h \in H, \forall x \in G,$$
$$L_X f + \rho(X)f = 0, \forall X \in \bar{\mathfrak{p}},$$
where L_X *denotes the Lie derivative along the vector field* ξ_X *on* G, *corresponding to* X.

PROOF. The first assertion is clear. The second one can be deduced from the remark that the formula
$$(x, h) \mapsto I_{V'} \otimes \chi_F.\tilde{\sigma})(x).\sigma_0(h)$$
defines an irreducible representation of the direct product $G_F \times H_0$ which is trivial on the kernel of the surjection
$$G_F \times H_0 \longrightarrow G_F.H_0.$$
This point is essential in the sense that with the assumption about fixed point property of σ_0 we can ignore the Mackey obstacle, appeared when we take the representations which are multiple of some representations $\tilde{\sigma}\chi_F$ at the restriction to some normal subgroup. M. Duflo [**Du1**] considered two-fold covering to avoid this obstacle. See [**D5**]. □

One can than apply the construction of unitarization $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma,\rho}$ to obtain the corresponding unitary representation, which is noted by $\mathrm{Ind}(G;\mathfrak{p},F,\rho,\sigma_0)$. One can define also the representations in cohomologies with coefficients in this sheaf of partially invariant and partially holomorphic sections, which will be noted by $(L^2-\mathrm{Coh})\,\mathrm{Ind}(G;\mathfrak{p},F,\rho,\sigma_0)$.

Remark 2.1. One introduces some order in the set of all $(\tilde{\sigma},F)$- polarizations

$$(\mathfrak{p},\rho,\sigma_0)\le(\mathfrak{p}',\rho',\sigma_0')\Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}\subseteq\mathfrak{p}',\sigma_0'|_{H_0}\simeq\sigma_0,\rho'|_{\mathfrak{p}}\simeq\rho.$$

To have some irreducible representation, one must take the maximal polarizations in this construction. It is interesting that this representations are coincided with the representations appeared from the geometric quantization.

2.1.2. *Multidimensional Geometric Quantization.* Let us now consider the general conception of multidimensional geometric quantization. Consider a symplectic manifold (M,ω), i.e. a smooth manifold equipped with a non-degenerate closed skew-symmetric differential 2-form ω. The vector space $C^\infty(M,\omega)$, with respect to the Poisson brackets

$$f_1,f_2\in C^\infty\mapsto\{f_1,f_2\}\in C^\infty(M,\omega)$$

become an infinite dimensional Lie algebra.

Definition 2.2. A *procedure of quantization* is a correspondence associating to each *classical quantity* $f\in C^\infty(M)$ a *quantum quantity* $Q(f)\in\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. a continuous, perhaps unbounded, normal operator, which is auto-adjoint if f is a real-valued function, in some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, such that

$$Q(\{f_1,f_2\})=\frac{i}{\hbar}[Q(f_1),Q(f_2)],$$
$$Q(1)=Id_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where $\hbar:=h/2\pi$ is the normalized Planck constant, and h is the unnormalized Planck's constant.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{E}$ a fiber bundle into Hilbert spaces, Γ a fixed connection conserving the Hilbert structure on the fibers; in other words, If γ is a curve connecting two points x and x', the parallel transport along the way γ provides an scalar preserving isomorphism from the fiber $\mathcal{E}_x$ onto the fiber $\mathcal{E}_{x'}$. In this case we can define the corresponding covariant derivative ∇_ξ, $\xi\in Vect(M):=Der\,C^\infty(M)$ in the space of smooth sections. One considers the invariant Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{E}_{\rho,\sigma})$, which is the completion of the space $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\rho,\sigma})$ of square-integrable partially invariant and partially holomorphic sections.

Suppose from now on that M is a homogeneous G-space. Choose a trivialization Γ of the principal bundle $G_x \rightarrowtail G \twoheadrightarrow M$, where G_x is the stabilizer of the fixed point x on M. Let us denote by L_ξ the Lie derivation corresponding to the vector field $\xi \in Vect(M)$. Let us denote by $\beta \in \Omega^1(M)$ the form of affine connection on $\mathcal{E}$, corresponding to the connection Γ on the principal bundle. It is more comfortable to consider the normalized connection form $\alpha(\xi) = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\beta(\xi)$, the values of which are anti-auto-adjoint operators on fibers. One has therefore

$$\nabla_\xi = L_\xi + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\alpha(\xi),$$

see for example [**SuW**] for the finite dimensional case.

For each function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ one denotes ξ_f the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field, i.e.

$$i(\xi_f)\omega + df = 0.$$

Definition 2.3. We define the geometrically quantized operator $Q(f)$ as

$$Q(f) := f + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\nabla_{\xi_f} = f + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}L_{\xi_f} + \alpha(\xi_f).$$

Theorem 2.2. *The following three conditions are equivalent.*

1)

$$\xi\alpha(\eta) - \eta\alpha(\xi) - \alpha([\xi,\eta]) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}[\alpha(\xi),\alpha(\eta)] = -\omega(\xi,\eta).Id; \forall \xi,\eta.$$

2) *The curvature of the affine connection ∇ is equal to $-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\omega(\xi,\eta).Id$, i.e.*

$$[\nabla_\xi,\nabla_\eta] - \nabla_{[\xi,\eta]} = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\omega(\xi,\eta).Id; \forall \xi,\eta.$$

3) *The correspondence $f \mapsto Q(f)$ is a quantization procedure.*

Proof. See [**D6**]. □

Suppose that the Lie group G act on M by the symplectomorphisms. Then each element X of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponds to one-parameter subgroup $\exp(tX)$ in G, which acts on M. Let us denote by ξ_X the corresponding strictly Hamiltonian vector field. Let us denote also L_X the Lie derivation along this vector field. We have

$$[L_X, L_Y] = L_{[X,Y]},$$

and

$$L_X f = \{f_X, f\}.$$

Suppose that f_X depends linearly on X. One has then a 2-cocycle of the action

$$c(X,Y) := \{f_X, f_Y\} - f_{[X,Y]}.$$

DEFINITION 2.4. We say that the action of G on M is *flat* iff this 2-cocycle is trivial.

In this case we obtain from the quantization procedure a representation $\wedge$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by the anti-auto-adjoint operators

$$X \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar} Q(f_X)$$

and also a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ by the functions

$$X \mapsto f_X.$$

If the E. Nelson conditions are satisfied, we have a unitary representation of the universal covering of the group G.

THEOREM 2.3. *The Lie derivative of the partially invariant and holomorphically induced representation* $\mathrm{Ind}(G; \mathfrak{p}, F, \rho, \sigma_0)$ *of a connected Lie group* G *is just the representation obtained from the procedure of multidimensional geometric quantization, corresponding to a fixed connection* ∇ *of the partially invariant partially holomorphic induced unitarized bundle* $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma,\rho}$*, i.e.*

$$\mathrm{Lie}_X(\mathrm{Ind}(G; \mathfrak{p}, F, \rho, \sigma_0)) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar} Q(f_X).$$

PROOF. See [**D4**]. □

REMARK 2.2. The multidimensional version of the orbit method was developed independently by the author in language of multidimensional quantization [**D4**] - [**D7**] and by M. Duflo [**Du1**], see also, [**Ki**] in the language of Mackey method of small subgroups. The result show that for the most connected Lie groups the construction gives us at least a quantity of irreducible unitary representations, enough to decompose the regular representations of G in $L^2(G)$, i.e. enough to prove the Plancherèl formula [**Du1**].

REMARK 2.3. There are some reductions of this multidimensional quantization procedure to the radical or nil-radical of stabilizer of type G_F, see [**DV**], and lifting them to $U(1)$-coverings [**Vui1**] - [**Vui3**], [**Do1**] - [**Do2**].

REMARK 2.4. In [**D10**] the author proposed some method for common quantization for foliations, the fibers of which are the K-orbits, and its relation with the integral Fourier operators.

2.2. Category $\mathcal{O}$ and globalization of Harish-Chandra modules. The construction of irreducible unitary representations $(L^2\text{−Coh})\,\mathrm{Ind}(G;\mathfrak{p},F,\rho,\sigma_0)$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{E}_{\rho,\sigma})\cong L^2(G;\mathfrak{p},F,\rho,\sigma_0)$ can be in restricted case considered as some globalization of some so called $(\mathfrak{g},K)$-module, i.e. $L^2(\mathcal{E}_{\rho,\sigma_0})_{(K)}$ itself is some $(\mathfrak{g},K)$-module, where K is some maximal compact subgroup of G. It is therefore interesting to consider these $(\mathfrak{g},K)$-module as some infinitesimal version of the orbit method. Let us see this in this subsection.

2.2.1. *Admissible representations.* Let us in this sub-subsection recall some result about the Borell-Weil-Bott-Kostant theorem and the construction of admissible representations of finite dimensional semi-simple Lie groups as $(\mathfrak{g},K)$-modules, [**Wo**], [**Mi2**].

If G is a compact connected Lie group, and $F\in\mathfrak{g}^*$ is a well-regular integral functional on its Lie algebra, then the stabilizer is a maximal torus T, (If the Harish-Chandra criterion for existence of discrete series holds, it is a compact Cartan subgroup.) and a choice of positive root system $\Phi^+=\Phi^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{t})$ defines a G-invariant complex manifold structure on G/T in such a way that $\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ represents the holomorphic tangent space. The character $\chi_\lambda,\lambda:=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}F$ can be extended to a character of the stabilizer $G_F=T$, if the orbit is as usually supposed to be integral. Let us denote in this case the induced bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\rho,\sigma}$ simply by $\mathbb{E}_\lambda$ as in [**Wo**]. It is the associated homogeneous holomorphic hermitian line bundle. One writes $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}_\lambda)\longrightarrow G/T$ for the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of $\mathbb{E}_\lambda\longrightarrow G/T$. The group G acts every where, including the cohomologies $H^q(G/T;\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}_\lambda))$. One denotes by $\rho:=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+}\alpha$ the half-sum of positive roots. We cite from [**Wo**] the Borel-Weil-Bott-Kostant theorem

Theorem 2.4. *If $\lambda+\rho$ is singular then every $H^q(G/T;\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}_\lambda))$ is trivial. If $\lambda+\rho$ is regular, let w denote the unique element such that*

$$\langle w(\lambda+\rho),\alpha\rangle>0,\forall\alpha\in\Phi^+$$

and let $\ell(w)$ denote its length as a word in the simple root reflections. Then

- i) *$H^q(G/T;\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}_\lambda))=0$ for all $q\neq\ell(w)$, and*
- ii) *the action of G in $H^q(G/T;\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}_\lambda))$ is the representation with highest weight $w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho$.*

This result was then extended for realizing *the discrete series representations of general semi-simple Lie groups.* It is well known that one can induce from these discrete series representations of reductive part of parabolic subgroups to obtain the *tempered admissible representations* of G. It was then remarked that the representation of G in $L^2(\mathcal{E}_{\rho,\sigma})$ can be considered as the *globalization* of some $(\mathfrak{g},K)$-module , namely, $L^2(\mathcal{E}_{\rho,\sigma})_{(K)}$.

Lastly the *tempered admissible representations* are described in the cohomologies corresponding to $\mathcal{D}$-modules [**Mi2**].

2.2.2. *Discrete Series for loop groups.* Let us now consider the loop groups associated with compact Lie groups. With the help of the Zuckermann's derived functor, we can construct the infinitesimal version of the "discrete series" for loop groups, [**D9**] The algebraic realization of these representations are described in [**D9**] as a version of the Borel-Weil-Bott-Kostant theorem. It is very interesting to develop a theory of "tempered representations" for loop groups.

3. KK-theory Invariant $IndexC^*(G)$

3.1. About KK-Functors. We now recall some essential points of the Kasparov's setting of the KK-theory. It is an analogy of the Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theory, but settled for the general case.

3.1.1. *Definitions.* The main reference for this sub-subsection is [**JT**]. Let A, B, E to be the C*-algebras, $\mathcal{K}$ the ideal of compact operators in some fixed separable Hilbert space. Let us consider the extensions of type

$$0 \longrightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0.$$

Two extensions are said to be *equivalent* iff there is some isomorphism $\psi : E \longrightarrow E'$ such that it induces the identity isomorphisms on the ideal $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and on the quotient A, i.e. the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & B \otimes \mathcal{K} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\psi"] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & B \otimes \mathcal{K} \arrow[r] & E' \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

The extension is called *trivial* if the the exact sequence can be lifted. Also due to well-known result of R.C. Busby, we can identify each extension with some *-homomorphism from A to the algebra of exterior multiplicators of $B \otimes \mathcal{K}$, $\tau : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$. *The sum* of two extensions

$$\tau_i : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(B \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

can be therefore defined as the extension

$$\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2 : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathcal{O}(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \otimes M_2 \cong \mathcal{O}(B \otimes \mathcal{K}),$$

where M_2 is the full algebra of 2×2-matrices over the complexes numbers. Two extensions $\tau_i, i = 1, 2$ are *stably equivalent* if there exist two trivial extensions σ_1 and σ_2 such that the sums $\tau_i + \sigma_i$, $i = 1, 2$ are equivalent.

Kasparov [**Kas1**] proved that:

i) when A is a nuclear separable and B has at list an approximative unity, the set $Ext(A, B)$ of the stably equivalent classes of extensions is an Abelian group.

ii) $K^*(.) = Ext_*(A,.)$ is a K-homology theory and $K_* = Ext_*(.,B)$ is the algebraic K-theory of C*-algebras.

iii) There is a natural realization of $KK^{*,*}$ as some K-bi-functor and its direct relation with the Ext_*-groups see [**Kas2**].

J. Rosenberg and C. Schochet [**RosS**] proved the Künneth formula for these groups, i.e. there is some homomorphism

$$Y : Ext_i(A,B) \longrightarrow \oplus_{j(mod\,2)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_{i+j}(A), K_{i+j+1(B)}).$$

Let us see this in more detail in the next sub-subsection.

3.1.2. *Relation with K-groups of C*-algebras.* The most important for us is the relation of the theory with K-groups of C*-algebras. Let A be an algebra with unity. By definition, $K_0(A)$ is the Grothendieck group of the semi-group of the stably equivalent classes of projective A-modules of finite type. When A has no unity element, one considers the algebra A^+ with the formally adjoint unity and defines the K-group as

$$K_*(A) := \operatorname{Ker}\{K_*(A^+) \longrightarrow K_*(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

This definition is compatible with the above defined K-groups also for algebras with unity element. For $A = C(X)$, there is a natural isomorphism between these K-groups with the corresponding topological groups $K^*(X)$, see for example [**Kar1**]. One defines the higher groups $K_n(A)$ as

$$K_n(A) := K_0(A \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)), \forall n \geq 0.$$

The Bott theorem says that $K_0(A) \cong K_2(A)$. The Connes-Kasparov theorem says that for any connected and simply-connected solvable Lie group G,

$$K_0(C^*(G)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \dim G \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if others,} \end{cases}$$

$$K_1(C^*(G)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \dim G \text{ is even,} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{ if otheres.} \end{cases}$$

For each extension

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0,$$

there is a six-term exact sequence of K-groups

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & K_0(E) \arrow[r] & K_0(A) \arrow[dr] & \\
K_0(J) \arrow[ur] & & & K_1(J) \arrow[dl] \\
 & K_1(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[ul] & K_0(E) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let us consider the case $J = B \otimes \mathcal{K}$, There is an isomorphism between $K_*(J)$ and $K_*(B)$. The group $K_*(A)$ consists of the formal differences of equivalence classes of projectors in $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. One obtain therefore the well-known exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & K_0(E) \arrow[r] & K_0(A) \arrow[dr, "\partial_0"] & \\
K_0(B) \arrow[ur] & & & K_1(B) \arrow[dl] \\
 & K_1(A) \arrow[ul, "\partial_1"] & K_1(E) \arrow[l] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is therefore clear that each element of $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(A, B)$ induces a pair of homomorphisms (∂_0, ∂_1) of K-groups, and one has a homomorphism

$$\gamma : \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}_i(A, B) \longrightarrow \oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/(2)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_{i+j}(A), K_{i+j+1}(B)),$$

associating to each extension a pair of connecting homomorphisms (∂_0, ∂_1).

J. Rosenberg and S. Schochet [**RosS**] have proved the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/(2)} \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_{i+j}(A), K_{i+j+1}(B)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}_i(A, B) \longrightarrow$$

$$\longrightarrow \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/(2)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_{i+j}(A), K_{i+j+1}(B)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

3.2. Construction and reduction of the K-Theory Invariant *Index* $C^*(G)$. We review in this section a construction for obtaining the short exact sequence of C*-algebras.

3.2.1. *Measurable foliations.* In this section we propose a canonical method for constructing the measurable [**C1**] foliations, consisting of the adjoint orbits of fixed dimension, and therefore their C*-algebras. The last ones are included in group C*-algebras or their quotients.

Let us denote by G a connected and simply connected Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ its Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ the dual vector space,$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(G)$ the space of all the co-adjoint orbits of G in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. This space is a disjoint union of subspaces of co-adjoint orbits of fixed dimension, i.e.

$$\mathcal{O} = \amalg_{0 \leq 2n \leq \dim G} \mathcal{O}_{2n},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2n} := \{\Omega \in \mathcal{O}; \dim \Omega = 2n\}.$$

We define

$$V_{2n} := \cup_{\dim \Omega = 2n} \Omega.$$

Then it is easy to see that V_{2n} is the set of points of a fixed rank of the Poisson structure bilinear function

$$\{X, Y\}(F) = \langle F, [X, Y] \rangle,$$

suppose it is a foliation, at least for V_{2n}, with $2n = max$.

First, we shall show that the foliation V_{2n} can be obtained by the associated action of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ on V_{2n} via 2n times repeated action of $\mathbb{R}$.

Indeed, fixing any basis $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2n}$ of the tangent space $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$ of Ω at the point $F \in \Omega$, we can define an action $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \curvearrowright V_{2n}$ as

$$(\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (\dots \mathbb{R} \curvearrowright V_{2n})))$$

by

$$(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{2n}) \longmapsto \exp(t_1 X_1) \dots \exp(t_{2n} X_{2n}) F.$$

Thus we have the Hamiltonian vector fields

$$\xi_k := \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \exp(t_k X_k) F, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$$

and the linear span

$$F_{2n} = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{2n}\}$$

provides a tangent distribution.

Theorem 3.1. *(V_{2n}, F_{2n}) is a measurable foliation.*

Proof. See [**D8**]. □

Corollary 3.1. *The Connes C^*-algebra $C^*(V_{2n}, F_{2n}), o \leq 2n \leq \dim G$ are well defined.*

3.2.2. *Reduction of $IndexC^*(G)$ to $IndexC^*(V_{2n}, F_{2n})$.* Now we assume that the orbit method (see[Ki],[D4]-[D6]) gives us a complete list of irreducible representations of G ,

$$\pi_{\Omega_F,\sigma} = Ind(G, \Omega_F, \sigma, \mathfrak{p}), \sigma \in \mathcal{X}_G(F),$$

the finite set of Duflo's data.

Suppose that

$$\mathcal{O} = \cup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{2n_i}$$

is the decomposition of the orbit space on a stratification of orbits of dimensions $2n_i$, where $n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k > 0$

We include $C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1})$ into $C^*(G)$. It is well known that the Connes C*-algebra of foliation can be included in the algebra of pseudo-differential operators of degree 0 as an ideal. This algebra of pseudo-differential operators of degree 0

is

included in C*(G).

We define

$$J_1 = \bigcap_{\Omega_F \in \mathcal{O}(G) \setminus \mathcal{O}_{2n_1}} \operatorname{Ker} \pi_{\Omega_F,\sigma},$$

and

$$A_1 = C^*(G)/J_1.$$

Then

$$C^*(G)/C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}) \cong A_1$$

and we have

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & J_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C^*(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Id"] & A_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}) \arrow[r] & C^*(G) \arrow[r] & C^*(G)/C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence $J_1 \simeq C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1})$ and we have

$$O \to C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}) \to C^*(G) \to A_1 \to 0.$$

Repeating the procedure in replacing

$$C^*(G), C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}), A_1, J_1$$

by

$$A_1, C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}), A_2, J_2,$$

we have

$$0 \to C^*(V_{2n_2}, F_{2n_2}) \to A_1 \to A_2 \to 0$$

etc ....

So we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *The group C^*-algebra $C^*(G)$ can be included in a finite sequence of extensions*

$$(\gamma_1): \qquad 0 \to C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}) \to C^*(G) \to A_1 \to 0$$

$$(\gamma_2): \qquad 0 \to C^*(V_{2n_2}, F_{2n_2}) \to A_1 \to A_2 \to 0,$$

$$\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots$$

$$(\gamma_k): \qquad 0 \to C^*(V_{2n_k}, F_{2n_k}) \to A_{k-1} \to A_k \to 0,$$

where $\widehat{A_k} \simeq Char(G)$

Corollary 3.2. *$IndexC^*(G)$ is reduced to the system $IndexC^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i}), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ by the invariants*

$$[\gamma_i] \in KK(A_i, C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i})), i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Remark 3.1. Ideally, all these invariants $[\gamma_i]$ could be computed step-by-step from $[\gamma_k]$ to $[\gamma_1]$.

3.2.3. *Reduction of* $Index C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i})$ *to the computable extension indices valuated in topological KK-groups of pairs of spaces.* Let us consider $C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i})$ for a fixed i. We introduce the following assumptions which were considered by Kasparov in nilpotent cases [K2]:

(A_1) There exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < k \leq 2n_i$ such that the foliation

$$V_{gen} := V_{2n_i} \setminus (\operatorname{Lie}\Gamma)^{\perp}$$

has its C*- algebra

$$C^*(V_{gen}, F|_{V_{gen}}) \cong C(\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{gen}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H),$$

where

$$\Gamma := \mathbb{R}^k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n_i} \hookrightarrow G,$$
$$\operatorname{Lie}\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^k \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_{F_i}, (\operatorname{Lie}\Gamma)^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{g}^* \cap V_{2n_i}.$$

EXAMPLE 3.1. If V_{gen} is a principal bundle, or the space $\mathcal{O}_{gen} = V_{gen}/G$ is a Hausdorff space, then $C^*(V_{gen}, F|_{V_{gen}}) \simeq C(\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{gen}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)$

It is easy to see that if the condition (A_1) holds, $C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i})$ is an extension of $C^*(V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen}, F_{2n_i}|.)$ by $C(\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{gen}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)$, where $\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{gen} = \{\pi_{\Omega_F,\sigma}; \Omega_F \in \mathcal{O}_{gen}, \sigma \in \mathcal{X}_G(F)\}$, described by the multidimensional orbit method from the previous section. If $k = 2n_i$, $(\mathbb{R}^{2n_i})^{\perp} = \{O\}$, $V_{2n_i} = V_{gen}$, we have

$$C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i}) \simeq C(\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{2n_i} \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)).$$

If $k = k_1 < 2n_i$, then $\mathbb{R}^{2n_i - k_1}$ acts on $V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen}$ and we suppose that a similar assumption (A_2) holds

(A_2) There exists $k_2, 0 < k_2 \leq 2n_i - k_1$ such that

$$(V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen})_{gen} := (V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen}) \setminus (\mathbb{R}^{k_2})^{\perp}$$

has its C*-algebra

$$C^*((V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen})_{gen}, F_{2n_i}|.) \simeq C((\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{gen})_{gen})^{\sim} \otimes \mathcal{K}(H).$$

As above, if $k_2 = 2n_i - k_1$, $C^*(V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen}, F_{2n_i}|.) \simeq C((\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{gen})^{\sim}_{gen}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)$. In other case we repeat the procedure and go to assumption (A_3), etc....

The procedure must be finished after a finite number of steps, say in m -th step,

$$C^*((\dots(V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen}) \setminus (V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen})_{gen} \setminus \dots, F_{2n_i}|.) \simeq C((\dots(\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{gen}) \setminus \dots)) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H).$$

Thus we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.3. *If all the arising assumptions* $(A_1), (A_2), \dots$ *hold, the C*-algebra* $C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i})$ *can be included in a finite sequence of extensions*

$$0 \to C(\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{gen}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i}) \to C^*(V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen}, F_{2n_i}|.) \to 0$$

$$0 \to C((\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \setminus \mathcal{O}gen)^{\sim}_{gen}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen}, F_{2n_i}) \to C^*(\dots) \to 0$$

$$\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots$$

$$0 \to C((\dots(\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{gen}) \setminus (\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{gen}))_{gen} \dots {}^{\sim}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to$$

$$\to C^*(\dots) \to C^*(\dots) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to 0.$$

3.2.4. *General remarks concerning computation of Index C*(G).* We see that the general computation procedure of Index C*(G) is reduced to the case of short exact sequences of type

$$(\gamma) \qquad 0 \to C(Y) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to \mathcal{E} \to C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to 0,$$

and the index is

$$[\gamma] = Index\mathcal{E} \in KK(X,Y).$$

The group $KK_i(X,Y)$ can be mapped onto

$$\oplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}/(2)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^{i+j}(X), K^{i+j+1}(Y))$$

with kernel

$$\oplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}/(2)} \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^{i+j}(X), K^{i+j+1}(Y))$$

by the well known cap-product, see [K2]. So $[\gamma] = (\delta_0, \delta_1)$

$$\delta_0 \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^0(X), K^1(Y)) = \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}_0(X) \wedge K^1(Y)$$

$$\delta_1 \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^1(X), K^0(Y)) = \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}_1(X) \wedge K^0(Y).$$

Suppose $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in \pi^1(X)$ to be generators and $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n \in \mathcal{E}$ the corresponding Fredholm operators, $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$ the Fredholm operators, representing the generators of $K^1(Y) = Index[Y, \mathrm{Fred}]$. We have therefore

$$[\delta_0] = \sum_j c_{ij}\ \ IndexT_j,$$

where

$$\delta_0 = (c_{ij}) \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\mathrm{rank}\, K^0(X) \times rank K^1(Y)}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

In the same way δ_1 can be computed.

4. Deformation Quantization and Cyclic Theories

Let us finish this survey with some indication about some relations of the problem with some new developments. Recall that the group algebra of finite or compact groups are in fact some Hopf bi-algebras. One deforms this Hopf bialgebra structure to obtain the corresponding quantum groups. Our problem is therefore closely related with the interesting problem to describe these quantum groups. One of the method is deformation quantization which is closely related with orbit method. The others which are closely related with KK-theory are the periodic cyclic (co-)homologies. We finish this survey by indication the subjects, the author is working with.

4.1. Star-Products and Star-Representations. See [**Gu**] and the references there.

4.2. Periodic Cyclic Homology. See [**Cu**], [**CQ1**] - [**CQ3**].

4.3. Chern Characters. See for example [**Cu**], [**Pus**].

5. Bibliographical Remarks

The material exposed in this introduction was the subject of the author for the talks at Seminar on Representation Theory and Gelfand's Seminar on Functional Analysis in Moscow University (1975), Centre International des Rencontres Mathématiques in Luminy-Marseille (1983), Seminar on Group Representation à l'Université de Paris VI et Paris VII (1983), l'Université de Lyon (1983), International Banach Center (1988), Seminar on C*-algebras at the Iniversität Heidelberg, the Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Seminar " Sophus Lie" at the Technische Hochschule Darmstadt (1993) and many conference talks. The idea to create this book appeared during preparation of lectures at Seminar "Sophus Lie".

Part 1

Elementary Theory: An Overview Based on Examples

There are already fifteen years ago from the first moment of attacking the C*-algebra structure problem by using the K-functors. A general method is not yet constructed, but there is a lot of accommulated results, confirming the usefulness of the topological K-theory invariant *Index* $C^*(G)$. We think therefore reasonable to propose an overview based on experimented examples of theses research works. In this survey we intend to give a concrete reflection of the current research . It is an introduction to a writing book on the subject.

1. Group C*-algebra Structure Let G be a locally compact group. There exists a naturally normalized left invariant Haar measure dg .The space $L^2(G,dg)$ of the square-integrable module functions plays an important role, say in Harmonic Analysis studies , first of all the spectral decomposition of the regular representation of G in $L^2(G,dg)$ into a direct integral (or a sum) of irreducible unitary G-module. The space $L^1(G,dg)$ of functions with integrable module plays a crucial role . Following the non commutative Fourier-Gel'fand transformation

$$\begin{array}{rl} \varphi \in L^1(G,dg) \mapsto & \hat{\varphi}, \\ \hat{\varphi}(\pi) = \pi(\varphi) & := \int_G \pi(x)\varphi(x)\ dx \end{array}$$

We have a one-to-one correspondence between the (irreducible) unitary G-modules and the (irreducible) non-degenerate *-modules over $L^1(G)$. So the general theorems of the spectral theory for G-modules can be translated and proved in the corresponding theory for $L^1(G)$, which is more analytical then for which are applicable the strong results of Functional Analysis , say the Hahn-Banach theorem, the Banach principles of linear functional analysis. However, as involutive algebra, $L^1(G)$ has its non-regular norm, i.e. in general

$$\| a^*a \|_{L^1(G)} \neq \| a \|^2_{L^1(G)} ,$$

it is more useful to consider the corresponding regular norm $\| \, . \, \|_{C^*(G)}$,

$$\| \varphi \|_{C^*(G)} := \sup_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \| \pi(\varphi) \|$$

and take its completion $C^*(G)$. Ideally, the spectral theory for unitary G-modules is equivalent to the same one for the $C^*(G)$-modules. The last theory is closely related with subjects of functional analysis and its applications in the physical field theories and statistical mechanics.

So, what is the structure of $C^*(G)$ for a given G?

In general, the problem rests open up-to-date! This review outlines only that for the concrete examples the problem requires the tools of various nature from topology and analysis, namely the K-functors.

It is useful to add to $C^*(G)$ the formal jointed unit element if there is no such one.

2. The analytic method The first nontrivial example $C^*(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ was done by J.M.G. Fell in 1961, but until the moment the only groups, the structure of whose C^*-algebra was explicitly described are the Abelian or compact groups and a few semi-simple Lie groups : $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})}$ i.e. the universal covering group, $Spin(4,1)$ and recently, a family $G(p,q,\alpha)$ of two-step solvable Lie groups (see [**De**],[**Fe1**],[**Mi1**],[**KM**], [**BM**], and [**Wan1**]).A fair amount is known about the C*-algebras of nilpotent Lie groups (see [**Per**]), including the Heisenberg groups. The C*-algebra of the Euclidean motion group were studied by Evans [**Ev**]. P. Green also proposed another analytic method for studying the C*-algebras of several solvable Lie groups, see [**Gr**]. So it is very interesting to characterize the group C*-algebras by topological invariants by another nature tools, say by K-functors. Such an idea was suggested by the author in [**D1**],[**D2**].

3. K-theory invariant Index C*(G) The very useful K-functor for our approach is the operator KK-functor of G. G. Kasparov [**Kas1**], generalizing the BDF K-functor [**BDF1**], which characterizes the isomorphic classes of short exact sequences of C*-algebras .

We are trying to decompose our C*-algebras into some towers of ideals and step-by-step define the associated extensions by KK-functors or their generalizations. The resulting invariants form just our index . This idea was proposed in [**D1**] and develop ed in [**D2**] for a large class of type I C*-algebras. Hence, there are two general problems:

- Find out the C*-algebras which can be characterized by the well-known K-functors, say by the operator K-theory functors.
- Generalize the theory of K-functors in such a way that they are applicable for a large class of C*-algebras.

4. Construction and reduction of Index C*(G) Concerning the first problem, we propose [**D8**] a general construction and some reduction procedure of the K-theory invariant Index C*(G) of group C*-algebras. Using the orbit method [**Ki**],[**D4**]-[**D7**], we reduces *Index* $C^*(G)$ to a family of Connes' foliation C*-algebras indices *Index* $C^*(V_{2n_i}, \mathcal{F}_{2n_i})$, see [**C1**]-[**C2**], by a family of KK-theory invariants. Using some generalization of the Kasparov type condition (treated by G.G. Kasparov in the nilpotent Lie group case [**Kas2**]), we reduces every *Index* $C^*(V_{2n_i}, \mathcal{F}_{2n_i})$ to a family of KK-theory invariants of the same type valuated in KK(X,Y) type groups. The last ones are in some sense computable by using the cup-cap product realizing the Fredholm operator indices.

Following this procedure we now describe the obtained experimental results concerning the structure of the C*-algebras of concrete groups.

5. Case-by-case examples We divide the examples into three classes following the complexity of computing indices. *a. Absorbing extensions* The first promising example was the group Aff $\mathbb{R}$ of the affine transformations of the real straight line. Its group C*-algebra can be included in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}) \to C(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \to 0 ,$$

where $\mathcal{K}(H)$ denotes the ideal of compact operators in a separable Hilbert space. Because the extension is absorbing, the structure of $C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R})$ is just defined by the element

$$Index\ C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}) = (1,1) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathcal{E}xt(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong KK(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1, pt) .$$

Here we need only the BDF K-functor , which is the source and the inspiring particular case of the KK-functors (see [**D1**]).

For the connected and simply connected group $\mathrm{Aff}_0\,\mathbb{R}$ of affine transformations of the straight line the analogous results hold. Its C*-algebra can be included in the sort exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}(H) \oplus \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(\mathrm{Aff}_0\,\mathbb{R}) \to C(\mathbb{S}^1) \to 0 .$$

This absorbing extension, and hence the isomorphic class of $C^*(\mathrm{Aff}_0\,\mathbb{R})$ can be characterized by the topological invariant

$$Index\ C^*(\mathrm{Aff}_0\,\mathbb{R}) = (1,1) \in KK(\mathbb{S}^1, \{pt\} \cup \{pt\}) .$$

The C*-algebra of the group Aff $\mathbb{C}$ of the affine transformations of the complex straight line is included in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}) \to C(X) \to ,$$

where X is the one-point compactification of the so called "Hawaiian necklace"

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z - 2^{-n}| = 2^{-n}, n = 1, 2, ...\} .$$

Hence , the isomorphism class of $C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C})$ is characterized by the topological invariant

$$Index\ C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}) = (-1, -1, ...) \in KK(X, pt) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \dots,$$

see [**Ros1**] .

Our method is applied also to the group Aff K of affine transformations of any non-discrete totally disconnected locally compact field K. Its C*-algebra can be included in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\ \ K) \to C(X) \to 0 ,$$

now X is the one-point compactification of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \hat{H}$, where H is the multiplicative group of the elements of K with absolute values 1, $\hat{H}$ is its dual isomorphic to a countably infinite and discrete set. The C*-algebra $C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,K)$ is characterized by the topological invariant

$$Index\ C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,K) = (\dots, 1, 1, \dots) \in KK(X, pt) \cong \mathcal{E}xt(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\hat{H}} ,$$

see [**Ros1**].

In all these examples the index *Index* $C^*(G)$ take values in the BDF K-groups $\mathcal{E}xt(X)$, which are isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi^1(X),\mathbb{Z})$, given by the Fredholm index map, where

$$\pi^1(X) = [X,\mathbb{S}^1]$$

is the cohomotopy group. The group $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi^1(X),\mathbb{Z})$ is product of countably many copies of $\mathbb{Z}$, one for each generator of $\pi^1(X)$. The index is then given by a sequence of integers , namely the Fredholm indices of the image under the infinite-dimensional representations of a sequence of elements from $C^*(G)$ mapping into the generators of $\pi^1(X)$. These sequences of elements from the group C*-algebra $C^*(G)$ and their Fredholm indices are defined and calculated firstly by the author for $\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}$ in [**D1**], then by J. Rosenberg for $\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{Aff}\,K$ in [**Ros1**].

The C*-algebra of the universal covering $\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \ltimes \mathbb{C}$ of the group $\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C} = (\mathbb{C}\setminus 0) \ltimes \mathbb{C}$ can be included in the short exact sequence

$$0 \to C(\mathbb{S}^1) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}) \to C(\mathbb{S}^2) \to 0$$

and the structure of $C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})$ is uniquely defined by the index

$$Index C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}) = 1 \in KK(\mathbb{S}^2,\mathbb{S}^1) = \mathcal{E}xt(C(\mathbb{S}^2), C(\mathbb{S}^1)) = \mathbb{Z}\ .$$

b. Non-absorbing extensions

The first example which requires essentially the KK-theory , but not enough the $\mathcal{E}xt$-functor BDF K-theory arisen as a class of two-step solvable Lie groups $\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\alpha>0} \mathbb{R}^m$, considered by J. Rosenberg [**Ros1**] and then $G(p,q) = \mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ considered by X. Wang [**Wan1**], including the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{2n+1}$ considered before by G. G. Kasparov [**Kas1**],[**Kas2**]. But in these examples we obtain the non-absorbing exact sequences and then the topological invariant $Index C^*(G)$ does not define the isomorphic classes of C*-algebras. Let us describe the results in more detailed form.

The C*-algebras of the , say *elliptic semi-simple* product $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^m$ (m is any positive integer) , where the action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$ have roots with the real part of the same sign , are isomorphic each to another and can be included in the short exact sequences of type

$$0 \to C(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}, \mathcal{K}(H)) \to C^*(\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^m) \to C(\mathbb{S}^1) \to 0\ .$$

Hence we have , but not describe by, the topological invariant

$$Index\ C^*(\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^m) \in KK(\mathbb{S}^{m-1},\mathbb{S}^1)$$

of the group C*-algebras.

The C*-algebra of Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{2n+1}$ can be included in the short , but not absorbing , exact sequence

$$0 \to C(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1, \mathcal{K}(H)) \to C^*(\mathbb{H}_{2n+1} \to C(\mathbb{S}^{2n}) \to 0$$

Hence , the index

$$Index\ C^*(\mathbb{H}_{2n+1}) = (1, (-1)^n) \in KK(\mathbb{S}^{2n}, \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\ .$$

This result has been generalized to the general case of connected and simply connected Lie groups. The group C*-algebras in these cases can be included in the exact sequences of type

$$0 \to C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(G) \to C^*(G/R) \to 0$$

if the union of the co-adjoint G-orbits of maximal dimension is the complement to the annihilator of $Lie\,\Gamma$ in $\mathfrak{g}^*$, $\gamma = R$, where the set of all the co-adjoint orbits of maximal dimension is denoted by X, see [**Kas2**]. So, the C*-algebra $C^*(G)$ admits the topological invariant $Index\ C^*(G) = (1, (-1)^{n/2})$, where n is the half-dimension of the co-adjoint orbits of maximal dimension.

c. Non-absorbing extension, associated with towers of C-ideals* There are only a finite number of non-isomorphic C*-algebras between the group C*-algebras of the 3-dimensional real solvable Lie groups. These C*-algebras are easily characterized all but the subclass $G_{3,2}(-\alpha)$, $\alpha > 0$, the C*-algebras of which are isomorphic one-to-other and are included into the short exact (but non absorbing) sequences

$$(\gamma_1)\ , \qquad 0 \to C(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(G_{3,2}(-\alpha)) \to A^1 \to 0$$

$$0 \to \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to A^1 \to C(\mathbb{S}^1) \to 0.$$

Hence, $Index\ C^*(G_{3,2}(-\alpha)) = ([\gamma_1], [\gamma_2])$;

$$[\gamma_1] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in KK(A^1, \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^3, \mathbb{Z}^4)$$

and

$$[\gamma_2] = (1, 1, -1, -1) \in KK(\mathbb{S}^1, 4\,pt) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4\ .$$

It is very interesting to consider the following class MD (resp., $\overline{MD}$) of connected and simply connected solvable Lie groups, all the co-adjoint orbit of which have maximal dimension or zero (resp. equal to the dimension of the groups or zero). It is easy to see [**SoV**] that the only non commutative groups in the class $\overline{MD}$ are the groups of affine transformations of the real or complex straight line.

All the C*-algebras of the groups in class $\overline{MD}$ are characterized up to isomorphism by the topological invariant *Index* $C^*(G)$:

$$\mathit{Index}\ C^*(\mathrm{Aff}_0\,\mathbb{R}) = (1,1) \in KK(\mathbb{S}^1, 2\,pt) \cong \mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}\ ,$$

$$\mathit{Index}\ C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}) = 1 \in KK(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}\ .$$

The MD-groups are not yet classified, but the subclass MD_4 of four-dimensional solvable MD-groups is completely listed, including the real diamond group $\mathbb{R}\ltimes\mathbb{H}_3$ (see [**Vi1**],[**Vi2**]); There are 13 concrete connected and simply connected MD_4-groups or series of such ones with exact description of commutator relations . All the C*-algebras of these MD_4-groups can be described by the direct analytic method, but only three cases of groups G, the Lie algebra of which is

$$\mathit{Lie}\ G \cong \langle T, X, Y, Z\rangle, [X,Y] = Z$$

and

- $adT = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & \sin\varphi & 0\\ -\sin\varphi & \cos\varphi & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \lambda\end{pmatrix}$, the Euclidean motion group $G_{\varphi,\lambda}$
- $adT = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}$, the Harmonic Oscillator $\mathbb{R}\ltimes_J\mathbb{H}_3$
- $adT = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}$, the Real diamond group $\mathbb{R}\ltimes\mathbb{H}_3$

The C*-algebra $C^*(G_{\varphi,\lambda})$ can be included in the short exact sequences

$$(\gamma_1)\qquad 0\to C^*(V_{\varphi,\lambda},\mathcal{F}) \to C^*(G_{\varphi,\lambda}) \to C(\mathbb{S}^1)\to 0$$

$$(\gamma_2)\qquad 0\to C(\mathbb{S}^2\vee\mathbb{S}^2)\otimes\mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(V_{\varphi,\lambda},\mathcal{F}) \to C(\mathbb{S}^1)\otimes\mathcal{K}(H)\to 0$$

and is characterized by the index *Index* $C^*(G_{\varphi,\lambda}) \cong ([\gamma_1],[\gamma_2])$,

$$[\gamma_1] \in KK(C(\mathbb{S}^1), C^*(V_{\varphi,\lambda},\mathcal{F}))\ ,$$

$$[\gamma_2] = (1,1) \in KK(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{S}^2\vee\mathbb{S}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}\ .$$

The C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{R}\ltimes_J\mathbb{H}_3)$ can be included in the short exact sequences

$$(\gamma_1)\qquad 0\to C^*(V_{\mathbb{R}\ltimes_J\mathbb{H}_3},\mathcal{F}) \to C^*(\mathbb{R}\ltimes_J\mathbb{H}_3) \to C(\mathbb{S}^1)\otimes\mathcal{K}(H)\to 0$$

$$(\gamma_2)\quad 0\to C((\mathbb{R}^\times\times\mathbb{R})_{cpt}\otimes\mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(\mathbb{R}\ltimes_J\mathbb{H}_3) \to C(\mathbb{S}^1)\otimes\mathcal{K}(H)\to 0$$

and has the same index *Index* $C^*(\mathbb{R}\ltimes_J\mathbb{H}_3) = ([\gamma_1],[\gamma_2])$,

$$[\gamma_1] \in KK(C(\mathbb{S}^1), C^*(V_{\mathbb{R}\ltimes_J\mathbb{H}_3},\mathcal{F})$$

$$[\gamma_2] = (1,1) \in KK(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{S}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}\ .$$

The C*-algebra of the real diamond group $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{H}_3$ can be included in three exact sequences

$$(\gamma_1) \qquad 0 \to C(\mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{H}_3) \to A^1 \to 0$$

$$(\gamma_2) \qquad 0 \to C(\mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to A^1 \to A^2 \to 0$$

$$(\gamma_3) \qquad 0 \to \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{K} \to A^2 \to C(\mathbb{S}^1) \to 0$$

and

$$\mathit{Index}\ C^*(\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{H}_3) = ([\gamma_1], [\gamma_2], [\gamma_3]) \ ,$$

where

$$[\gamma_1] = (1,1) \in KK(A^1, C(\mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2,$$

$$[\gamma_2] = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in KK(A^2, C(\mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^4, \mathbb{Z}^4),$$

$$[\gamma_3] = (1,1,-1,-1) \in KK(C(\mathbb{S}^1), \mathbb{C}^4) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^4).$$

So the description of MD_4-group C*-algebras is achieved. One can hope to describe the C*-algebras of the whole class MD by the same method. The question rests open update.

Finally, the C*-algebras of the hyperbolic semi-direct product

$$\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{p+q} = G(p,q,\alpha)$$

with p negative roots $-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_p$ and q positive roots $\alpha_{p+1}, \dots, \alpha_{p+q}$ can be included in two short exact sequences

$$0 \to C^*(U,F)^{\sim} \to C^*(G(p,q,\alpha)) \to C(\mathbb{S}^1) \to 0 \ ,$$

where U is the one-point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{p+q} \setminus (0)$ and

$$0 \to C^*(U_1,F)^{\sim} \to C^*(U,F) \to\to C(\mathbb{S}^p \vee \mathbb{S}^q) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \to 0,$$

where $U_1 = \mathbb{R}^{p+q} \setminus (\mathbb{R}^p \vee \mathbb{R}^q)$. So the C*-algebra $C^*(G(p,q,\alpha))$ admits the topological invariant $\mathit{Index}\ C^*(G(p,q,\alpha))$ valuated in the Kasparov groups $KK(C(\mathbb{S}^1), C^*(U,F))$ and $KK(C(\mathbb{S}^p \vee \mathbb{S}^q) \rtimes \mathbb{R})$.

In all these examples, the invariant $\mathit{Index}\ C^*(G)$ is a sequence of type (δ_0, δ_1)-homomorphisms in the six-term exact sequences of K-groups. They are in general expressed by using intersection cup-cap-products . Only for the examples in subsection a. one can use analytic method of calculating the Fredholm index. So it is easy to see that we must develop the theory of K-functors admitting some intersection products.

In the next four chapters we shall expose these cases in detail. We hope that after reading this part the beginner can already work in these problems more or less productively.

CHAPTER 2

Classification of $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$-Groups

1. Definitions

First of all we recall the notion of K-action. Let us denote by G a connected and simply connected Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = T_eG$ its Lie algebra as the tangent space at the neutral element e. It is easy to see that to each element $g \in G$ one can associate a map

$$A(g) : G \to G$$

by the conjugacy, in fixing the identity element $e \in G$. Therefore, the corresponding tangent map $A(g)_* : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$

$$X \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} g \exp(tX) g^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

It is easy to see that this really defines an action, denoted as usually by Ad of group G in its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. One defines therefore an so called co-adjoint action of group G in the dual vector space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ by the formula

$$\langle K(g)F, X\rangle := \langle F, \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})X\rangle,$$

for all $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $g \in G$. It is easy to check that this defines a real action of G on $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

Definition 1.1. The orbits of this action are called the *co-adjoint orbits* or *K-orbit*.

As an easy consequence, one deduce that the dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is decomposed into a disconnected sum of the K-orbit.

Definition 1.2. We say that a real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is in the class $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$ if every K-orbit is of dimension, equal 0 or $\dim \mathfrak{g}$.

This means that the structure of the orbit space of such a Lie algebra must be rather simple: There is only two strata of orbits and the union of the maximal dimension is dense in the whole space $\mathfrak{g}^*$. The other evident consequence is the fact that the dimension $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim G$ must be an even number.

Recall that in each K-orbit there is a natural differential form, associated with the bilinear form

$$B_F(X,Y) := \langle F, [X,Y]\rangle, \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

It is not hard to verify the following assertion

CLAIM 1.1. The kernel of this bilinear form is just the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_F :=$ Lie G_F of the stabilizer G_F of the point $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ under the co-adjoint action.

PROOF. For a connected Lie group, every element can be obtained as some product of elements from the image of the exponential map

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G.$$

We can therefore restrict to the case of element of type $\exp(X), X \in \mathfrak{g}$. For the elements of this kind it is enough to remember a formula from the Lie theory

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\exp X) = \exp(\mathrm{ad}\, X).$$

□

This means that the form $B_F(.,.)$ is invariant under the action of the stabilizer group G_F of the K-orbit passing through F. One can therefore translate this form to other points in order to have a differential form on the K-orbit $\Omega_F = G/G_F$, passing through F.

DEFINITION 1.3. The corresponding symplectic form is called Kirillov form on K-orbits.

2. $\overline{\text{MD}}$-Criteria

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{g}^1 := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ the commutator of the Lie algebra.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If $F \in \mathfrak{g}^* \backslash (\mathfrak{g}^1)^*$, i.e. if F is a functional on $\mathfrak{g}$ which is non-vanishing on $\mathfrak{g}^1$, then the K-orbit Ω_F passing through F, is of maximal dimension,* $\dim \Omega_F = \dim G$. *Moreover $\mathfrak{g}^1$ is commutative.*

PROOF. We prove this proposition by contradiction argument. Assume that F is some functional on $\mathfrak{g}$ which is non-vanishing on the commutator $\mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ but $\dim \Omega_F \neq \dim \mathfrak{g}$, i.e. $\dim \Omega_F = 0$. This means that

$$\dim G_F = \dim G - \dim \Omega_F = \dim \mathfrak{g}.$$

Hence, $\mathfrak{g}_F = \mathfrak{g}$, i.e. $\operatorname{Ker} B_F = \mathfrak{g}$. This contradicts the assumptions. Hence $\dim \Omega_F = \dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim G$.

Now we prove that $\mathfrak{g}^1$ is commutative. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}^2$ the second derived ideal, $\mathfrak{g}^2 = [\mathfrak{g}^1, \mathfrak{g}^1]$. Because $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable, $\dim \mathfrak{g}^2 < \dim \mathfrak{g}^1$. Hence there exists a nonzero functional $F \in (\mathfrak{g}^1)^*$, vanishing on $\mathfrak{g}^2$. This means that $\mathfrak{g}^2 \subset \mathfrak{g}_F = 0$. What mean that $\mathfrak{g}^1$ is commutative. □

Proposition 2.2. **($\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$-criterion)** *Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is of class* $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$ *if and only if*

$$\mathrm{ad}_X(\mathfrak{g}) = [X, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}^1, \forall 0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Proof. Consider $\mathfrak{g}$ of class $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$. Following the previous proposition, for every element $F \in \mathfrak{g}^* \setminus (\mathfrak{g}^1)^*$, we have $\dim \Omega_F = \dim \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_F = \operatorname{Ker} B_F = 0$ ☐

. Suppose that there exists some element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $X \neq 0$ and $[X, \mathfrak{g}] \neq \mathfrak{g}^1$. There exists hence an element $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ vanishes in $\mathfrak{g}^1$ and doesn't vanish on $[X, \mathfrak{g}]$. This means that $0 \neq X \in \operatorname{Ker} B_F = 0$. This contradiction proves that $[X, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}^1$.

Conversely, Suppose that for every $X \neq 0$ $[X, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}^1$. If $F \in \mathfrak{g}$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{g}^1$, we have $\operatorname{Ker} B_F = \mathfrak{g}$. In this case, $\mathfrak{g}_F = \mathfrak{g}$, $\dim G_F = \dim \mathfrak{g}$, $\dim \Omega_F = 0$.

If $F^* \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ doesn't vanish on $\mathfrak{g}^1$, we have $[X, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}^1, \forall X \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Ker} B_F = 0$ and hence $\dim \Omega_F = \dim \mathfrak{g}$.

3. Classification Theorem

Let us denote $\mathrm{ad}^1_Y := \mathrm{ad}_Y |_{\mathfrak{g}^1}$.

Lemma 3.1. *If* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is of class* $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$*, the operators of type* $\mathrm{ad}^1_Y, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ *are pairwise commuting*

Proof. We have the well-known Jacobi identity

$$[X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]] = 0, \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$$

. In particular, if Z is in the commutative derived ideal $\mathfrak{g}^1$, then

$$[Z, [X, Y]] = 0$$

and we have

$$(\mathrm{ad}_X \circ \mathrm{ad}_Y - \mathrm{ad}_Y \circ \mathrm{ad}_X)Z \equiv 0, \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \forall Z \in \mathfrak{g}^1.$$

☐

Recall that the Lie algebra of affine transformations of the real straight line is described as follows. The Lie group $\operatorname{Aff} \mathbb{R}$ of affine transformations of the real straight line is the group of affine transformations of type

$$x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto ax + b,$$

for some parameters $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \neq 0$. For this reason some time one refers this group by "ax+b"-group. It is easy to prove that

$$\operatorname{Aff} \mathbb{R} \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad | \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \neq 0 \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that its Lie algebra $\operatorname{aff}\mathbb{R} = \operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Aff}\mathbb{R}$ is

$$\operatorname{aff}\mathbb{R} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad | \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

By an easy direct calculation it is easy also to see that the Lie algebra $\operatorname{aff}\mathbb{R}$ is generated by two generators with the only nontrivial Lie brackets $[X, Y] = Y$, i.e.

$$\operatorname{aff}\mathbb{R} = \{aX + bY \quad | \quad [X, Y] = Y; a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Now let us consider the group $\operatorname{Aff}\mathbb{C}$ of complex affine transformations of the complex straight line. The most easy method is to consider X, Y as complex generators, $X = X_1 + iX_2$ and $Y = Y_1 + iY_2$. Then from the relation $[X, Y] = Y$ we get

$$[X_1 + iX_2, Y_1 + iY_2] = Y_1 + iY_2.$$

This means that

$$[X_1, Y_1] - [X_2, Y_2] + i([X_1, Y_2] + [X_2, Y_1]) = Y_1 + iY_2.$$

This means that the Lie algebra $\operatorname{aff}\mathbb{C}$ of affine transformations of the complex straight line is a real 4-dimensional Lie algebra, having 4 generators with the only nonzero Lie brackets

$$[X_1, Y_1] - [X_2, Y_2] = Y_1, \quad [X_2, Y_1] + [X_1, Y_2] = Y_2.$$

Later in the proof of the theorem of classification what follows we shall choose another basis noted also by the same letters to have more clear Lie brackets of this Lie algebra,

$$\begin{array}{ll} [X_1, Y_1] = Y_1, & [X_1, Y_2] = Y_2 \\ [X_2, Y_1] = Y_2, & [X_2, Y_2] = -Y_1 \end{array}$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Up to isomorphism every algebra of class* $\overline{\text{MD}}$ *is one of the following:*

- *Commutative Lie algebra,*
- *Lie algebra* $\operatorname{aff}\mathbb{R}$ *of affine transformations of the real straight line,*
- *Lie algebra* $\operatorname{aff}\mathbb{C}$ *of affine transformations of the complex straight line.*

PROOF. **Step 1.**

CLAIM 3.1. There are only two possibilities: $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 =$ either 1 or 2

Consider the representation ad^1 of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{g}^1$. The criterion $\overline{\text{MD}}$ is just the irreducibility of this representation. In other hand, the operators ad^1_Y commute one with others. There is therefore an invariant complex line D in the complexification $\mathfrak{g}^1_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g}^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ for all operators $\operatorname{ad}^1_Y, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. There are two cases :

- *D coincide with its complex conjugation $\overline{D}$.* In this case $D = \delta \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, where $\delta \subset \mathfrak{g}^1$ is a real line invariant under all the operators ad^1_Y, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.
- $D \neq \overline{D}$. In this case we have $D \otimes \overline{D} = \delta \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, where δ is some real 2-dimensional plane, invariant under all the operators $\mathrm{ad}^1_Y, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

In both the cases we have $\delta = \mathfrak{g}^1$, in virtue of the irreducibility of the representation ad^1.

We do some remarks:

Remark 3.1. In the first case the existence of one dimensional real line, invariant under all the operators ad^1_Y, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ deduces that $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = 1$.

Remark 3.2. If $[Z_1, \mathfrak{g}^1] = [Z_2, \mathfrak{g}^1] \equiv 0$ for some $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $[Z_1, Z_2] = 0$.

Really, following Jacobi identities, we have

$$[[Z_1, Z_2], \mathfrak{g}] + [[Z_2, \mathfrak{g}], Z_1] + [[\mathfrak{g}, Z_1], Z_2] \equiv 0.$$

The last two summands are 0, then

$$[[Z_1, Z_2], \mathfrak{g}] \equiv 0.$$

Following the $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$-criterion we have $[Z_1, Z_2] = 0$.

Step 2.

Case 1: $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = 1$.

Choose some $Y \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{g}^1$. Following the $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$-criterion $\mathrm{ad}_Y : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^1$ is an surjection then there exists some $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathrm{ad}_Y(X) = -Y$, i.e. $[X, Y] = Y$. We show that $\mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{ad}_Y = \mathfrak{g}^1$. Following the remark 3.2, $\mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{ad}_Y$ is commutative. If $\mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{ad}_Y \neq \mathfrak{g}^1$, then there exists $X_1 \in \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{ad}_Y$, but X_1 is not in $\mathfrak{g}^1$. Because, $[X_1, X] \in \mathfrak{g}^1$, we have

$$[X_1, X] = \lambda Y,$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $[X_1 + \lambda Y, \mathfrak{g}] \equiv 0$. From the $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$-criterion we deduces that $X_1 + \lambda Y = 0$, what contradicts to the assumption that $\mathrm{Ker}\, \mathrm{ad}_Y \neq 0$. Thus The Lie algebra has two generators X, Y with the only nonzero relation $[X, Y] = Y$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathrm{aff}\, \mathbb{R}$.

Case 2: $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = 2$

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^1 \otimes L$, for some L with $\dim L > 2$. Consider the operator $\mathrm{ad}_X : L \to \mathfrak{g}^1, \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}^1$. Because $\dim L > \dim \mathfrak{g}^1$, there exists $Y \in L, Y \neq 0$ such that $\mathrm{ad}_X Y = 0$. We shall prove that $[Y, \mathfrak{g}^1] = 0$. Indeed, if $[Y, \mathfrak{g}^1] \neq 0$, X must be the unique 0-vector of the operator ad^1_Y. Because the operators ad^1_Y, commute one with another, $\mathbb{R}X$ become an invariant space for all $\mathrm{ad}^1_T, T \in \mathfrak{g}^1$. Following Remark 3.1, $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = 1$. This contradiction prove that $[Y, \mathfrak{g}^1] \equiv 0$. If the co-dimension of $\mathbb{R}Y$ in L stills bigger than 2, there exists a vector Z in its the complement, such that $[Z, \mathfrak{g}^1] \equiv 0$. We continue this procedure until the case where $\dim L = 2$. In this case, $L = L_1 \otimes L_2$, such that $\dim L_1 = 2$ and $[L_2, \mathfrak{g}^1] \equiv 0$. Following Remark 3.2, L_2 must be commutative. If $L_2 \neq 0$, then $\dim L_2 \geq 2$, because the K-orbit have even dimension.

Consider the surjective map

$$\mathrm{ad}_Y : \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_1 \to \mathfrak{g}^1.$$

if $Y \in \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus L_2$, we have $[Y, \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus L_2] = 0$. Therefore, the operator $\mathrm{ad}_Y : L_1 \to \mathfrak{g}^1$ is a surjection for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus L_2 \setminus \{0\}$. Because $\dim L_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = 2$, the operator ad_Y is an isomorphism. We have a linear map

$$\mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus L_2 \setminus \{0\} \to ISO(L_1, \mathfrak{g}^1).$$

Moreover, following $\overline{\text{MD}}$-criterion, this is an injective map. This is a contradiction. Thus, $L_2 = 0$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus L$, where $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = \dim L = 2$. Suppose that Y_1, Y_2 provide a basis of $\mathfrak{g}^1$. Because, $\mathrm{ad}_{Y_i} : L \to \mathfrak{g}^1$ is surjective, there exists some $X_1 \in L$ such that $[X_1, Y_1] = Y_1$, i.e. $\mathrm{ad}^1_{X_1} Y_1 = Y_1$. Following Remark 3.1, Y_1 can not be the unique eigen vector up to a scalar multiple with eigenvalue 1 of the operator $\mathrm{ad}^1_{X_1}$. Thus $\mathrm{ad}^1_{X_1} = Id$.

Consider epimorphism $\mathrm{ad}_{X_1} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^1$. We have $\dim \operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{ad}_{X_1} = 2$, thus there exists X'_2 such that $[X_1, X'_2] = 0$ and X_1, X'_2, Y_1, Y_2 form a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. Because $\mathrm{ad}^1_{X_1} = Id$, $\mathrm{ad}^1_{X'_2}$ can not have an eigenvector, following $\overline{\text{MD}}$-criterion. Change basis in $|fraktg^1$ we have

$$\mathrm{ad}^1_{X'_2} - \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \neq 0$. Choose

$$X_2 = \frac{1}{b}(X'_2 - aX_1),$$

we have

$$\mathrm{ad}^1_{X_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to to an algebra generated by a basis X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 with the following only nonzero brackets

$$\begin{array}{ll} [X_1, Y_1] = Y_1, & [X_1, Y_2] = Y_2 \\ [X_2, Y_1] = Y_2, & [X_2, Y_2] = -Y_1 \end{array}$$

This means that Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{aff}\, \mathbb{C}$.

□

Remark 3.3. This theorem let us to restrict our consideration for the class $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$ practically to Lie algebras of affine transformations of the real or complex straight lines and the corresponding Lie groups

- the Lie group $\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}$ of all the transformations of the real straight line,
- the universal covering $\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}}$, which is just isomorphic to the connected component $\mathrm{Aff}_0\,\mathbb{R}$ of the identity element,
- the Lie group of complex affine transformations of the complex straight line,
- and its universal covering $\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}$ which is isomorphic to the connected component of identity $\mathrm{Aff}_0\,\mathbb{R}$

4. Bibliographical Remarks

The main idea to classify of this class of Lie algebra $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$ belongs to the author of this book. He posed this problem for his postgraduate student H. H. Viet and a young colleague V. M. Son and solved together with them. The solution of this question was published in J. Operator Theory [**SoV**]. Professor P. Cartier, during his scientific trip in Hanoi, helped them to shortcut the long proof.

CHAPTER 3

The Structure of C*-Algebras of $\overline{\mathrm{MD}}$-Groups

1. The C*-Algebra of $\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}$

1.1. Statement of Theorems. Let us denote by G the group of affine transformations of the real straight line. We want to study the structure of this group and its C*-algebra $C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R})$. To do this, we need first known its dual object, i.e. all its unitary representations up to unitary equivalence.

Theorem 1.1. *see* [**GN**] *Each irreducible unitary representation of the group of affine transformations of the real straight line, up to unitary equivalence belongs to the following list of nonequivalent irreducible unitary representations:*

a) *the representation S, realized in th space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^*, \frac{dx}{|x|})$, where $\mathbb{R}^* := \mathbb{R} \setminus (0)$, and the action is given by the formula*

$$(S_g f)(x) = e^{\sqrt{-1}bx} f(ax), \qquad \text{where } g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

b) *the representations U_λ^ε, realized in $\mathbb{C}^1$ and is given by the formula*

$$U_\lambda^\varepsilon(g) = |\alpha|^{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}.(\operatorname{sgn}\alpha)^\varepsilon, \qquad \text{where } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}; \varepsilon = 0, 1.$$

Proof. see [**GN**] and section 1.2 below. ☐

This list of irreducible unitary representations give us also the corresponding list of irreducible non-degenerate *-representations of the corresponding group C*-algebra $C^*(G)$. The next deal is to study the structure of this group C*-algebra. In [**D1**] the author proved that this group C*-algebra $C^*(G)$ can be considered as some extension of the C*-algebra of continuous functions on a compact by the elementary C*-algebra of compact operators in a separable Hilbert space.

Theorem 1.2. *The C*-algebra with a formally jointed unity element $C^*(G)^\sim$ can be included in a short exact sequence of C*-algebras and *-homomorphisms*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow C^*(G)^\sim \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

This means that the C-algebra* $C^*(G)^\sim$*, following BDF theory, is uniquely determined, up to isomorphisms class,by an element, said to be its* the index *and is denoted by* $Index\, C^*(G)$.

PROOF. [**D1**] and section 1.3 below ☐

The infinite irreducible unitary representation S realizes the indicated inclusion. Because

$$\mathcal{E}xt(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi^1(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1),$$

it provides a homomorphism from $\pi^1(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1)$ to $\mathbb{C}^*$. In virtue of the fact that the homomorphism

$$Y_\infty : \mathcal{E}xt(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi^1(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1), \mathbb{Z}^1)$$

is obtained by computing of indices Fredholm operators and the general type of elements of $\pi^1(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1)$ is $g_{k,l} = [g_{0,1}]^k[g_{1,0}]^l$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}\,(g_{k,l}) = k.\,\mathrm{Ind}\;T(g_{1,0}) + l.\,\mathrm{Ind}\;T(g_{0,1}),$$

where T is a *-isomorphism, corresponding to S. Hence, we need only to compute a pair of indices Ind $T(g_{1,0})$ and Ind $T(g_{0,1})$. These indices can be computed directly by the methods of computing the indices of Fredholm operators. We obtained the following exact computed results.

THEOREM 1.3.

$$Index\, C^*(G) = (1,1) \in \mathcal{E}xt(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

PROOF. [**D1**] and section 1.4 below. ☐

These results give us an interesting *improvement for a question of* A.A. KIRILLOV raised in THE I.M. GELFAND'S SEMINAR at the Moscow University in 1974 the the extension of the C*-algebra $C^*(G)$ of the group of affine transformations of the real straight line is split. This example does also spirit to apply the K-functors to some more general group C*-algebras. This was also a reason conducting G.G. KASPAROV to create his beautiful general KK-functors.

Returning to the general question, we need first of all some preparation on construction of all the irreducible unitary representations of groups. In the advanced theory, we shall do this by the construction of the multidimensional orbit method. Secondly, we shall propose some methods to decompose the group C*-algebras into some repeated short exact sequences of C*-algebras and finally we shall propose some methods to reduces the indices to some computable cases by using the general Atiyah-Hirzebruch-Singer index formula for elliptic operators on topological spaces. This program shall be done in the second part of this book.

1.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.1, was well-known as the first result about infinite dimensional unitary representations, after what the fruitful theory was well developed. In this first pioneer work. I. M. GEL'FAND and M. A. NAIMARK have proved the theorem, using only the classical analysis and functional analysis. Now the day, we have enough strong tools to prove it shorter and more clear, for example the method of small subgroups of G. W. MACKEY[**Mac**], or the orbit method, said in this book.

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^*. \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ is the well-known semi-direct product of $\mathbb{R}^* := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}$. we use therefore the Mackey method of small subgroups for the commutative normal subgroup $N = \mathbb{R}$. The dual object $\hat{N} \approx \mathbb{R}$ of $N \approx \mathbb{R}$ consists of characters χ_λ, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, defined by the formula

$$\chi_\lambda(n) = \exp(i\lambda n), \forall n \in N,$$

for each fixed λ.

The group $\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}$ acts on the dual object $\hat{N} \approx \mathbb{R}$ following the formula

$$(g.\chi_\lambda)(n) := \chi_\lambda(gng^{-1}) = \chi_\lambda(an) = \chi_\lambda(n),$$

where $g = (a,b) \in \mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}$. We have therefore

$$g.\chi_\lambda = \chi_{a\lambda}.$$

Hence in $\hat{N} \approx \mathbb{R}$ there are only two orbits

$$\{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Following the Mackey theory of induction from small subgroups, the orbit $\{0\}$ has the stabilizer subgroup, coincided with the whole group $\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}$. The characters of this stabilizer are in a $1-1$ correspondence with elements of the dual object

$$\widehat{\mathbb{R}^\times_+} = \widehat{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}},$$

i.e. the unitary representations $U^\varepsilon_\lambda, \forall \varepsilon = 0, 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. On the orbit $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ choose a fixed point χ_1, i.e. with $\lambda = 1$. The stabilizer of the fixed point χ_1 is $G_{\chi_1} = N = \mathbb{R}$. Hence, following the Mackey theory, the orbit $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ corresponds to a unique representation $\mathrm{Ind}^G_N \chi_1$, following the induction rule

$$(\mathrm{Ind}^G_N(a,b))f(x) = \exp(ibx)f(xa),$$

realized in the space $L^2(X, d\mu_X(x))$ with $X = N \setminus G \approx \mathbb{R}^* \setminus \{0\}$ and $d\mu_X(.) = \frac{dx}{|x|}$ as the quasi-invariant measure on the corresponding orbit. It is easy to see that this representation is exactly the representation S in the statement of the theorem. Following the Mackey theory this list is the complete list of all irreducible unitary representations of the group. The theorem is therefore proved.

1.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. As usually, we add to the group C*-algebras the formally jointed unity element. It is easy to see that the representation S is an exact representation, i.e. $\operatorname{Ker} S = \{0\}$. Because our group is a type I group, i.e. the group C*-algebra is GCR, in $C^*(G)$ there is an ideal, isomorphic to the ideal $\mathcal{K}(H)$ of compact operators, where $H \cong L^2(\mathbb{R}^*, \frac{dx}{|x|})$.

Lemma 1.1. *Consider* $\varphi \in L^1(\operatorname{Aff}\mathbb{R}, \frac{dadb}{|a|})$. *The conditions what follow are equivalent*

1)

$$\varphi \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U_\lambda^\varepsilon,$$

2)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(a,b)db = 0, \text{ a. e. w. r. t. the measure } \frac{da}{|a|}.$$

Proof. Suppose $\psi(a) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(a,b)db$. The function ψ shall be decomposed into the sum of the even part ψ_1 and the odd part ψ_2. We have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \varphi \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U_\lambda^\varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \int_{0<|a|<+\infty} \int_{-\infty<b<+\infty} |a|^{i\lambda} (\operatorname{sgn} a)^\varepsilon \psi(a) \frac{da}{|a|} & = 0, \forall \lambda, \varepsilon \\ \int_{0<|a|<+\infty} |a|^{i\lambda} (\operatorname{sgn} a)^\varepsilon \psi(a) \frac{da}{|a|} & = 0, \forall \lambda, \varepsilon. \end{array}$$

Because ψ_1 is even and ψ_2 is odd the above assertion is equivalent to the two condition what follow:

$$\begin{cases} \int_{0<|a|<+\infty} |a|^{i\lambda} \psi_2(a) \frac{da}{|a|} = 0 & , \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \\ \int_{0<|a|<+\infty} |a|^{i\lambda} \psi_1(a) \operatorname{sgn} a \frac{da}{|a|} = 0 & , \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Following the parity of ψ_2 and the exactness of the Fourier transformation, we have the equivalent conditions

$$\psi_1(a) = 0, \text{ a. e. w. r. p. t. } \frac{da}{|a|},$$

$$\psi_2(a) = 0, \text{ a. e. w. r. t. } \frac{da}{|a|},$$

and therefore we have an equivalent condition

$$\psi(a) = 0, \text{ a. e. w. r. t. } \frac{da}{|a|}.$$

□

Lemma 1.2. *Assume* $\varphi \in L^1(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}, \frac{dadb}{|a|})$*,satisfies the condition*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(a,b)db = 0, \text{ a. e. on } \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\} w.\ r.\ t. \frac{da}{|a|}.$$

Then $S(\varphi)$ *is a compact operator, where* S *in th single irreducible unitary representation of the group* Aff ℝ.

Proof. In [**GN**] was proved separately. But we can deduce this Lemma also from our compactness criteria in the advanced part. To avoid some repetition we omit this proof here. □

Lemma 1.3. *The infinite dimensional representation* S *provides n isomorphism of* C^**-algebras*

$$\bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{K}(H).$$

Proof. 1. First of all we must show that the representation S is an exact representation, i.e. in $C^*(G)$, $\operatorname{Ker} S = 0$. Indeed, Following the results of J. M. Fell[47], every representation U^ε_λ is weakly contained in the representation S in the topology of the dual object $\widehat{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}}$. This means that if $S(\varphi) = 0$, then $U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\varphi) = 0, \forall\varepsilon = 0,1; \forall\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$\sup_{\pi\in\hat{G}} |\pi(\varphi)\| = 0, \text{ i.e. } \|\varphi\|_{C^*(G)} = 0.$$

We have from here, $\varphi = 0$.

2. Following the previous lemmas we have an inclusion

$$S(\bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda)v \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}(H).$$

Because $\mathcal{K}(H)$ is an elementary C*-algebra, see J. Dixmier[37], one rests to show that

$$S(\bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda)$$

is a two sided closed ideal in $\mathcal{K}(H)$.

Assume that $K \in S(\bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda)$ and $A \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ is an arbitrary element. Because the group is of type I, following the Dixmier-Glimme-Sakai theorem, the image $S(C^*(G))$ contain at least one compact operator. There exists therefore an element $\varphi \in C^*(G)$ such that

$$A = S(\varphi).$$

Following the assumption, there exists

$$K = S(\varphi_1), \text{ where } \varphi_1 \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda.$$

We have in this case

$$A.K = S(\varphi)S(\varphi_1) = S(\varphi\varphi_1),$$
$$K.A = S(\varphi_1)S(\varphi) = S(\varphi_1\varphi).$$

Because

$$U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\varphi\varphi_1) = U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\varphi)U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\varphi_1) = 0, \forall\varepsilon = 0,1; \forall\lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

we have

$$\varphi\varphi_1 \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda,$$

hence,

$$A.K = S(\varphi\varphi_1) \in S(\bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda).$$

y analogy, we also have

$$K.A \in S(\bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda).$$

Thus,

$$S(\bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda) = \mathcal{K}(H).$$

□

1.3.1. *End of Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Because the representation S is exact then we can identify th ideal $\bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda$ with its image

$$S(\bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda) \cong \mathcal{K}(H).$$

We show that the quotient C*-algebra $C^*(G)/\mathcal{K}(H)$ is commutative.

Indeed, because the representations U^ε_λ are all of dimension 1, then

$$U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\varphi\psi - \psi\varphi) = U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\varphi)U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\psi) - U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\psi)U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\varphi) = 0, \forall\varepsilon = 0,1; \forall\lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

One deduce that

$$\varphi\psi - \psi\varphi) \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda,$$

i.e. the quotient C*-algebra $C^*(G)/\mathcal{K}(H)$ is a commutative C*-algebra. One shows that the maximal ideals of the quotient C*-algebra are just the ideals obtained from One deduce that

$$\varphi\psi - \psi\varphi) \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon,\lambda} \operatorname{Ker} U^\varepsilon_\lambda,$$

i.e. the quotient C*-algebra $C^*(G)/\mathcal{K}(H)$ is a commutative C*-algebra. One shows that the maximal ideals of the quotient C*-algebra are just the ideals obtained from the corresponding ideals, which contain the ideal $\mathcal{K}(H)$. It is easy to see from here that

$$C^*(\operatorname{Aff}\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{K}(H) \cong \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1),$$

in other words, we have the exact sequence in the theorem 1.2:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(H) \longrightarrow \widetilde{C^*(\operatorname{Aff}\mathbb{R})} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

1.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. It is well-known that

$$\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^{-1}(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Because of

$$K^{-1}(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z},$$

we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^{-1}(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{Z}^1), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

and so ,

$$\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

We must prove that the C*-algebra of the group of affine transformations of the real straight line corresponds to the pair $(1, 1)$ in $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. The proof is rather long and we must divide it in a sequence of steps.

First of all, we must find out the generators of the group $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Remark that we added to the C*-algebra the formal unity element is equivalent to consideration the one point compactification of its dual object. Certainly that the one point compactification of a pair of parallel real straight lines is just $\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$. This means that we can enumerate the points of $\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$ by the pairs of numbers $(\varepsilon, \lambda), \forall \varepsilon = 0, 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$X = \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1 = \{(\lambda, \varepsilon) \ : \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}; \varepsilon = 0, 1\} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

From definition,

$$\pi^1(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) := [\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C}^*] \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $[.,.]$ denote the homotopy class of maps. More precisely, with each pair of integers $(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ we can choose a map $g_{k,l}$ as follows,

$$g_{k,l}(\lambda, \varepsilon) := \begin{cases} \exp[k.i.2.\operatorname{arcctg}\lambda/2] & , \text{ if } \varepsilon = 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \\ \exp[k.i.2\operatorname{arcctg}\lambda/2] & , \text{ if } \varepsilon = 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \\ 1 & , \text{ if } \lambda = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then the homotopy classes $[g_{k,l}]$ provide a group which is equivalent with $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ with two generators $[g_{0,1}]$ and $[g_{1,0}]$,

$$[g_{k,l}] = [g_{0,1}]^k.[g_{1,0}]^l.$$

Recall that for an arbitrary compact X, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Ext_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^0(X), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(X) \xrightarrow{Y_\infty} \mathrm{Hom}(K^{-1}(X), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Moreover, if $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\dim X \leq 1$, then the homomorphism Y_∞ is an isomorphism, see L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas and P. A. Fillmore[7]. Because $X \subset \mathbb{C}$,

$$K^{-1}(X) = [X, \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})] = [X, \mathbb{C}^*] = \pi^1(X).$$

Assume τ to be an arbitrary extension, i.e. τ is an inclusion

$$\tau : \mathbb{C}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(H).$$

The the invertible elements $g \in \mathbb{C}(X)^*$ must be mapped into invertible elements $\tau(g)$ in Calkin algebra $\mathcal{A}(H)$. Hence, $\tau(g)$ is defined by an unique Fredholm operator, up to compact operator perturbations. Moreover, the index $\mathrm{Ind}\,\tau(g)$ depends only on the homotopy class of map $g \in \mathbb{C}(X)^*$.

Then to our extension τ corresponds a homomorphism Ind in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi^1(X), \mathbb{Z})$, with $X = \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1$. We have

$$\mathrm{Ind}\,\tau([g_{k,l}]) = k.\,\mathrm{Ind}\,\tau([g_{0,1}]) + l.\,\mathrm{Ind}([g_{1,0}]).$$

The irreducible infinite dimensional unitary representation S of the group $\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}$ gives us an extension of type

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(H) \longrightarrow \widetilde{C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R})} \xrightarrow{U^\varepsilon_\lambda} \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 0$$

. following BDF theory, to him corresponds an unique homomorphism of type

$$\tau : \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(H).$$

Remark that if $\varphi \in C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R})$, and if $U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\varphi) = g(\lambda, \varepsilon), \varepsilon = 0, 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, with $g \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1)^*$, then $S(\varphi)$ is a Fredholm operator and

$$\mathrm{Ind}\, S(\varphi) = \mathrm{Ind}\,\tau(g).$$

We conclude that we should compete our study of the structure of the group C*-algebra following the program:

Program of computing indices

a. Find out the functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\varphi_1) = g_{0,1}(\varepsilon, \lambda), \forall \varepsilon = 0, 1; \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$U^\varepsilon_\lambda(\varphi_2) = g_{1,0}(\varepsilon, \lambda), \forall \varepsilon = 0, 1; \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

b. Compute the indices of Fredholm operators

$$\mathrm{Ind}\, S(\varphi_1) = \mathrm{Ind}\,\tau([g_{0,1}]),$$

$$\mathrm{Ind}\, S(\varphi_2) = \mathrm{Ind}\,\tau([g_{1,0}]),$$

Then,the topological invariant of our C*-algebra is just

$$Index C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}) = (\mathrm{Ind}\, S(\varphi_1), \mathrm{Ind}\, S(\varphi_2)).$$

LEMMA 1.4.

1. $$-2\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\exp\{-2|a|+i\lambda a\}da=\frac{-8}{\lambda^2+4}$$

2. $$2\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\exp\{-2|a|+i\lambda a\}\operatorname{sgn} a da=4i\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2+4}.$$

PROOF. We do the exact computation by integrating in part.

1. Put
$$\mathcal{J}=\int_0^{\infty}e^{-2a}\cos\lambda a da,$$
then
$$\mathcal{J}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}\mathcal{J}.$$
Hence,
$$\mathcal{J}=\frac{2}{\lambda^2+4}.$$
We have
$$\begin{aligned}-2\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\exp\{-2|a|+i\lambda a\}da &=-2\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{-2|a|}\cos\lambda a da-2i\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{-1|a|}\sin\lambda a da\\ &=-4\int_0^{+infty}e^{-2a}\cos\lambda a da\\ &=-4\mathcal{J}\\ &=\frac{-8}{\lambda^2+4}\end{aligned}$$

2. By the same type computation. □

LEMMA 1.5.
$$\exp[i2\operatorname{arcctg}(\frac{\lambda}{2})]-1=\frac{-8}{\lambda^2+4}+4i\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2+4}.$$

PROOF. We do some trigonometric transform. Pose $\alpha=2\operatorname{arcctg}\frac{\lambda}{2}$. Then
$$\frac{\lambda}{2}=\operatorname{ctg}\frac{\alpha}{2}=t.$$
We have
$$\begin{aligned}\exp\{i2\operatorname{arcctg}\tfrac{\lambda}{2}\} &=e^{i\alpha}=\cos\alpha+i\sin\alpha\\ &=\frac{t^2-1}{t^2+1}+i\frac{2t}{t^2+1}\\ &=\frac{(\frac{\lambda}{2})^2-1}{(\frac{\lambda}{2})^2+1}+i\frac{2(\frac{\lambda}{2})}{(\frac{\lambda}{2})^2+1}\\ &=\frac{\lambda^2-4}{\lambda^2+4}+i4\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2+4}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1.6. *Suppose*

$$\psi_i(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \textit{ if } 1 < |a| < +\infty, \\ -2a^2(\operatorname{sgn} a)^{i-1} & , \textit{ if } 0 < |a| \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. *Then*

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1. & \int_{0<|a|<+\infty} |a|^{i\lambda}\psi_1(a)\frac{da}{|a|} = \exp\{i2.\operatorname{arcctg}\frac{\lambda}{2}\} - 1, \\ 2. & \int_{0<|a|<+\infty} |a|^{i\lambda}\psi_1(a)\operatorname{sgn} a\frac{da}{|a|} \equiv 0, \\ 3. & \int_{0<|a|<+\infty} |a|^{i\lambda}\psi_2(a)\frac{da}{|a|} \equiv 0, \\ 4. & \int_{0<|a|<+\infty} |a|^{i\lambda}\psi_2(a)\operatorname{sgn} a\frac{da}{|a|} = \exp\{i2.\operatorname{arcctg}\frac{\lambda}{2}\} - 1. \end{array}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1. & \int_{0<|a|<+\infty} |a|^{i\lambda}\psi_1(a)\frac{da}{|a|} \\ & = -2\int_{0<|a|\leq 1} |a|^{i\lambda+2}\frac{da}{|a|} \\ & = -4\int_0^1 a^{i\lambda+2}\frac{da}{a} \\ & = -4\int_0^1 e^{2\ln a + i\lambda\ln a} d\ln a \\ & = -4\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2a'+i\lambda a'}da' \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^0 \{-2e^{2a'} + 2\operatorname{sgn} a'.e^{2a'}\}e^{i\lambda a'}da' \\ & = \frac{-8}{\lambda^2+4} + 4i\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2+4} \\ & = \exp\{i2.\operatorname{arcctg}\frac{\lambda}{2}\} - 1. \end{array}$$

By the same way the other integrals are computed. □

Lemma 1.7. *Assume that*

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i(a,b) = \psi_i(a)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\exp(-\frac{b^2}{2}), \quad \varphi_i = \tilde{\varphi}_i + 1, i = 1, 2,$$

where 1 *is the formal identity element of* $C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}^\sim$. *Then*

$$U^{\varepsilon}_{\lambda}(\varphi_1) = g_{1,0}(\lambda,\varepsilon), \forall\lambda \in \mathbb{R}; \varepsilon = 0, 1,$$

$$U^{\varepsilon}_{\lambda}(\varphi_2) = g_{0,1}(\lambda,\varepsilon), \forall\lambda \in \mathbb{R}; \varepsilon = 0, 1.$$

Proof. Using the previous lemmas, we have

$$\begin{array}{rl} U^{\varepsilon}_{\lambda}\tilde{\varphi}_i) & = \iint_{\substack{0<|a|<+\infty \\ -\infty<b<+\infty}} |a|^{i\lambda}(\operatorname{sgn} a)^{\varepsilon}\tilde{\varphi}_i(a\,b)\frac{dadb}{|a|} \\ & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\exp(-\frac{b^2}{2})db\int_{0<|a|<+\infty}|a|^{i\lambda}(\operatorname{sgn} a)^{\varepsilon}\psi_i(a)\frac{da}{|a|}. \end{array}$$

□

We have thus found out the functions $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2$ on the group, satisfying all the necessary conditions. following the program of computing indices, one rests to compute the indices of Fredholm operators $\operatorname{Ind} S(\varphi_i), i = 1, 2$.

Firstly, we write out the explicit action formula of the operators $S(\varphi_i), i = 1, 2$.

From definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(S(\tilde{\varphi}_i)f)(x) &= -\sqrt{\tfrac{2}{\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\exp(-ibx-\tfrac{b^2}{2})db\int_{0<|a|<1}f(xa)a^2(\operatorname{sgn}a)^{i-1}\tfrac{da}{|a|}\\ &= -2\exp(-\tfrac{x^2}{2})\int_{-1}^{1}f(xa)|a|(\operatorname{sgn}a)^{i-1}da.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce the exact action formulas

$$[S(\varphi_i)f](x) = f(x) - \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})\int_{-1}^{1}f(xa)|a|(\operatorname{sgn}a)^{i-1}da.$$

Thus, in order to compute the indices of these Fredholm operators, we consider the differential equations

$$f(x) - \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})\int_{1}^{1}f(xa)|a|da = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$f(x) - \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})\int_{1}^{1}f(xa)ada = 0 \quad (2)$$

Lemma 1.8. *Each solution of the equation (1) must be an even function and each solution of the equation (2) must be an odd function, on the symmetric domain* $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$, *if exist.*

Proof. Suppose f to be a solution of the equation (1). Because the domain is symmetric, we can decompose it into the sum $f = f_1 + f_2$ of its even part

$$f_1 = \frac{f+\check{f}}{2}$$

and its odd part

$$f_2 = \frac{f-\check{f}}{2},$$

where

$$\check{f}(x) := f(-x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, for a fixed x,

$$\int_{-1}^{1}f_2(xa)|a|da = 0.$$

Then following the equation (1),

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= \exp(-\tfrac{x^2}{2})\int_{-1}^{1}f(xa)|a|da\\ &= \exp(-\tfrac{x^2}{2})\int_{-1}^{1}f_1(xa)|a|da\\ &= \exp(-\tfrac{x^2}{2})\int_{-1}^{1}f_1(-xa)|a|da\\ &= f(-x).\end{aligned}$$

The second part is proved by the same way. □

From this lemma we can reduce our study of solutions of equations (1) and (2) on the domain $0 < x < +\infty$, the if necessary extend them following symmetry on the whole domain $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

LEMMA 1.9. *In the Hilbert space* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^*, \frac{dx}{|x|})$, *we have*

$$\dim \operatorname{Ker} S(\varphi_i) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

PROOF. This lemma is proved by reducing to some differential equation and then estimate the asymptotic behavior of solutions. For positive values of x, the equations (1) and (2) have the same form

$$f(x) - \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2}) \int_0^1 f(xa) a da = 0.$$

It is the same as

$$f(x) = \frac{4 \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})}{x^2} \int_0^x \xi f(\xi) d\xi. \tag{3}$$

Put

$$F(x) := \int_0^x \xi f(\xi) d\xi,$$

we have an differential equation for $F(x)$

$$F'(x) - \frac{4 \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})}{x} F(x) = 0 \tag{4}$$

Certainly, here we consider the generalized Sobolev derivatives. For some fixed value x_0 in the domain $0 < x_0 < +\infty$ we define a unique solution $F(x)$ of the Cauchy problem, and the function f can be computed as

$$f(x) = \frac{F'(x)}{x}.$$

The rest id to decide, whether the function f belongs to the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^*, \frac{dx}{|x|})$. To do this, we study its asymptotic behavior when $x \to 0$ and when $x \to \infty$.

So, assume $F(x)$ to be a solution of the differential equation (4) and

$$f(x) = \frac{F'(x)}{x},$$

then

$$x^2 f(x) - 4 \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2}) \int_0^x f(\xi) d\xi = 0,$$

or the same

$$\begin{aligned}
x^2\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2})f(x) &= 4\textstyle\int_0^x f(\xi)\xi d\xi\\
[x^2\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2})f(x)]' &= 4f(x)x\\
\tfrac{[x^2\exp(\frac{x^2}{2})f(x)]'}{x^2\exp(\frac{x^2}{2})f(x)} &= 4\tfrac{\exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})}{x}\\
\ln|x^2\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2})f(x)| &= 4\textstyle\int_a^x \exp(-\frac{t^2}{2})\frac{dt}{t}+c\\
&\sim \begin{cases} c_1 & \text{, if } x\to\infty,\\ 4\ln x + c_2 & \text{, if } x\to 0,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < a < +\infty$, c_1, c_2, c are some constants. We obtain thus the asymptotic behavior of solutions as follows

$$x^2\exp(\frac{x^2}{2})f(x) \sim \begin{cases} e^{c_1} & \text{, if } x\to\infty,\\ x^4e^{C_2} & \text{, if } x\to 0,\end{cases}$$

$$f(x) \sim \begin{cases} x^{-2}\exp(-\frac{x^2}{2}) & \text{, if } x\to+\infty,\\ x^2 & \text{, if } x\to 0.\end{cases}$$

We conclude that the solutions are square-integrable with respect to the measure $\frac{dx}{|x|}$ on $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$. ☐

Lemma 1.10. *The image of* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^*, \frac{dx}{|x|})$ *under the maps* $S(\varphi_i)$ *are dense in itself; i.e.*

$$\dim\operatorname{Coker} S(\varphi_i) = \{0\}, i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. 1. *Assume* $g(x)$ *is an even function, then the solutions of the equation*

$$[S(\varphi_1)f](x) = f(x) - 2\exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})\int_{-1}^1 f(xa)|a|da = g(x)$$

are also even functions.

Indeed, we decompose the function f into the sum of its even part f_1 and odd part f_2, we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 f_2(xa)|a|da = 0$$

and by this reason,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= 2\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2})\textstyle\int_{-1}^1 f_1(xa)|a|da + g(x)\\
&= 2\exp(\tfrac{(-x)^2}{2})\textstyle\int_{-1}^1 f_1(-xa)|a|da + g(-x)\\
&= f(-x).
\end{aligned}$$

2. An analogous assertion is valid for φ_2:

Assume $g(x)$ to be an odd function, then the solutions of the equation

$$[S(\varphi_2)f](x) = f(x) - 2\exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})\int_{-1}^{1} f_1(xa)ada = g(x)$$

are also odd functions .

3. Assume $g(x)$ is an arbitrary function with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$, in other words, there exists some number N, big enough such that

$$g(x) = 0, \quad \forall x; |x| \leq \frac{1}{N} \text{ or } |x| \geq N.$$

WE shall show that the equations

$$[S(\varphi_1)f](x) = g(x) \text{ and} \tag{1'}$$

$$[S(\varphi_2)f](x) = g(x). \tag{2'}$$

always have solutions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^*, \frac{dx}{|x|})$

Assume $g = g_1 + g_2$ is the decomposition of g into the sum of its even and odd parts. Then because g_2 is odd,

$$\int_{-1}^{1} g_2(xa)|a|da = 0.$$

Hence,

$$g_2(x) - 2\exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})\int_{-1}^{1} g_2(xa)|a|da = g_2(x).$$

We try to find the solutions of $(1')$ in form

$$f = \tilde{f} + g_2,$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(x) - 2\exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})\int_{-1}^{1} \tilde{f}(xa)|a|da = g_1(x).$$

Following 1., $\tilde{f}$ must be an odd function. We have

$$\tilde{f}(x) - 4\exp(-\frac{x^2}{2})\int_{0}^{1} \tilde{f}(xa)ada = g_1(x) = 0, \text{ if } |x| \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{ or } |x| \geq N.$$

Thus outside the interval $[\frac{1}{N}, N]$, $\tilde{f}(x)$ must be an even solution of the equation (1) and have the following asymptotic behavior

$$\tilde{f}(x) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^2}\exp(-\frac{x^2}{2}) & , \text{ when } x \to \infty, \\ x^2 & , \text{ when } x \to 0. \end{cases}$$

Because g has compact support, $\check{g}$ has also compact support and therefore the even and odd parts $g_1 = \frac{g+\check{g}}{2}$ and $g_2 = \frac{g-\check{g}}{2}$ have also the compact supports. Hence,

$$f(x) = \tilde{f} + g_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^*, \frac{dx}{|x|}).$$

By analogy, we can always solve the equations

$$[S(\varphi_2)f](x) = g(x),$$

where $g(x)$ has compact support. □

The proof of the theorem 1.3 is therefore also accomplished.

2. The Structure of $C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})$

REMARK 2.1. The exponential map $\exp : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, giving by $z \mapsto e^z$ is just the covering map, and therefore $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*} \cong \mathbb{C}$. As a consequence, one deduces that

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C} \ltimes \mathbb{C} \cong \{(z, w) \quad | \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

with the following multiplication law

$$(z, w).(z', w') := (z + z', w + e^z w').$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Up to unitary equivalence, every irreducible unitary representation of the universal covering* $\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}$ *of the group of affine transformations of the complex straight line is unitarily equivalent to one of the following list of its one-to-another nonequivalent irreducible unitary representations:*

- *The infinite dimensional irreducible representations* $T_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{S}^1$, *realized in the Hilbert space* $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ *by the formula*

  $$T_\alpha(z, w)f(x) := \exp(i(\Re(we^x) + 2\pi\alpha[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]))f(x \oplus z),$$

  where $(z, w) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{C}/\{2i\pi\mathbb{Z}\}$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ *and*

  $$x \oplus z := \Re(x + z) + i2\pi\{\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}\}.$$

- *The unitary characters of the group, i.e. the 1-dimensional unitary representations* $U\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, *acting in* $\mathbb{C}$ *following the formula*

  $$U_\lambda(z, w) := e^{i\Re(z\overline{\lambda})}, \forall(z, w) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}.$$

Proof. In the group $\widetilde{\operatorname{Aff}\mathbb{C}}$ consider the normal subgroup

$$N := \{(0,a); a \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}(z,w).(0,a)(z,w)^{-1} &= (z,w)(0,a)(-z,-we^{-}z)\\ &= (z,w+e^{z}a)(-z,-we^{-}z)\\ &= (0,w+e^{z}a-e^{z}we^{-z})\\ &= (0,e^{z}a)\end{aligned}$$

This means that N is a commutative normal subgroup. Its dual object $\hat{N}$ consists of the characters $\chi_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\chi_\lambda(0,a) := e^{\Re}(a\overline{\lambda}).$$

The covering group $\widetilde{\operatorname{Aff}\mathbb{C}}$ acts on $\hat{N}$ following the formula

$$\begin{aligned}((z,w)\chi_\lambda)(0,a) &= \chi_\lambda((z,w)(0,a)(z,w)^{-1})\\ &= \chi_\lambda(0,e^{z}a)\\ &= \exp(i\Re(e^{z}a\overline{\lambda}))\\ &= \exp(i\Re(a\overline{e^{x}\lambda}))\\ &= \chi_{\exp(\bar{z})\lambda}(0,a).\end{aligned}$$

This means that under the action of $\widetilde{\operatorname{Aff}\mathbb{C}}$ on $\hat{N}$, there are only two orbits $\{0\}$ and $\hat{N}\setminus\{0\}$. Following the Mackey theory of induction from small subgroups, we have:

1. The one dimensional unitary representations (i.e. the unitary characters), corresponding to the K-orbit $\{0\}$, extended from the trivial representation of the normal subgroup N, being of type

$$U_\lambda(z,w) = e^{i\Re(z\overline{\lambda})}, \forall\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, (z,w) \in \widetilde{\operatorname{Aff}\mathbb{C}}.$$

2. The infinite dimensional unitary representations $T_\alpha := \operatorname{Ind}_{G_{\chi_1}}^{G} S_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{S}^1$, acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1)$, following the formulas

$$(T_\alpha(z,w)f)(x) = \exp(i(\Re(we^{x}) + 2\pi\alpha[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]))f(x \oplus z),$$

where $(z,x) \in \widetilde{\operatorname{Aff}\mathbb{C}}$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1 \approx \mathbb{C}/\{i2\pi\mathbb{Z}\}$, and

$$x \oplus z := \Re(x+z) + 2\pi i\{\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}\}.$$

Indeed, fix a point, say χ_1 on the K-orbit $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. The irreducible unitary representations of its stabilizer

$$G_{\chi_1} = \{(i2\pi n, h) \quad | \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, h \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

which are multiples of the unitary character χ_1, are the irreducible unitary representations of form

$$\begin{array}{rl} & S_\alpha \in \widehat{G_{\chi_1}}, \alpha \in \mathbb{S}^1, \\ S_\alpha(i2\pi n, h) & = \exp(i(\Re h.\bar{1} + \sin\alpha)) \\ & = \exp(i(\Re h + 2\pi n\alpha)) \end{array}$$

Thus the induced representations $T_\alpha + \mathrm{Ind}_{G_{\chi_1}}^G S_\alpha$ should be realized in the Hilbert space

$$L^2(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}/G_{\chi_1}) = L^2(\mathbb{C}.\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}.\{i2\pi\mathbb{Z}\}) = L^2(\mathbb{C}/\{i2\pi\mathbb{C}) \cong L^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1).$$

□

Proposition 2.1. *The infinite dimensional induced unitary representations* $T_\alpha = \mathrm{Ind}_{G_{\chi_1}} S_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}^1$ *are *-homomorphism from the ideal* $I := \bigcap_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Ker}\, U_\lambda$ *into the ideal of compact operators* $\mathcal{K}(H)$ *in a separable Hilbert space.*

Proof. We are in the same situation as in the case of the group $\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}$ of real affine transformations of the real straight line $\mathbb{R}$. First of all we must prove two lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. *For each* $\varphi \in L^1(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})$, *the conditions what follow are equivalent:*

- $\varphi \in I = \bigcap_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Ker}\, U_\lambda$.
- $\iint_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi(z,w)dw = 0$, *a. e. w. w. r. t. measure* dz, *where* $(z,w) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}$.

Indeed, consider the function

$$\psi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi(z,w)dw.$$

Then,

$$\begin{array}{rl} \varphi \in I & \Leftrightarrow \iint_{\mathbb{C}^2} e^{i\Re(z\bar{\lambda})}\varphi(z,w)dzdw = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{i\Re(z\bar{\lambda})}(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi(z,w)dw)dz = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{i\Re(z\bar{\lambda})}\psi(z)dz = 0, \forall\lambda \\ & \Leftrightarrow \widehat{\psi(\lambda)} = 0, \forall\lambda \end{array}$$

where $\widehat{\psi}$ is the Fourier-Laplace image of ψ. Because the Fourier-Laplace transformation is exact, $\psi(z) = 0$ in $L^1(\mathbb{C}, dz)$, i.e. ψ vanishes almost everywhere. The lemma is therefore proved.

Lemma 2.2. *For each degenerate* $\varphi(z,w) := \psi(z)\chi(w)$ *in* $L^1(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})$, *where*

$$\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{C}, dz) \cap L^2(\mathbb{C}, dz), \|\psi\|_{L^2} \neq 0,$$

$$\chi \in L^1(\mathbb{C}, dw), \int_{\mathbb{C}} \chi(w)dw = 0,$$

the operator $T_\alpha(\varphi), \alpha \in \mathbb{S}^1$ *are compact.*

Really, for each function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1, dx)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(T_\alpha(\varphi)f)(x) &= \iint e^{i(\Re(we^x)+2\pi\alpha[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}])} f(x \oplus z)\varphi(z,w)dzdw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{i\Re(we^x)}\chi(w)(\int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{i2\pi\alpha[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]})dw \\ &= \widetilde{\chi}(e^x) \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{i2\pi\alpha[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]} f(x \oplus z)\psi(z)dz,\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\chi}$ is the Fourier-Laplace image of χ. It is well-known that $\widetilde{\chi}$ vanishes at the infinity. Then $\widetilde{\chi(e^x)} \to 0$ when $\Re(x) \to +\infty$ and

$$\widetilde{\chi(e^x)} \to \widetilde{\chi}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \chi(w)dw = 0,$$

when $\Re(x) \to -\infty$.

This means that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a number N such that for each x in the domain $|x| > N$ we have estimation $|\widetilde{\chi}(e^x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{A}$, where

$$A := \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\psi(z)|^2 dz} = \|\psi\|_{L^2} \neq 0.$$

Consider the continuous function $\theta_N(x)$ satisfying

$$\theta_N(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| > N+1, \\ 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq N. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\widetilde{\chi}(e^x)(1-\theta_N(x)) = 0, \forall x; |x| \leq N,$$

$$|\widetilde{\chi}(e^x)(1-\theta_N(x))| < \frac{\varepsilon}{A}, \forall x.$$

Hence,

$$\sup |\widetilde{\chi}(e^x)(1-\theta_N(x))| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{A}.$$

Consider the operator

$$(A_N(\varphi)f)(x) := \theta_N(x)\widetilde{\chi}(e^x) \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{2\pi i\alpha[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]} f(x \oplus z)\psi(z)dz.$$

We prove that A_N converge in norm to $T_\alpha(\varphi)$, when $N \to \infty$. Because the subspace of continuous function with compact support is dense in $L^1 \cap L^2$, we can choose a sequence of continuous functions with compact support $\{\psi_n\}$, approximating ψ. Put $\varphi_n := \psi_n.\chi$. Consider the operator $A_N(\varphi_n)$ as above. We have

$$A_N(\varphi) \to T_\alpha(\varphi_n) \text{ when } N \to \infty.$$

It is not hard to see that it is a uniform convergence on N. Thus we can change the order of limits and have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty} A_N(\varphi_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{N\to\infty} A_N(\varphi_n).$$

This means that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} A_N(\varphi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} T_\alpha(\varphi_n) = T_\alpha(\varphi).$$

We need therefore only to prove that $A_N(\varphi) \to T_\alpha(\varphi)$, $N \to \infty$ for continuous φ with compact support. In that case we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \|(T_\alpha(\varphi) - A_N)f\|^2_{L^2} & \leq \sup |\widetilde{\chi}(1-\theta_N)|^2 . \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1} |e^{2\pi i\alpha[.]}f(z)\psi(z)dz|^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathrm{Supp}\ \psi} dz. \sup_x |\widetilde{\chi}(1-\theta_N)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1} |f|^2|\psi|^2 dz dx \end{array}$$

Remark that it is easy to see that

$$|\int h dt|^2 \leq \int_{\mathrm{Supp}\, h} dt. \int |h|^2 dt.$$

Put $C := \int_{\mathrm{Supp}\, h}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \|(T_\alpha(\varphi) - A_N)f\|^2_{L^2} & \leq C\frac{\varepsilon^2}{A^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\psi|^2 (\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1} |f|^2 dx) dz \\ & \leq C\frac{\varepsilon^2}{A^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{S}^1} |f|^2 dx \int |\psi|^2 dz \\ & \leq C\varepsilon^2 \|f\|^2. \end{array}$$

Thus we have

$$\|T_\alpha(\varphi) - A_N)f\| \leq \sqrt{C}\varepsilon\|f\|$$

and therefore

$$\|T_\alpha(\varphi) - A_N\| \leq \sqrt{C}\varepsilon.$$

Choose a sequence $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, we have

$$\|T_\alpha(\varphi) - A_{N_n}\| \to 0.$$

It is enough therefore to prove that the operators A_N are compact. In fact, we have

$$(A_N f)(x) = \theta_N(x)\widetilde{\chi}(e^x) \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{2\pi i\alpha[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]} f(x \oplus z)\psi(z) dz.$$

Put $\xi := \Re(x+z)$ and $\eta := \Im(x+z)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} (A_N f)(x) & = \theta_N(x)\widetilde{\chi}(e^x) \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{2\pi i\alpha[\frac{\eta}{2\pi}]} f(\xi + 2\pi i\{\frac{\eta}{2\pi}\})\psi(\xi + i\eta - x) d\xi d\eta \\ & = \int K(x,\xi,\eta) f(\xi + 2\pi i\{\frac{\eta}{2\pi}\}) d\xi d\eta, \end{array}$$

where

$$K(x,\xi,eta) := \theta_N(x)\widetilde{\chi}(e^x) e^{2\pi i[\frac{\eta}{2\pi}]}\psi(\xi + i\eta - x).$$

We show now that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{C}^2} |K(x,\xi,\eta)|^2 dx d\xi d\eta < +\infty.$$

In fact,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{C}^2} |K|^2 d\xi d\eta = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\theta_N \widetilde{\chi}|^2 |\psi|^2 d\xi d\eta = |\theta_N \widetilde{\chi}|^2 \|\psi\|^2.$$

Recall that $\theta_N(x) = 0$ for all x, $|x| \geq N+1$. Thus $|\theta_N \widetilde{\chi}|^2$ is a continuous function with compact support. Thus we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{C}^2} |K(x,\xi,\eta)|^2 d\xi d\eta dx = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\theta_N \widetilde{\chi}|^2 dx \|\psi\|^2_{L^2} < +\infty,$$

what means that A_N is a compact operator. The lemma is proved

Now we are going to complete the proof of our proposition. Consider an element $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{C} \ltimes dzdw)$ and $\varphi \in I$, we shall prove that $T_\alpha(\varphi)$ is a compact operator. Because φ is of class L^1 then it can be approximated by finite linear combinations of functions on separate variables

$$\varphi_n(z,w) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \psi_k(z)\chi_k^*(w),$$

where $\psi_k \in L^1(\mathbb{C}, dz)$, $\chi_k^* \in L^1(\mathbb{C}, dw)$. Because $L^1 \cap L^2$ is dense in L^1, we can assume that ψ_k are in $L^1 \cap L^2$. Remove, when necessary, the summands with $\|\psi_k\|_{L^2} = 0$, we can assume that ψ, χ^* satisfy all the conditions but perhaps the condition

$$\alpha_k := \int_{\mathbb{C}} \chi^*(w) d \neq 0.$$

Following Lemma 3.2,

$$\varphi \in I \Longleftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi(z,w)dw = 0, \text{ a. e. w. r. t. } dz.$$

We have the following a.e. inegalities

$$\begin{aligned} |\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \alpha_k \psi_k| &= |\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \psi_k \int_{\mathbb{C}} \chi^*(w)dw - \int_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi(z,w)dw| \\ &\leq \textstyle\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \psi(k)\chi^*(w) - \varphi(z,w)|dw \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both parts on the variable z, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \alpha_k \psi_k| dz \leq \iint_{\mathbb{C}^2} |\sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \psi_k(z)\chi_k^*(w) - \varphi(z,w)|dzdw = \|\varphi_n^* - \varphi\|_{L^1} \to 0 (n \to \infty).$$

Choose a function $\tau(w) \in L^1(\mathbb{C}, dw)$ such that

$$\tau(w) \geq 0, \forall w, \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} \tau(w)dw = 1.$$

Consider the new approximation

$$\varphi_n := \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \psi_k(\chi^* - \alpha_k \tau).$$

We have

$$|\varphi_n - \varphi| \leq |\sum \psi_k \chi_k^* - \varphi| + |\sum \alpha_k \psi_k \tau| = |\varphi^* - \varphi| + |\sum \alpha_k \psi_k|\tau$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{L^1} &\leq \textstyle\iint_{\mathbb{C}^2} |\varphi^* - \varphi| dzdw + \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\sum \alpha_k \psi_k| dz \int_{\mathbb{C}} \tau(w)dw \\ &= \|\varphi_n^* - \varphi\|_{L^1} + \textstyle\int |\sum \alpha_k \psi_k| dz. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_n\| \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).$$

$\square$

For each element $\varphi \in C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}})$ we have a natural map

$$\Phi(\varphi) : \mathbb{S}^1 \to B(H),$$

$$\Phi(\varphi)(\alpha = T_\alpha(\varphi).$$

LEMMA 2.3. *The map Φ is just a *-homomorphism from $C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C})})^\sim$ into the C^*-algebra $C(\mathbb{S}^1, B(H))$ of continuous functions on $\mathbb{S}$ with values in the algebra $B(H)$ of bounded operators in a separable Hilbert space H.*

PROOF. Because the functions with compact support are dense in $C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})$ it is enough to prove the lemma for the case when φ has compact support. Denote $c = mes(\operatorname{Supp}\varphi)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(\varphi)(\alpha) - \Phi(\varphi)(\beta)\| &= \sup\nolimits_{\|f\| \leq 1} \|(\Phi(\varphi)(\alpha) - \Phi(\varphi)(\beta))f\|_{L^2} \\ \|(X(\Phi(\varphi)(\alpha) - \Phi(\varphi)(\beta))f\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|(T_\alpha(\varphi) - T_\beta(\varphi))f\|_{L^2}^2 = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \textstyle\int_X |\iint_{\mathbb{C}^2} e^{i\Re(we^x)} f(x \oplus z)\varphi(z,w)(e^{2\pi i\alpha[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]} - e^{2\pi i\beta}[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}])dzdw|^2 dx \\ &\leq \textstyle\int_X (\iint_{\operatorname{Supp}\varphi} |\varphi|^2 dzdw \iint_{\operatorname{Supp}\varphi} |f|^2 |e^{2\pi i\alpha[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]} - e^{2\pi i\beta[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]}|^2 dzdw)dx \\ &= \textstyle\iint_{\operatorname{Supp}\varphi} |\varphi|^2 dzdw. \int_X (\iint_{\operatorname{Supp}\varphi} |f|^2 |e^{2\pi i\alpha[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]} - e^{2\pi i\beta[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]}|^2 dzdw)dx \\ &\leq \textstyle\iint_{\operatorname{Supp}\varphi} |\varphi|^2 dzdw. \int_X \iint_{\operatorname{Supp}\varphi} |f|^2 dzdwdx \times \\ &\times \sup_{\substack{\Im(x)\in\mathbb{S}^1 \\ \Im(z)\in\operatorname{Supp}\varphi}} |e^{2\pi i\alpha[\frac{\Im(x+Z)}{2\pi}]} - e^{2\pi i\beta[\frac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}]}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Changing variable, we have

$$\int_X \iint_{\operatorname{Supp}\varphi} |f(x \oplus z)|^2 dzdwdx = \iint_{\operatorname{Supp}\varphi} (\int_X |f(x)|^2 dy)dzdw = c\|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Hence, we have

$$(\Phi(\varphi)(\alpha) - \Phi(\varphi)(\beta))f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C. \iint_{\sup\varphi} |\varphi|^2 dwdz. \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \sup_{\substack{\Im(x)\in\mathbb{S}^1 \\ \Im(z)\in\operatorname{Supp}\varphi}} |e^{2\pi i[.]} - e^{2\pi i\beta[.]}|,$$

$$\|\Phi(\varphi)(\alpha) - \Phi(\varphi)(\beta)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C. \iint_{\operatorname{Supp}\varphi} |\varphi|^2 dwdz. \sup_{\substack{\Im(x)\in\mathbb{S}^1 \\ \Im(z)\in\operatorname{Supp}\varphi}} |e^{2\pi i\alpha[.]} - e^{2\pi i\beta[.]}|^2.$$

Because $\operatorname{Supp}\varphi$ and $\mathbb{S}^1$ are compact, we have

$$\sup_{\substack{\Im(x)\in\mathbb{S}^1\\ \Im(z)\in\operatorname{Supp}\varphi}} |e^{2\pi i\alpha[.]} - e^{2\pi i\beta[.]}| \to 0 \text{ when } |\alpha-\beta| \to 0.$$

This means that

$$\|\Phi(\varphi)(\alpha) - \Phi(\varphi)(\beta)\| \to 0 \text{ when } |\alpha-\beta| \to 0.$$

$\square$

PROPOSITION 2.2.

$$\Phi : I = \bigcap_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Ker} U_\lambda \to C(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathcal{K}(H))$$

*is a *-isomorphism of C*-algebras.*

PROOF. *a. Injectivity.* If $\Phi(\varphi) = 0$ in $C(\mathbb{S}^1, B(H))$. This means that $\Phi(\varphi)(\alpha) = T_\alpha(\varphi) = 0, \forall\alpha$. Thus

$$T_\alpha(\varphi)f \equiv 0, \forall\alpha \text{ in } L^2(X, dx),$$

where $X \cong \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1 = \{x = t + i\theta; t\in\mathbb{R}, \theta\in\mathbb{S}^1\}$. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{f_n\}$ of form

$$f_n(t,\theta) = g_n(t).h_n(\theta),$$

where $\{g_n\}$ and $\{h_n\}$ are the corresponding orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$, respectively. We have therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x\otimes z) &= g_n(\Re(x+z))h_n(2\pi\{\tfrac{\Im(x+z)}{2\pi}\}) \\ &= g_n(t+z_1)h_n(2\pi\{\tfrac{\theta+z_2}{2\pi}\}), \end{aligned}$$

for $z = z_1 + iz_2$. From the condition

$$T_\alpha(\varphi)f_n)(x) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}^2} e^{i(\Re(we^x)+2\pi\alpha[\frac{\theta+z_2}{2\pi}])}\varphi(z,w)f_n(x\oplus z)dzdw = 0,$$

for a.e. w.r.t. dx. This means that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_n(t+z_1)(\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} ei\Re(we^x)\varphi(z_1,w)h_n(2\pi\{\frac{z_2}{2\pi}\})e^{2\pi\alpha i[\frac{z_2+\theta}{2\pi}]})dz_1 = 0,$$

for a.e. w.r.t. dx. From here one deduces that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\nVdash}}(\iint_{\mathbb{C}^{\nVdash}} e^{1\Re(we^x)}\varphi(z_1,w)dw)h_n(2\pi\{\frac{z_2+\theta}{2\pi}\})e^{2\pi i\alpha[\frac{z_2+\theta}{2\pi}]}dz_2 = 0, \text{ a.e. w.r.t. } dz_1.$$

Put $z_2 + \theta = \xi$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\varphi}(z_1e^x)h_n(2\pi\{\frac{\xi}{2\pi}\})e^{2\pi i\alpha[\frac{\xi}{2\pi}]}d_\xi = 0,$$

a.e. w.r.t. dw. This means that

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a_k e^{2\pi i \alpha . k} = 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{S}^1,$$

where

$$a_k := \int_{2\pi k}^{2\pi(k+1)} \widetilde{\varphi}(z, e^x) h_n(2\pi\{\frac{\xi}{2\pi}\})).$$

Because the Fourier-Laplace transformation is exact, we conclude that $a_k = 0$ for all k, i.e.

$$\int_{2\pi k}^{2\pi(k+1)} \widetilde{\varphi}(z, e^x) h_n(2\pi\{\frac{\xi}{2\pi}\}) d\xi = 0, \forall k.$$

Because h_n is a orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$, we conclude that

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(z, e^x) = 0, \text{ a.e. w.r.t. } dz_1 d\xi = dz.$$

This means that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{C}} e^{i\Re(we^x)} \varphi(z, w) dw = 0, \text{ a.e. w.r.t. } dz_1 d\xi,$$

for all $x \in M$. For a sequence of points $\{x_n\}$, such that $\Re(x_n) \to -\infty (m \to \infty)$ we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi(z, w) dw = \widetilde{\varphi}(z, 0) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} e^{i\Re(we^{x_n})} \varphi(z, w) dw = 0.$$

Following lemma 3.1, this is equivalent to the condition

$$U_\lambda(\varphi) = 0, \forall \lambda$$

.

b. Surjectivity. It is easy to see that $\Phi(I)$ is a C*-subalgebra in $C(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathcal{K}(H))$. But $T_\alpha(I) \subset \mathcal{K}(H)$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Following J. Dixmier [**Di**] for every $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ in $\mathbb{S}^{\nVdash}$ and for every ξ_1, ξ_2 in $\mathcal{K}(H)$, there exists $\varphi \in I$ such that $T_{\alpha_1}(\varphi) = \xi_1$ and $T_{\alpha_2}(\varphi) = \xi_2$. This means also that $\Phi(I) = C(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathcal{K}(H))$. □

THEOREM 2.2. *The C^*-algebra $C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})^\sim$ can be included in a short exact sequence of C^*-algebras and *-homomorphisms*

$$0 \to C(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathcal{K}(H)) \to C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}) \to C_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \to 0.$$

PROOF. We remark first that *the quotient C^*-algebra $C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})/I$ is commutative, where by definition, $I = \bigcap_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Ker} U_\lambda$.* Indeed, for every φ_1, φ_2 in $C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})$, we have

$$U_\lambda(\varphi_1 * \varphi_2 - \varphi_2 * \varphi_1) = U_\lambda(\varphi_1)U_\lambda(\varphi_2) - U\lambda(\varphi_2)U_\lambda(\varphi_1) \equiv 0, \forall \lambda.$$

This means that

$$\varphi_1 * \varphi_2 - \varphi_2 * \varphi_1 \in I.$$

Following J. Dixmier [**Di**]3.2.1 we see that the dual object of the quotient algebra consists of

$$\widehat{(}C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})/I) = \{U_\lambda; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\} \approx \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Thus the Fourier-Gel'fand transformation gives us an isomorphism of C*-algebras

$$C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})/I \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

We have therefore an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathcal{K}(H)) \longrightarrow C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

□

Theorem 2.3. *The structure of the C*-algebra* $C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})^\sim$ *is , up to isomorphic class, exactly defined by the invariant index*$C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}})^\sim = 1$ *in the Kasparov group*

$$\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2), C(\mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. It is easy to compute the K-groups as following

$$\begin{aligned}
K_0C_0(\mathbb{R}^2) &= \mathrm{Ker}(K_0(\mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Ker}(K^0(\mathbb{S}^2) \to \mathbb{Z})\\
&= \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z},\\
K_0C(\mathbb{S}^1) &= K^0(\mathbb{S}^1) = \mathbb{Z}\\
K_1C_0(\mathbb{R}^2) &= K_0((C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R})) = \mathrm{Ker}(K_0C(\mathbb{S}^3) \to \mathbb{Z})\\
&= \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}) = 0\\
K_1C(\mathbb{S}^1) &= K_0(C(\mathbb{S}^1 \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R})) = \mathrm{Ker}(K_0(C(\mathbb{S}^2) \to \mathbb{Z})\\
&= \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Following the universal coefficient formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2, C(\mathbb{S}^1)) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(K_0C_0(\mathbb{R}^2, K_1C(\mathbb{S}^1)) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(K_1C_0(\mathbb{R}^2), K_0C(\mathbb{S}^1))\\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have therefore a 6-terms exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & K_0C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[d, "\delta_0"] \\
0 \arrow[u, "\delta_1"] & K_1C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}) \arrow[l] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

This means that δ_1 must be $\delta_1 = 0$. Following the theorem of A. Connes [**C3**], we have $K_1C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}) = 0$ Thus δ_0 is an epimorphism and hence is an isomorphism. This means that the element $IndexC^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}) = 1$ in the KK-group

$$\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2), C(\mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

$\square$

3. Bibliographical Remarks

The results of sections 1 and 2 were firstly created by the author of this book [**D1**], by using the BDF homological K-functor $\mathcal{E}$xt. It was then easily generated by J. Rosenberg [**Ros1**]in the cases of the complex affine transformations group of the complex straight line and of totally discrete local fields. Nevertheless the case of the universal covering $\widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}$ of the group of complex affine transformations of the complex straight line was difficult and requested essentially to use KK-theory. It was done in the Ph.D. dissertation of Ho Huu Viet[**SoV**], who was a Ph. D. student of the author.

CHAPTER 4

Classification of MD_4-Groups

1. Real Diamond Group and Semi-direct Products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{H}_3$

Let us consider a connected and simply connected Lie group G, and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \operatorname{Lie} G$. The action $\mathrm{Ad} : G \to \operatorname{Aut} \mathfrak{g}$ is defined as

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} A(\exp(tX)) : \mathfrak{g} = T_e G \to \mathfrak{g} = T_e G,$$

defined by the formula

$$\mathrm{Ad}_X(Y) := (\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} A(\exp(tX)))Y,$$

which is computed certainly as

$$\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \exp(tX)\exp(sY)\exp(-tX) \in \mathfrak{g} = T_e G,$$

where $c(s) := \exp(sY)$ is just the curve passing through e and with tangent Y at e, i.e. the unique local solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{c}(s) & = Y(c(s)), \\ c(0) & = e \end{cases}$$

We define the dual action $K := \mathrm{Ad}^*(\mathrm{inv})$ of G on the dual vector space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\langle K(g)F, X\rangle := \langle F, \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})\rangle,$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, where $\langle ., . \rangle$ is the pairing between $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$. It is easy to see that

- The stabilizer G_F of a fixed point $F \in \Omega_F := G.F$ is a closed subgroup and the tangent space to the orbit at this point can be identified with the quotient-space $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$, where $\mathfrak{g}_F := \operatorname{Lie} G_F$ is the Lie algebra of the stabilizer G_F
- The Kirillov form $\langle F, [X, Y]\rangle$ define a non-degenerate bilinear form B_F on the tangent space $T_F\Omega$
- The right translations define an action of G on the orbit Ω_F and transpose the Kirillov bilinear form B_F providing a right-invariant differential form ω_F on the orbit Ω_F passing through F.
- And finally, each K-orbit is of even dimension.

Definition 1.1. We say that a solvable Lie group G belongs to the class MD (resp., $\overline{\text{MD}}$) iff every its K-orbit has dimension 0 or maximal (resp., equal to its dimension $\dim G$). A Lie algebra is of class MD (resp., $\overline{\text{MD}}$) iff its corresponding Lie group is of the same class.

Proposition 1.1. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a MD-algebra. Then the second derived ideal $[[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}],[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]]$ is commutative.*

Proof. This proposition was proved in chapter 2. □

Example 1.1. The so called *real diamond Lie algebra* is the 4-dimensional solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with a basis X, Y, Z, T satisfying the following commutation relations

$$[X,Y] = Z, [T,X] = -X, [T,Y] = Y,$$

$$[Z,X] = [Z,Y] = [T,Z] = 0.$$

This example shows that our real diamond Lie algebra $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_3$ is just the extension of the 1-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathbb{R}T$ by the Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3$ with basis X, Y, Z, where the action of T on $\mathfrak{h}_3$ is defined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that this real diamond Lie algebra belongs to the class MD$_4$.

Example 1.2. Let us consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \mathbb{R} \ltimes_J \mathfrak{h}_3$ with a basis X, Y, Z, T and the action of Lie algebra $\mathbb{R}T$ on the 3-dimensional Heisenberg ideal $\mathfrak{h}_3$ defined by the matrix

$$\mathrm{ad}_T := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that it belongs to the class MD$_4$

In the next section, we shall give a classification of all Lie MD$_4$-algebras.

2. Classification Theorem

Proposition 2.1. *Assume $\mathfrak{g}$ is a MD$_4$-algebra with generators T, X, Y, Z. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$ the first derived ideal and $\mathbb{R}^n$ the commutative subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$.*

I *If* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is decomposable, i.e. cam be written as a direct product of two ideals, then*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}},$$

for some $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ *and some indecomposable ideal* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

II *If* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is indecomposable, it is is isomorphic to one of the Lie algebras what follow:*

1 $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \mathbb{R}Z, [X,Y] = aZ, [X,Z] = xZ, [X,T] = cZ$

$$[Y,Z] = yZ, [Y,T] = bZ, [Z,T] = tZ,$$

for some $a, b, c, x, y, t \in \mathbb{R}$ *non-vanishing all together and* $at + bx + cy = 0$

2 $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \mathbb{R}Y + \mathbb{R}Z$, $[T,X] = 0$ *and*

2.1 $\mathrm{ad}_T \in \mathrm{Aut}\, \mathfrak{g}^1 \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{ad}_X = \alpha\, \mathrm{ad}_T$, $(\alpha \in \mathbb{R})$

2.2 $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{aff}\, \mathbb{C} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Aff}\, \mathbb{C})$, *i.e.* $\mathrm{ad}_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ *and* $\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

3 $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \mathbb{R}X + \mathbb{R}Y + \mathbb{R}Z \cong \mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathrm{ad}_T \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}\, \mathfrak{g}^1 \cong \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{R})$

4 $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \mathbb{R}X + \mathbb{R}Y + \mathbb{R}Z \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$, *the 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra and*

$$\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0, \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}\, \mathfrak{g}^1, a_{11}^2 + a_{12}.a_{21} \neq 0$$

Proof. We proved that $\mathfrak{g}^2$ is commutative, say isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

□

Theorem 2.1 (**Classification of** MD_4**-algebras**). *We keep the same notation as in the previous proposition.*

I *If* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is decomposable, i.e. cam be written as a direct product of two ideals, then*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}},$$

for some $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ *and some indecomposable ideal* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

II *Suppose that* $\mathfrak{g}$ indecomposable *into a direct product of two proper ideals. Then* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is of class* MD_4 *if and only if it is generated by the generators* T, X, Y, Z *with* the only non-trivial *commutation relations in one of the following cases :*

1. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}Z \cong \mathbb{R}$ *and*

1.1

$$[T,X] = Z, \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,1,1})$$

1.2

$$[T,Z]=Z, \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,1.2})$$

2. $\mathfrak{g}^1=\mathbb{R}Y+\mathbb{R}Z\cong\mathbb{R}^2$ *and*

2.1

$$[T,X]=\lambda X,[T,Y]=Y;\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^*=\mathbb{R}\setminus(0), \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,2,1(\lambda)})$$

2.2

$$[T,X]=X,[T,Y]=X+Y, \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,2,2})$$

2.3

$$\mathrm{ad}_T=\begin{pmatrix}\cos\varphi & \sin\varphi & 0\\ -\sin\varphi & \cos\varphi & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,2,3(\varphi)})$$

2.4

$$\mathrm{ad}_T=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix},\mathrm{ad}_X=\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\-1&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,2,4}=Lie(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}))$$

3. $\mathfrak{g}^1=\mathbb{R}X+\mathbb{R}Y+\mathbb{R}Z$, *commutative derived ideal*

3.1

$$\mathrm{ad}_T=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1&0&0\\0&\lambda_2&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix},\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\mathbb{R}^*, \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)})$$

3.2

$$\mathrm{ad}_T=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda&1&0\\0&\lambda&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix},\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^*, \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,3,2(\lambda)})$$

3.3

$$\mathrm{ad}_T=\begin{pmatrix}1&1&0\\0&1&1\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,3,3})$$

3.4

$$\mathrm{ad}_T=\begin{pmatrix}\cos\varphi & \sin\varphi & 0\\ -\sin\varphi & \cos\varphi & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \lambda\end{pmatrix},\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^*,\varphi\in(0,\pi), \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,3,4(\lambda)})$$

4. $\mathfrak{g}^1=\mathbb{R}X+\mathbb{R}Y+\mathbb{R}Z\cong\mathfrak{h}_3$, *the 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra and*

4.1

$$\mathrm{ad}_T=\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\-1&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix},[X,Y]=Z, \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,4,1}=Lie(\mathbb{R}\ltimes_J\mathbb{H}_3))$$

4.2

$$\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, [X,Y] = Z, \qquad (\mathfrak{g}_{4,4,2} = Lie(\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{H}_3))$$

(in this case the group is called the real diamond group).

PROOF. I.

The part I of the theorem is proved in the previous proposition. Let us prove the second part.

II.1.

If the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is in the case II.1 of Proposition 2.1 then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}X + \mathbb{R}Y + \mathbb{R}Z + \mathbb{R}T$, $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \mathbb{R}Z \cong \mathbb{R}$ and we have the commutation relations

$$[X,Y] = aZ, \quad [Y,T] = bZ, \quad [T,X] = cZ,$$

$$[X,Z] = xZ, \quad [Y,Z] = yZ, \quad [T,Z] = tZ,$$

where a, b, c, x, y are real numbers not vanishing all together, and

$$at + bx + cy = 0.$$

Suppose that $t \neq 0$. Change the basis $\{X, Y, Z, T\}$ to the new one $\{X', Y', = Z', T'\}$, where

$$\begin{array}{ll} X' & := X - \frac{c}{t}Z - \frac{x}{t}T \\ Y' & := Y + \frac{b}{t}Z - \frac{y}{t}T \\ Z' & := Z \\ T' & := \frac{1}{t}T, \end{array}$$

then $[T', Z'] = Z', [T', X'] = [T', Y'] = [X', Y'] = [X', Z'] = [Y', Z'] = 0$. This means that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,1,2}$. By a similar argument, one deduces that if $x \neq 0$ or $y \neq 0$ the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is of the same class $\mathfrak{g}_{4,1,2}$.

Suppose now that $x = y = t = 0$ and $c \neq 0$. Changing the basis X, Y, Z, T by the new one X', Y', Z', T',

$$\begin{array}{ll} X' & := X, \\ Y' & := Y + \frac{b}{c}X + \frac{a}{c}T, \\ Z' & := Z, \\ T' & := \frac{1}{c}T \end{array}$$

we have

$$[T', X'] = Z', [T', Y'] = [T', Z'] = [X', Y'] = [X', Z'] = [Y', Z'] = 0,$$

i.e. $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,1,1}$. By similar argument, we prove also that if $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$ then $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,1,1}$.

II.2. $\mathfrak{g}^1 \cong \mathbb{R}Y + \mathbb{R}Z$ and $[T, X] = 0$:

If the Lie algebra is in the case II.2 but not in the case II.2.4 of the Lie algebra of affine transformations of the complex straight line $\mathfrak{g}_{4,2,4} = \mathrm{aff}\,\mathbb{C}$, defined by the only nontrivial commutation relations

$$\mathrm{ad}_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $\mathrm{ad}_T \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}\,\mathfrak{g}^1 \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathrm{ad}_X = \alpha\,\mathrm{ad}_T$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Do change the basis X, Y, Z, T to the new basis $X' = X - \alpha T, Y' = Y, Z' = Z, T' = T$ we obtain $\mathrm{ad}_{X'} = 0$. Therefore we can, and do, assume from the beginning that $\mathrm{ad}_X = 0$. The later classify depends therefore on the canonical Jordan form of $\mathrm{ad}_T \in \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g}^1) \cong \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{R})$. We have therefore three sub-cases

II.2.1 ad_T is a non-degenerate diagonalizable matrix equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$, for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_1.\lambda_2 \neq 0$, i.e. $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \neq 0$. Change the basis X, Y, Z, T to the basis $X, Y, Z, T' = \frac{1}{\lambda_2}T$, we have

$$\mathrm{ad}_{T'} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$. This means that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,2,1(\lambda)}$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

II.2.2 The matrix ad_T can not be diagonalizable, but has only real eigenvalues. In this case, it has an eigenvalue λ of multiplicity 2. Change T by $T' = \frac{1}{\lambda}T$ we have

$$\mathrm{ad}_{T'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,2,2}$.

II.2.3 ad_T is diagonalizable but has complex eigenvalue. In this case it should has the second eigenvalue also complex and is conjugate to the first. This means that $\det(\mathrm{ad}_T) > 0$. Change T by $T' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathrm{ad}_T)}}T$, we have $\det \mathrm{ad}_{T'} = 1$. In this case the real Jordan form of $\mathrm{ad}_{T'}$ is just

$$\mathrm{ad}_{T'} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & \sin\varphi \\ -\sin\varphi & \cos\varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,2,3}$.

II.3. Let us consider now the case when $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}X + \mathbb{R}Y + \mathbb{R}Z + \mathbb{R}T$ and $\mathfrak{g}^1 \cong \mathbb{R}X + \mathbb{R}Y + \mathbb{R}Z \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ is a commutative ideal and $\mathrm{ad}_T \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}^1) \cong \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{R})$. We have also 4 cases for the Jordan form of the matrix ad_T.

II.3.1

$$\mathrm{ad}_T \cong \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\lambda_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\lambda_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{\lambda_3} \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{\lambda_1}, \tilde{\lambda_2}, \tilde{\lambda_3} \in \mathbb{R}^*.$$

In this case, we change T by $T' = \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda_3}} T$, we have

$$\mathrm{ad}_{T'} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\lambda_1 := \frac{\tilde{\lambda_1}}{\tilde{\lambda_3}}, \lambda_2 := \frac{\tilde{\lambda_2}}{\tilde{\lambda_3}}$. This means that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

II.3.2

$$\mathrm{ad}_T \cong \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\lambda_2 & \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*$$

In this case, change T by $T' = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} T$, we have

$$\mathrm{ad}_{T'} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\lambda = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$. This means that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,3,2(\lambda)}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

II.3.3

$$\mathrm{ad}_T \cong \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$$

In this case, we change T by $T' = \frac{1}{\lambda} T$ and have

$$\mathrm{ad}_{T'} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

this means that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,3,3}$.

II.3.4

$$\mathrm{ad}_T \cong \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ -b & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^*, b > 0$$

In this case, we change T by $T' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} T$ and we have

$$\mathrm{ad}_{T'} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & \sin\varphi & 0 \\ -\sin\varphi & \cos\varphi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

for $\lambda = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}, \varphi = \arccos\frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} \in (0,\pi)$. This means that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*, \varphi \in (0,\pi)$.

II.4. If $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}X + \mathbb{R}Y + \mathbb{R}Z + \mathbb{R}T$, and $\mathfrak{g}^1 \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$ with the action of T as

$$\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{21} & -a_{11} & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}\, \mathfrak{g}^1 \cong \mathrm{Mat}_3(\mathbb{R}),$$

such that

$$a_{11}^2 + a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0.$$

Let us denote by a, b the solution of the Cramer system

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}x + a_{21}y &= a_{31} \\ a_{12}x - a_{11}y &= a_{32} \end{cases}$$

Because $a_{11}^2 + a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$ this system has a unique solution. Change the basis X, Y, Z, T to the basis X', Y', Z', T'

$$\begin{aligned} X' &= X + aZ \\ Y' &= Y + bZ \\ Z' &= Z \\ T' &= T \end{aligned}$$

then we also have the same commutation relation of the Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3$

$$[X', Y'] = Z', [X', Z'] = [Y', Z'] = 0$$

and in the basis X', Y', Z' of $\mathfrak{g}^1$

$$\mathrm{ad}_T = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{21} & -a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can do this from the beginning and thus we have two cases:

II.4.1 $a_{11}^2 + a_{12}a_{21} < 0$. This deduces also that $a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$. Pose $\lambda = \sqrt{-(a_{11}^2 + a_{12}a_{21})}$. Change the basis X, Y, Z, T by the new basis X', Y', Z', T'

$$\begin{aligned} X' &:= -\lambda X \\ Y' &:= a_{11}X + a_{21}Y \\ Z' &:= -a_{21}Z \\ T' &:= \tfrac{1}{\lambda}T \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{R}X' + \mathbb{R}Y' + \mathbb{R}Z' \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$$

and $\mathrm{ad}_{T'}$ acts on $\mathfrak{h}_3$ by the matrix

$$\mathrm{ad}_{T'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that in this case $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,4,1}$.

II.4.2 $a_{11}^2 + a_{12}a_{21} > 0$. Put $\lambda := \sqrt{a_{11}^2 + a_{12}a_{21}}$.

If $\lambda \neq a_{11}$, we do the following base change

$$\begin{aligned} X' &= (a_{11} - \lambda)X + a_{21}Y, \\ Y' &= -a_{12}X + (a_{11} - \lambda)Y, \\ Z' &= 2\lambda(\lambda - a_{11})Z, \\ T' &= \tfrac{1}{\lambda}T. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $[X', Y'] = Z', [X', Z'] = [Y', Z'] = 0$, $\mathbb{R}X' + \mathbb{R}Y' + \mathbb{R}Z' \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$ and the action of T' on $\mathfrak{h}_3$ is defined by the matrix

$$\mathrm{ad}_{T'} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,4,2}$.

If $\lambda = a_{11}$ then we use the following basis change

$$\begin{aligned} X' &= a_{12}X - 2a_{11}Y', \\ Y' &= 2a_{11} + a_{21}Y, \\ Z' &= 4a_{11}^2 Z, \\ T' &= \tfrac{1}{\lambda}T. \end{aligned}$$

We have the same result as in the previous case. Thus $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,4,2}$.

□

Remark 2.1. Following part I of Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.1, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is an MD$_4$-algebra, then it should be $\mathbb{R}^4$ or decomposed as $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, for $1 \leq n \leq 3$ and hence $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathbb{R}_n \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ and the correspondence simply connected Lie group G should be decomposed as $\mathbb{R}^n \times \widetilde{G}$. The K-action of G in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ should be decomposed into the product of the trivial action of G on $\mathbb{R}_n$ and the K-action of $\widetilde{G}$ on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}^*$. Thus $\widetilde{G}$ should be a MD$_{4-n}$-group $(1 \leq n \leq 3)$. So that we consider only the MD$_4$-algebra which are either $\mathbb{R}^4$ or indecomposable.

Remark 2.2. We shall denote the corresponding Lie MD$_4$-groups by the capitals with the same indices as their Lie algebras, e.g. $G_{4,2,1}, \ldots$.

3. Description of the Co-adjoint Orbits

3.1. Some remarks about the co-adjoint representation. Recall first of all some background of co-adjoint representations. For a connected and simply connected Lie group G with its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the formula of the co-adjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by

$$\langle K(g)F, X\rangle = \langle F, \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1}))\rangle,$$

for each $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*, g \in G$ and each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. The co-adjoint orbit of G in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ passing through F is denoted by

$$\Omega_F := K(G)F := \{K(g)F; g \in G\}.$$

Recall that the exponential map

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$$

defines a local diffeomorphism of some neighborhood of $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ to some neighborhood of the identity element $e \in G$ which is commutes with the adjoint representations

$$\mathrm{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}\, \mathfrak{g}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ad} : G \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g})$$

in the sense that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Ad}"] & \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) \\
\mathfrak{g} \arrow[u, "\exp"] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ad}"] & \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[u, "\exp"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Recall the Lie group G is called to be exponential, if and only if the exponential map

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$$

is a diffeomorphism.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let G be a connected and simply connected solvable (finite dimensional, real) Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *The exponential map $\exp \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is a global diffeomorphism.*
2. *For all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the operators ad_X have no purely imaginary eigenvalues.*

PROOF. See M. SAITO [**Sa**] and N. BOURBAKI [**Bou1**] □

COROLLARY 3.1. *All MD$_4$-groups, except for the groups $G_{4,2,3(\pi/2)}$, $G_{4,2,4} = \widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}$, $G_{4,3,4(\lambda,\pi/2)}, (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*)$ and $G_{4,4,1}$ are exponential.*

PROOF. Consider a general element $U = aX + bY + cZ + dT \in \mathfrak{g}$.

1.1 If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{4,1,1}$ then in the basis X, Y, Z, T,

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d & 0 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and ad_U has only real eigenvalue 0 of multiplicity 4.

1.2 If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{4,1,2}$ then in the basis X, Y, Z, T,

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence ad_U has only real eigenvalues 0 of multiplicity 3 and d.

2.1 If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{4,2,1(\lambda)}, (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*)$, then in the basis X, Y, Z, T,

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d\lambda & 0 & -\lambda b \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence ad_U has only the real eigenvalues 0 of multiplicity 2 and d and $d\lambda$.

2.2 If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{4,2,2}$, then in the basis X, Y, Z, T,

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d & d & -(b+c) \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and then ad_U has only the real eigenvalues 0 of multiplicity 2 and d of multiplicity 2.

2.3 If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{4,2,3}$, then in the basis X, Y, Z, T,

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d\cos\varphi & d\sin\varphi & -b\cos\varphi - c\sin\varphi \\ 0 & -d\sin\varphi & d\cos\varphi & b\sin\varphi - \cos\varphi \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

, and hence ad_U has only the real eigenvalues 0 of multiplicity 2 and $de^{\pm i\varphi}$. The last number should not be purely imaginary iff $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ and $\varphi \neq \pi/2$.

3.1 If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*)$ then in the basis X, Y, Z, T,

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} d\lambda_1 & d & 0 & -\lambda_1 a \\ 0 & d\lambda_2 & 0 & -b\lambda_2 \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence ad_U has only the real eigenvalues $0, d, d\lambda_1, d\lambda_2$.

3.2 If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{4,3,2(\lambda)}(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*)$, then in the basis X, Y, Z, T,

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} d\lambda & d & 0 & -a\lambda - b \\ 0 & d\lambda & 0 & -b\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence ad_U has only the real eigenvalues $0, d, d\lambda$ of multiplicity 2.

3.3 If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{4,3,3}$ then in the basis X, Y, Z, T,

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} d & d & 0 & -a - b \\ 0 & d & d & -b - c \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence ad_U has only the real eigenvalues 0 and d of multiplicity 3.

3.4 If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}$, then in the basis X, Y, Z, T,

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} d\cos\varphi & d\sin\varphi & 0 & -a\cos\varphi - b\sin\varphi \\ -d\sin\varphi & d\cos\varphi & 0 & a\sin\varphi - b\cos\varphi \\ 0 & 0 & d\lambda & -c\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence ad_U has no purely imaginary eigenvalues $0, d\lambda, de^{\pm i\varphi}, \forall\varphi \in (0, \pi), \varphi \neq \pi/2$.

4.2 If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{4,4,2}$, then in the basis X, Y, Z, Y,

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} -d & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & d & 0 & -b \\ -b & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence ad_U has only the real eigenvalues 0 of multiplicity 2 and $\pm d$.

$\square$

3.2. Description of co-adjoint orbits. We introduce the following convention. Fix a Lie MD$_4$-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with the standard basis X, Y, Z, T as in the Theorem 2.1. It is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^4$ as vector spaces. The coordinates in this standard basis is denote by (a, b, c, d). We identify its dual vector space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with $\mathbb{R}_4$ with the help of the dual basis X^*, Y^*, Z^*, T^* and with the local coordinates as $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$. Thus the general form of an element of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $U = aX + bY + cZ + dT$ and the general form of an element of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is $F = \alpha X^* + \beta Y^* + \gamma Z^* + \delta T^*$. We denote the co-adjoint orbit passing through $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ by Ω_F.

THEOREM 3.1 (**The Picture of Co-adjoint Orbits**). 1.1 *Case* $G = G_{4,1,1}$.

i. *Each point F with the coordinate $\gamma = 0$ is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit* $\Omega_F = \Omega_{(\alpha,\beta,0,\delta)}$

ii. *There a family of one 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbit*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{\beta,\gamma\neq 0} = \{(x, \beta, \gamma, t); x, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

1.2 *Case* $G = G_{4,1,2}$.

i. *Every point* $F = \alpha X^* + \beta Y^* + \delta T^*$, *with the coordinate* $\gamma = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit.*

ii. *The subset* $\gamma \neq 0$ *decomposes into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{\alpha,\beta} = \{(\alpha, \beta, z, t); z, t \in \mathbb{R}\gamma z > 0\},$$

which are all half-planes, parameterized by the coordinates $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.1-2.2 *Case* $G = G_{4,2,1(\lambda)}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ *or* $G_{4,2,2}$.

i. *Each point on the plane* $\beta = \gamma = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit,*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{\alpha,0,0,\delta}.$$

ii. *The open set* $\beta^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ *is decomposed into the union of 2-dimensional cylinders of form*

$$\Omega_F = \begin{cases} \{(\alpha, \beta e^{s\lambda}, \gamma e^s, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\} & \textit{in case } G = G_{4,2,1(\lambda)} \\ \{(\alpha, \beta e^s, \beta s e^s + \gamma e^s, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\} & \textit{in case } G = G_{4,2,2} \end{cases}$$

2.3 *Case* $G = G_{4,2,3(\varphi)}(\varphi \in (0, \pi))$. *We identify* $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_{4,2,3(\varphi)}$ *with* $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ *with coordinates* $(\alpha, \beta + i\gamma, \delta)$:

i. *Each point* $(\alpha, 0, \delta)$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit* $\Omega_{\alpha,0+i0,\delta}$

ii. *The open set* $\beta + i\gamma \neq 0$ *is decomposed into a family of disjoint co-adjoint orbit*

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha, (\beta + i\gamma)e^{se^{i\varphi}}, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

which are also cylinders.

2.4 *Case* $G = G_{4,2,4} = \widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}$.

   i. *Each point* $(\alpha, 0, 0, \delta)$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit* $\Omega_{\alpha,0,0,\delta}$.

   i.. *The open set* $\beta^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ *is the single 4-dimensional co-adjoint orbit*

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{\beta^2+\gamma^2\neq 0}.$$

3.1-3.3 *Case* G *is one of the groups* $G_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*)$. $G_{4,3,2(\lambda)}(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*)$ *or* $G_{4,3,3}$.

   i. *Each point* $F = \delta T^*$ *on the line* $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit.*

   ii. *The open set defined by the condition* $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ *is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional cylinders*

$$\Omega_F = \begin{cases} \{(\alpha e^{s\lambda_1}, \beta e^{s\lambda_2}, \gamma e^s, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\} & \textit{in case } G = G_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^* \\ \{(\alpha e^{s\lambda}, \alpha s e^{s\lambda} + \beta e s\lambda, \gamma e^s, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\} & \textit{in case } G = G_{4,3,2(\lambda)}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^* \\ \{(\alpha e^s, \alpha s e^s + \beta e^s, \frac{1}{2}\alpha s^2 e^s + \beta s e^s + \gamma e^s, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\} & \textit{in case } G = G_{4,3,3} \end{cases}$$

3.4 *Case* $G = G_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}$. *We identify* $\mathfrak{g}^*_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}$ *with* $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ *and* $F = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ *with* $(\alpha + i\beta, \gamma, \delta)$ *for* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ *and* $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$.

   i. *Each point of the line defined by the condition* $\alpha = \gamma = \beta = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit.*

   ii. *The open set* $|\alpha + i\beta|^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ *is decomposed into an union of co-adjoint orbits which are just cylinders*

$$\Omega_F = \{((\alpha + i\beta|^2)e^{se^{i\varphi}}, \gamma e^{s\lambda}, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

4.1 *Case* $G = G_{4,4,1} = \mathbb{R} \ltimes_J \mathfrak{h}_3$.

   i. *Each point of the line defined by the conditions* $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional orbit* $\Omega_F = \Omega_{(0,0,0,\delta)} = \{(0, 0, 0, \delta)$.

   ii. *The open set* $\gamma \neq 0$ *is decomposed into a union of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits*

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, y, \gamma, t); x^2 + y^2 - 2\gamma t = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 2\gamma\delta\},$$

   which are just rotation paraboloids, and

   iii. *the set* $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$, $\gamma = 0$ *is a union of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just cylinders.*

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, y, 0, t); x^2 + y^2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2\}.$$

4.2 *Case* $G = G_{4,4,2} = \mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{H}_3$, *the real diamond group.*

   i. *Each point of the line* $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ *is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit* $\Omega_F = \Omega_{(0,0,0,\delta)}$

   ii. *The set* $\alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0 = \gamma$ *is union of two 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just the half-planes*

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, 0, 0, t); x, t \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha x > 0\}.$$

iii. *The set* $\alpha = 0 = \gamma$, $\beta \neq 0$ *is union of two 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just the half-planes*

$$\Omega_F\{(0, y, 0, t); y, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

iv. *The open set* $\gamma \neq 0$ *is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just the hyperbolic paraboloids*

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, y, \gamma, t); x, y, t \in \mathbb{R}, xy - \alpha\beta = \gamma(t - \delta)\}.$$

Proof. Mainly, the theorem is proved by a direct computation. We have in general

$$\Omega_F = \{K(g)F; g \in G\}.$$

Because of Corollary 3.1, we have

$$\Omega_F = \{K(\exp(U)F; U \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

Recall that

$$\langle K(\exp(U)F, X\rangle = \langle F, \exp(\mathrm{ad}_U)X\rangle.$$

Thus we consider a general element

$$K(\exp(U))F = xX^* + yY^* + zZ^* + tT^* = (x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \langle K(\exp(U)F, X\rangle &&= \langle F, \exp(\mathrm{ad}_U)X\rangle, \\ y &= \langle K(\exp(U)F, Y\rangle &&= \langle F, \exp(\mathrm{ad}_U)Y\rangle, \\ z &= \langle K(\exp(U)F, Z\rangle &&= \langle F, \exp(\mathrm{ad}_U)Z\rangle, \\ t &= \langle K(\exp(U)F, T\rangle &&= \langle F, \exp(\mathrm{ad}_U)T\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation, for $U = aX + bY + cZ + dT$ we have:
1.1. $G = G_{4,1,1}$,

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d & 0 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ d & 0 & 1 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \alpha + \gamma d, \\ y &= \beta, \\ z &= \gamma, \\ t &= -\gamma a + \delta \end{aligned}$$

and therefore if $\gamma = 0$, each point is unchanged, and is therefore a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit. In other words, the 0-dimensional orbits are parameterized by the points $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \neq 0, \delta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}$. If $\gamma \neq 0$, the coordinates $x = \alpha + \gamma d$ and $t = -\gamma a + \delta$ run over two coordinates lines, while the coordinates $y = \beta$ and $z = \gamma \neq 0$ are fixed. Thus we have a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, parameterized by the points $(\beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$.

1.2. $G = G_{4,1,2}$.

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^d & -c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \alpha, \\ y &= \beta, \\ z &= \gamma e^d, \\ t &= -\gamma c \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} + \delta \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the point F should be unchanged if $\delta = 0$; otherwise, $\gamma \neq 0$, the coordinate t run over a line and the coordinate z run over a half-line. This means that the closet set $\gamma = 0$ is decomposed into 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbits. The open set $\gamma \neq 0$ decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just half-planes

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha, \beta, z, t); z, t \in \mathbb{R}, z.\gamma > 0\},$$

parameterized by $(\alpha, \beta, \mathrm{sgn}(\gamma)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{\pm\}$.

2.1. $G = G_{4,2,1(\lambda)} (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*)$.

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d\lambda & 0 & -\lambda b \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{d\lambda} & 0 & -b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n d^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & 0 & e^d & -c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \alpha, \\ y &= \beta e^{d\lambda}, \\ z &= \gamma e^d, \\ t &= \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\beta b \lambda^n) - \gamma c)(\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!}) + \delta \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the point F should be unchanged if $\beta = \gamma = 0$ and if one of them is nonzero then the coordinates (x, y, z, t) cover a 2-dimensional cylinder $(\alpha, \beta e^{s\lambda}, \gamma e^s, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus the open set $\beta^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are cylinders of form

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha, \beta e^{d\lambda}, z, t); z, t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

parameterized by $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

2.2. $G = G_{4,2,2}$.

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d & d & -b-c \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^d & de^d & -b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} - ce^d \\ 0 & 0 & e^d & -c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{array}{ll} x & = \alpha, \\ y & = \beta e^d, \\ z & = \beta d e^d + \gamma e^d, \\ t & = -\beta b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} - \beta c e^d - \gamma c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} + \delta \end{array}$$

and therefore the point F is unchanged if $\beta = \gamma = 0$; otherwise the coordinates (x, y, z, t) cover a 2-dimensional cylinder

$$\{(\alpha, \beta e^s, \beta s e^s + \gamma e^s, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

2.3. $G = G_{4,2,3(\varphi)} (\varphi \in (0, \pi))$.

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d\cos\varphi & d\sin\varphi & -b\cos\varphi - c\sin\varphi \\ 0 & -d\sin\varphi & dcos\varphi & b\sin\varphi - c\cos\varphi \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{d\cos\varphi}\cos(d\sin\varphi) & e^{d\cos\varphi}\sin(d\cos\varphi) & -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!}(b\cos(n\varphi) + c\sin(n\varphi)) \\ 0 & -e^{d\cos\varphi}\sin(d\sin\varphi) & e^{d\cos\varphi}\cos(d\sin\varphi) & -c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!}\cos(n\varphi) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

. This means that

$$\begin{array}{ll} x & = \alpha, \\ y & = \beta e^{d\cos\varphi}\cos(d\sin\varphi) - \gamma e^{d\cos\varphi}\sin(d\sin\varphi) \\ z & = \beta e^{d\cos\varphi}\sin(d\sin\varphi) + \gamma e^{d\cos\varphi}\cos(d\sin\varphi) \\ t & = -\beta\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!}(b\cos(n\varphi) + c\sin(n\varphi)) - \gamma c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!}\cos(n\varphi) + \delta \end{array}.$$

We identify $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, in writing the coordinates $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ as $(\alpha, \beta + i\gamma, \delta)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rl} x & = \alpha, \\ y + iz & = e^{de^{i\varphi}}(\beta + i\gamma), \\ t & = -\beta\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!}(b\cos(n\varphi) + c\sin(n\varphi)) - \gamma c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!}\cos(n\varphi) + \delta \end{array}$$

This means that every point $(\alpha, 0, 0, \delta)$ on the line $\beta + i\gamma = 0$ is unchanged under the co-adjoint action and provides a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit; otherwise, the open set $\beta + i\gamma \neq 0$ is decomposed into a family of cylinders,which are just the 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits.

2.4. $G = G_{4,2,4} = \widetilde{\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{C}}$.

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -c & d & a & -b \\ b & -a & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ L & M & N & P & \\ -P & -N & M & L & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where if $a^2 + d^2 \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} L &:= \tfrac{1}{a^2+d^2}\left[(ab+cd)(1 - e^{\frac{a^2+d^2+d}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}}}\cos(\tfrac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}}) + (bd-ac)e^{\frac{a^2+d^2+d}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}}}\sin(\tfrac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}})\right], \\ M &:= e^{\frac{a^2+d^2+d}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}}}\cos(\tfrac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}}), \\ N &:= e^{\frac{a^2+d^2+d}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}}}\sin(\tfrac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}}), \\ P &:= \tfrac{1}{a^2+d^2}\left[(ac-bd)(e^{\frac{a^2+d^2+d}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}}}\cos(\tfrac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}}) - 1) - (ab+cd)e^{\frac{a^2+d^2+d}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}}}\sin(\tfrac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+d^2}})\right] \end{aligned}$$

and if $a = d = 0$, $L := -c, M := 1, N := 0, P := -b$. This means that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \alpha + \beta L - \gamma P; \\ y &= \beta M - \gamma N' \\ z &= \beta N + \gamma M, \\ t &= \beta P + \gamma L + \delta \end{aligned}$$

and hence every point F of the coordinate plane $\{(\alpha, 0, 0, \delta), \alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, otherwise, if $\beta^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$, this open set is just the single 4-dimensional co-adjoint orbits.

3.1. $G = G_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*)$

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} d\lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & -a\lambda_1 \\ 0 & d\lambda_2 & 0 & -b\lambda_2 \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; \exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{d\lambda_1} & 0 & 0 & -a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(d\lambda_1)^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & e^{d\lambda_2} & 0 & -b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(d\lambda_2)^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & 0 & e^d & -c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \alpha e^{d\lambda_1}, \\ y &= \beta e^{d\lambda_2}, \\ z &= \gamma e^{d}, \\ t &= -\alpha a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\tfrac{(d\lambda_1)^{n-1}}{n!} - \beta b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\tfrac{(d\lambda_2)^{n-1}}{n!} - \gamma c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\tfrac{d^{n-1}}{n!} + \delta \end{aligned}$$

and hence each point of the line $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit; otherwise, the open set $\alpha^2 = \beta^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits,which are just the cylinders

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha e^{s\lambda_1}, \beta e^{s\lambda_2}\gamma e^{s}, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

3.2. $G = G_{4,3,2(\lambda)}(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*)$.

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} d\lambda & d & 0 & -\lambda a - b \\ 0 & d\lambda & 0 & -b\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

and

$$\exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{d\lambda} & de^{d\lambda} & 0 & -a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(d\lambda)^{n-1}}{n!} - be^{d\lambda} \\ 0 & e^{d\lambda} & 0 & -b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(d\lambda)^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{d} - c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \alpha e^{d\lambda}, \\ y &= \alpha de^{d\lambda} + \beta e^{d\lambda}, \\ z &= \gamma e^{d\lambda}, \\ t &= -\alpha a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(d\lambda)^{n-1}}{n!} - \alpha be^{d\lambda} - \beta b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(d\lambda)^{n-1}}{n!} - \gamma c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} + \delta \end{aligned}$$

and hence every point of the line $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ is just a 0dimensional co-adjoint orbit

$$\Omega_{(0,0,0,\delta)} = \{(0, 0, 0, \delta)\};$$

otherwise, the open set $\alpha^2 = \beta^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits which are just the cylinders

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha e^{s\lambda}, \alpha se^{s\lambda} + \beta e^{s\lambda}, \gamma e^{s\lambda}, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

3.3. $G = G_{4,3,3}$.

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} d & d & 0 & -a - b \\ 0 & d & d & -b - c \\ 0 & 0 & d & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$\exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{d} & de^{d} & \frac{1}{2}d^2e^{d} & -\alpha\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} - (b + \frac{1}{2}cd)e^{d} \\ 0 & e^{d} & de^{d} & -b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} - ce^{d} \\ 0 & 0 & e^{d} & -c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \alpha e^d, \\ y &= \alpha d e^d + \beta e^d, \\ z &= \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha d^2 e^d + \beta d e^d + \gamma e^d, \\ t &= -\alpha \left(a \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} (b + \frac{1}{2} c d) e^d \right) - \beta \left(b \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} + c e^d \right) - \gamma c \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} + \delta \end{aligned}$$

and hence every point of the line $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit

$$\Omega_{(0,0,0,\delta)} = \{(0,0,0,\delta); \delta = \text{fixed}\};$$

otherwise, the open set $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just the cylinders

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha e^s, \alpha s e^s + \beta e^s, \frac{1}{2}\alpha s^2 e^s + \beta s e^s + \gamma e^s, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

3.4. $G = G_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)} (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*, \varphi \in (0,\pi))$.

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} d\cos\varphi & d\sin\varphi 70 & -a\cos\varphi - b\sin\varphi & \\ -d\sin\varphi & d\cos\varphi & 0 & a\sin\varphi - b\cos\varphi \\ 0 & 0 & d\lambda & -c\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{d\cos\varphi}\cos(d\sin\varphi) & e^{d\cos\varphi}\sin(d\sin\varphi) & 0 & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} (a\cos(n\varphi) + b\sin(n\varphi)) \\ -e^{d\cos\varphi}\sin(d\sin\varphi) & e^{d\cos\varphi}\cos(d\sin\varphi) & 0 & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} (a\sin(n\varphi) - b\cos(n\varphi) \\ 0 & 0 & e^{d\lambda} & -c\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \alpha e^{d\cos\varphi}\cos(d\sin\varphi) - \beta e^{d\cos\varphi}\sin(d\sin\varphi), \\ y &= \alpha e^{d\cos\varphi}\sin(d\sin\varphi) + \beta e^{d\cos\varphi}\cos(d\sin\varphi), \\ z &= \gamma e^{d\lambda}, \\ t &= -\alpha \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} (a\cos(n\varphi) + b\sin(n\varphi)) + \beta \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} (a\sin(n\varphi) - \\ &\quad - b\cos(n\varphi)) - \gamma \textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} + \delta \end{aligned} .$$

We identify $\mathfrak{g}^*_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}$ with $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ by identifying $(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)$ with $(\alpha + i\beta, \gamma, \delta)$, then we can rewrite

$$x + iy = (\alpha + i\beta) e^{de^{i\varphi}},$$

and hence each point of the line $\alpha + i\beta = 0, \gamma = 0$ is just a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit

$$\Omega_{(0,0,0,\delta)} = \{(0,0,0,\delta); \delta = \text{ fixed } \},$$

otherwise the open set $|\alpha + i\beta|^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just the cylinders of form

$$\Omega_F = \{(\alpha + i\beta)e^{se^{i\varphi}}, \gamma e^{s\lambda}, t); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

4.1. $G = G_{4,4,1}$.

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d & 0 & -b \\ -d & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos d & \sin d & 0 & b\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} - a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n-1}}{(2n)!} \\ -\sin d & \cos d & 0 & a\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} - b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n-1}}{(2n)!} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} a_{31} & := -b\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} + a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n-1}}{(2n)!} \\ a_{32} & := a\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} + b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n-1}}{(2n)!} \\ a_{33} & := 1 \\ a_{34} & := -(a^2+b^2)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n-2}}{(2n)!} \end{array}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{array}{ll} x & = \alpha\cos d - \beta\sin d + \gamma\left[a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n-1}}{(2n)!} - b\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n}}{(2n+1)!}\right] \\ y & = \alpha\sin d + \beta\cos d + \gamma\left[a\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} + b\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n-1}}{(2n)!}\right] \\ z & = \gamma, \\ t & = -\alpha\left[b\sum_{n=0}^{i} nfty(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} + a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n+1}}{(2n)!}\right] + \beta[a\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\times \\ & \times\frac{d^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} - b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n-1}}{(2n)!}] - \gamma(a^2+b^2)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{d^{2n-2}}{(2n)!} + \delta \end{array}$$

and hence every point of the line $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ is just a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit

$$\Omega_{(0,0,0,\delta)} = \{(0,0,0,\delta); \delta = \text{ fixed }\},$$

otherwise the set $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$, $\gamma = 0$ is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just the rotation cylinders

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, y, 0, t); y, y, t \in \mathbb{R}, x^2 + y^2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2\}$$

and the open set $\gamma \neq 0$ is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just the elliptic paraboloids

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, y, \gamma, t); x, y, t \in \mathbb{R}, x^2 + y^2 - 2\gamma t = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 2\gamma\delta\}.$$

4.2. $G = G_{4,4,2} = \mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}_3$, the real diamond group.

$$\mathrm{ad}_U = \begin{pmatrix} -d & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & d & 0-b & \\ -b & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\exp(\mathrm{ad}_U) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-d} & 0 & 0 & a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & e^{d} & 0 & -b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \\ b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} & a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} & 1 & -ab\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}((-1)^n+1)\frac{d^{n-2}}{n!} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \alpha e^{-d} + \gamma b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!}, \\ y &= \beta e^{d} + \gamma a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!}, \\ z &= \gamma, \\ t &= \alpha a\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} - \beta b\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} - \gamma ab\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}((-1)^n+1)\frac{d^{n-2}}{n!} + \delta \end{aligned}$$

and hence every point of the line $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ is a 0-dimensional co-adjoint orbit

$$\Omega_F = \{(0,0,0,\delta); \delta = \text{ fixed } \},$$

otherwise the set $\alpha \neq 0$, $\beta = \gamma = 0$ is decomposed into two 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just two coordinates half-planes

$$\Omega_F = \{(x,0,0,t); x,t \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha x > 0\},$$

the set $\beta \neq 0$, $\alpha = \gamma = 0$ is decomposed into two co-adjoint orbits, which are just two coordinate half-planes

$$\Omega_F = \{(0,y,0,t); y,t \in \mathbb{R}, \beta y > 0\},$$

the set $\alpha\beta \neq 0$, $\gamma = 0$ is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just hyperbolic cylinders

$$\Omega_F = \{(x,y,0,t); x,y,t \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha x > 0, \beta y > 0, xy = \alpha\beta\}$$

and finally, the open set $\gamma \neq 0$ is decomposed into a family of 2-dimensional co-adjoint orbits, which are just parabolic hyperboloids

$$\Omega_F = \{(x,y,\gamma,t); x,y,t \in \mathbb{R}, xy - \alpha\beta = \gamma(t-\delta)\}.$$

□

4. Measurable MD4-Foliation

In this section, we study the C*-algebras associated with co-adjoint orbits. in many cases this should give us adequate informations about th structure of group C*-algebras. We recall first of all the background from Connes theory of measurable foliations. We show later that the generic co-adjoint orbits provide connes measurable foliations and finally we consider topological classification of these foliations.

4.1. Measurable foliations after A. Connes. Let us recall that a *integrable tangent distribution* is by definition a smooth sub-fibration $\mathcal{F}$ of the tangent bundle TV of a smooth manifold V, such that each point $x \in V$ can be included in a smooth sub-manifold $W \subseteq V$, which recognizes $\mathcal{F} = TW$ as its tangent bundle, i.e. the fiber $\mathcal{F}_x$ at x is coincided with the tangent space T_xW, for all $x \in W$. The manifold W in this case is called the *integral manifold* of $\mathcal{F}$. Recall also the Frobenius criteria of integrability

Proposition 4.1 (A. Connes [**C1**]). *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- i. *The tangent distribution $\mathcal{F}$ is integrable on V.*
- ii. *For all $x \in V$, there exists an open sub-manifold U in V, containing x, and a submersion $p : U \to \mathbb{R}^q (q \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F} := \dim V - \dim \mathcal{F})$ such that $\mathcal{F}_y = \operatorname{Ker}(p_*)_y, \forall y \in W$.*
- iii. *$C^\infty(\mathcal{F}) = \{s \in C^\infty(TV); s_x \in \mathcal{F}_x, \forall x \in V\}$ is a Lie subalgebra of smooth vector fields.*
- iv. *The ideal $I(\mathcal{F})$ of differential forms, vanishing on $\mathcal{F}$ is stable under the operation of exterior differentiation d.*

This deduces in particular that all the 1-dimensional tangent distributions are integrable.

A manifold V, equipped with an integrable tangent distribution $\mathcal{F}$ is called a *foliation* or a *foliated manifold*, denoted by $(V, \mathcal{F})$. Each maximal connected integral sub-manifold L of $\mathcal{F}$ is called a *leaf* of the foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$. It is reasonable to recall (see for example A. Connes [**C1**]) that:

- i. The family of all leaves of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on V form a partition of V.
- ii. For each point $x \in V$, there exists a coordinate neighborhood $\{U, (x^1, \dots, x^n)\}$, $n = \dim V$, such that if a fiber L intersects with this neighborhood, $L \cap U \neq$, each connected component of $L \cap U$, which is called a *plaque*, is given by the following equations

$$x^{k+1} = c^1, \dots, x^n = c^{n-k}, n = \dim \mathcal{F},$$

where $c^1, \dots, c^{n-k}$ are some constants, depending on the plaque.

The atlas of this kind coordinate charts is called an *atlas of foliated manifold.* Conversely, one can use these last two properties to defines a larger class of foliations (see I. Tamura [**Tam**][Ch. 4, pp. 121–126].): one consider a family $\mathcal{C}$ of sub-manifolds, satisfying two conditions and for each fiber $L \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a single integrable distribution $\mathcal{F}$ such that L is its maximal connected integral sub-manifold of $\mathcal{F}$. Then we have also a foliations. Remark that locally, all foliations of a fixed dimension have the same local structure. But globally, they are quite different, e.g. compactness of leaves, existence of dense leaves, ... The space of leaves $V/\mathfrak{F}$.

Definition 4.1. Two foliations $(V_1, \{_1)$ and $(V_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ are called *topologically equivalent*, if there exists a leaf-wise homeomorphism $h : (V_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \to (V_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$.

Recall that a sub-manifold N of the foliated manifold $(V, \mathcal{F})$ is called *transversal* if at each point $p \in V$, we can split T_pV as the direct sum $T_pV = \mathcal{F}_p \oplus TpN$, for all $p \in N$. Certainly that in this case $\dim N = codim \mathcal{F}$. In a small neighborhood $(U, (x^1, \dots, x^n))$ there is a 1-1 correspondence between the plaques and the point of $U \cap N$. If for a Borel set B, the set $U \cap B$ is countable, the transversal set B is called *Borel transversal.* It was proved in [**C1**] that there exists an injection $\psi : B \to N$, where N is a transversal sub-manifold such that $\psi(x) \in L_x$, the leaf containing x.

Definition 4.2. A σ-additive measure $B \mapsto \Lambda(B)$ from the set of Borel transversals to the set $[0, +\infty]$ is called a *transversal measure* if:

- (Λ_1) **(Borel equivalence)**. the measure is invariant w.r.t. Borel bijections $\psi : B_1 \to B_2$, $\Lambda(B_1) = \Lambda(B_2)$.
- (Λ_2) $\Lambda(K) < +\infty$ if K is a compact subset of a transversal sub-manifold.

A foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$, equipped with a transversal measure is called a *measurable foliation.*

Let us recall finally some relation between the transversal measure and the ordinary measure.

For oriented foliations, we can deduce a more clear relationship between the transversal measures and the ordinary measure on foliated manifolds. Choose an orientation of $\mathcal{F}$. Then the fiber bundle $\wedge^k \mathcal{F} (k = \dim \mathcal{F})$ is decomposed into two parts $(\wedge^k \mathcal{F})^+$ and $(\wedge^k \mathcal{F})^-$ by the zero section. Fix a k-vector field $X \in C^\infty(\wedge^k \mathcal{F})^+$ and a measure μ on the foliated manifold $(V, \mathcal{F})$. If U is some local coordinate cart of the foliation, then U can be identified with the direct product $N \times \pi$ of some transversal sub-manifold N and a typical fiber π. Thus the restriction μ_U is separated into the product of measures μ_N on N and μ_π on π. Denote μ_X the measure along leaves defined by the volume element X. The measure μ is X-invariant iff μ_X and $\mu\pi$ are proportional for all coordinate cart of foliation. Two pairs (X, μ)

and (Y, ν) are said to be equivalent iff there exists a function $\varphi \in C^\infty(V)$ such that $Y = \varphi X$ and $\mu = \varphi\nu$.

PROPOSITION 4.2 (A. Connes [**C1**]). *If $(V, \mathcal{F})$ is an oriented foliated manifold, there is a bijective correspondence between equivalent classes of pairs (X, μ) and the transversal measures.*

The transversal measure, corresponding to the pair (X, μ) is just given by the formula

$$\Lambda(B) = \int_N \mathrm{Card}(B \cap N) d\mu_N(\pi),$$

for all transversal Borel set B in U, and is continued to other Borel set by σ-additivity.

We conclude that in order to describe some foliation as a measurable foliation, we need to pick out a suitable pair (X, μ).

4.2. Measurable MD_4-foliations.

THEOREM 4.1. *If G is a undecomposable connected and simply connected MD_4-group and $\mathcal{F}_G$ is the foliation, formed by all the orbits of maximal dimension, $V_G := \bigcup_{\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_G} \Omega$. Then $(V_G, \mathcal{F}_G)$ is a measurable foliation, called the associated MD_4-foliation.*

PROOF. We prove the theorem in two steps.

Step 1. Find out the integrable tangent distribution, also denoted by $\mathcal{F}_G$ on V_G, having co-adjoint orbits as maximal connected integral submanifolds.

Step 2. Equip to each $(V_G, \mathcal{F}_G)$ a transversal measure.

For the first step, we find out the differential system S_G defining our distributions. Following is the list of differential systems by which we choose:

Case 1.1.

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,1} : \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X_1(x, y, z, t) & = (x, 0, 0, 0) \\ X_2(x, y, z, t) & = 0, 0, 0, -z) \end{array} \right.$$

on the manifold $V_{G_{4,1,1}} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 1.2.

$$\mathcal{S}_{1,2} : \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X_1(x, y, z, t) & = (0, 0, z, 0) \\ X_2(x, y, z, t) & = (0, 0, 0, -z) \end{array} \right.$$

on the manifold $V_{G_{4,1,2}} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 2.1.

$$\mathcal{S}_{4,2,1(\lambda)} : \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X_1(x, y, z, t) & = (0, \lambda y, z, 0) \\ X_2(x, y, z, t) & = (-\lambda y, 0, 0, 0) \\ X_3(x, y, z, t) & = (-z, 0, 0, 0) \end{array} \right.$$

on the manifold $V_{G_{4,2,1}} = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 2.2.

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,2} : \quad \begin{cases} X_1(x,y,z,t) &= (0, y, y+z, 0) \\ X_2(x,y,z,t) &= (-y, 0, 0, 0) \\ X_3(x,y,z,t) &= (-(y+z), 0, 0, 0) \end{cases}$$

on the manifold $V_{G_{4,2,2}} = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 2.3.

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,3(\varphi)} : \quad \begin{cases} X_1(x, y+iz, t) &= (0, (y+iz)e^{i\varphi}, 0) \\ X_2(x, y+iz, t) &= -y\cos\varphi + z\sin\varphi, 0, 0) \\ X_3(x, y+iz, t) &= (-y\sin\varphi - z\cos\varphi, 0, 0) \end{cases}$$

on the manifold $V_{G_{4,2,3}} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 2.4.

$$\mathcal{S}_{2,4} : \quad \begin{cases} X_1(x,y,z,t) &= (0, 0, 0, 1) \\ X_2(x,y,z,t) &= (1, 0, 0, 0) \\ X_3(x,y,z,t) &= (0, y, z, 0) \\ X_4(x,y,z,t) &= (0, -z, y, 0) \end{cases}$$

on the manifold $V_{G_{4,2,4}} = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 3.1.

$$\mathcal{S}_{3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*) : \quad \begin{cases} X_1(x,y,z,t) &= (\lambda_1 x, \lambda_2 y, z, 0) \\ X_2(x,y,z,t) &= 0, 0, 0, -\lambda_1 x) \\ X_3(x,y,z,t) &= (0, 0, 0, -\lambda_2 y), \\ X_4(x,y,z,t) &= (0, 0, 0, -z) \end{cases}$$

on the manifold $V_{G_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}} = (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 3.2.

$$\mathcal{S}_{3,2(\lambda)}(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*) : \quad \begin{cases} X_1(x,y,z,t) &= \lambda x, x + \lambda y, z, 0) \\ X_2(x,y,z,t) &= (0, 0, 0, -\lambda x), \\ X_3(x,y,z,t) &= (0, 0, 0, -x - \lambda y), \\ X_4(x,y,z,t) &= (0, 0, 0, -z) \end{cases}$$

on the manifold $(V_{G_{4,3,2(\lambda)}} = \mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 3.3.

$$\mathcal{S}_{3,3} : \quad \begin{cases} X_1(x,y,z,t) &= (x, x+y, y+z, 0), \\ X_2(x,y,z,t) &= (0, 0, 0, -x), \\ X_3(x,y,z,t) &= (0, 0, 0, -x - y), \\ X_4(x,y,z,t) &= (0, 0, 0, -y - z) \end{cases}$$

on the manifold $V_{G_{4,3,3}} = (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 3.4.

$\mathcal{S}_{3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*, \varphi \in (0,\pi))$:

$$\begin{cases} X_1(x+iy,z,t) & = ((x+iy)e^{i\varphi}, \lambda z, 0) \\ X_2(x+iy,z,t) & = (0,0,-x\cos\varphi + y\sin\varphi) \\ X_3(x+iy,z,t) & = 0,0,-x\sin\varphi - y\cos\varphi) \\ X_4(x+iy,z,t) & = (0,0,-\lambda z) \end{cases}$$

on the manifold $V_{G_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}} = (\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R})^* \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 4.1.

$$\mathcal{S}_{4,1}: \qquad \begin{cases} X_1(x,y,z,t) & = (-y,x,0,0) \\ X_2(x,y,z,t) & = (0,z,0,y) \\ X_3(x,y,z,t) & = (-z,0,0,-y) \end{cases}$$

on th manifold $V_{G_{4,4,1}} = (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}$.

Case 4.2.

$$\mathcal{S}_{4,4,2}: \qquad \begin{cases} X_1(x,y,z,t) & = (-x,y,0,0) \\ X_2(x,y,z,t) & = (0,z,0,x) \\ X_3(x,y,z,t) & = (-z,0,0,-y) \end{cases}$$

on the manifold $V_{G_{4,4,2}} = (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}$.

It is easy to verify that:

- All the indicated differential systems are of rank 2, but the system $\mathcal{S}_{2,4}$ of rank 4.
- Each co-adjoint orbit Ω from $\mathcal{F}_G$ is a maximal connected integral manifold of the tangent distribution, generated by the corresponding system $\mathcal{S}_G$.

Thus we have foliation $(V_G, \mathcal{F}_G)$ for each undecomposable connected and simply connected MD$_4$-group.

To realize the second step, we show that our foliations are orientable in finding out a non-vanishing multi-vector of maximal degree $X_G \in C^\infty(\wedge^{\dim \mathcal{F}_G}\mathcal{F}_G)$

for each case of G:

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{1,1} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2,\\
X_{1,2} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2,\\
X_{2,1(\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^*)} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2 + X_1 \wedge X_3,\\
X_{2,2} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2 + X_1 \wedge X_3,\\
X_{2,3(\varphi\in(0,\pi))} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2 + X_1 \wedge X_3,\\
X_{2,4} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4,\\
X_{3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\mathbb{R}^*)} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2 + X_1 \wedge X_3 + X_1 \wedge X_4,\\
X_{3,2(\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^*)} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2 + X_1 \wedge X_3 + X_1 \wedge X_4,\\
X_{3,3} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2 + X_1 \wedge X_3 + X_1 \wedge X_4,\\
X_{3,4(\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^*,\varphi\in(0,\pi))} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2 + X_1 \wedge X_3 + X_1 \wedge X_4,\\
X_{4,1} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2 + X_1 \wedge X_3 + X_2 \wedge X_3,\\
X_{4,2} &:= X_1 \wedge X_2 + X_1 \wedge X_3 + X_2 \wedge X_3
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy also to verify that these multi-vectors are invariant with respect to the Lebesgue measure μ. It is just equivalent to its invariance w. r. t. the co-adjoint representation of G in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. This means that (X_G, μ) is an invariant pair.

□

4.3. Topological classification of MD$_4$**-foliations.** Let us recall that two foliations have the same topological type iff there exists a leaf-wise homeomorphism between them.

THEOREM 4.2 (**Topological Classification**). *1.There are exactly 9 topological type of foliations: $\mathcal{F}_1 - \mathcal{F}_9$*

$(\mathcal{F}_1)$ $$(V_{G_{4,1,1}}, \mathcal{F}_{1,1})$$

$(\mathcal{F}_2)$ $$(V_{G_{4,1,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{1,2})$$

$(\mathcal{F}_3)$ $$\begin{aligned}(V_{G_{4,2,1(\lambda)}}, \mathcal{F}_{2,1(\lambda)})(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*) &\cong (V_{G_{4,2,1(\lambda=1)}}, \mathcal{F}_{2,1(\lambda=1)}\\ &\cong (V_{G_{4,2,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{2,2})\end{aligned}$$

$(\mathcal{F}_4)$ $$(V_{G_{4,2,3(\varphi)}}, \mathcal{F}_{2,3(\varphi)})(\varphi \in (0,\pi)) \cong (V_{G_{4,2,3(\pi/2)}}, \mathcal{F}_{2,3(\pi/2)}$$

$(\mathcal{F}_5)$ $$(V_{G_{4,2,4}}, \mathcal{F}_{2,4})$$

$(\mathcal{F}_6)$ $$\left\{\begin{aligned}(V_{G_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}) &\cong (V_{G_{4,3,1(1,1)}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,3,1(1,1)})\\ \cong (V_{G_{4,3,2(\lambda)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,2(\lambda)}) &\cong (V_{G_{4,3,2(1)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,2(1)})\\ &\cong (V_{G_{4,3,3}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,3})\end{aligned}\right.$$

$(\mathcal{F}_7)$ $$(V_{G_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}) \cong (V_{G_{4,3,4(1,\pi/2)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(1,\pi/2)})$$

$(\mathcal{F}_8)$ $$(V_{G_{4,4,1}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,1})$$

$(\mathcal{F}_9)$ $\qquad$ $(V_{G_{4,4,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,2})$

2. The MD_4*-foliations of type* $\mathcal{F}_1 - \mathcal{F}_6$ *are given by fibration (trivial with connected fibers), over the bases* $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*, \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \{pt\}, \mathbb{S}^2$*, resp. , where* $\{pt\}$ *is a one-point set.*

3. The MD*-foliation of type* $\mathcal{F}_7, \mathcal{F}_8, \mathcal{F}_9$ *are given by continuous actions of commutative Lie group* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *on foliated manifolds* $(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R})^*, (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}, (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}$*, respectively .*

PROOF. 1.

Consider the maps

$$h_{2,1(\lambda)} : V_{G_{4,2,1(\lambda)}} \approx \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R} \to V_{G_{4,2,1(1)}} = \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R},$$

defined by

$$h_{2,1(\lambda)}(x, y, z, t) := (x, \operatorname{sgn}(y)|y|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, z, t),$$

and

$$h_{2,2} : V_{G_{4,2,2}} \approx \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R} \to V_{G_{4,2,1(1)}} \approx \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R},$$

defined by

$$h_{2,2}(x, y, z, t) = \begin{cases} (x, y, z - y \ln|y|, t) & \text{if } y \neq 0 \\ (x, 0, z, t) & \text{otherwise } , y = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that these maps are just the leaf-wise homeomorphisms. By the same way we construct the maps which realize the leaf-wise homeomorphisms:

$$h_{2,3(\varphi)} : V_{G_{4,2,3(\varphi)}} \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{R} \to V_{G_{4,2,3(\pi/2)}} \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R},$$

defined by the formula

$$h_{2,3(\varphi)}(x, re^{i\theta}, t) = (x, e^{(\ln r + i\theta)ie^{-i\varphi}}, t),$$

$$h_{3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} : V_{G_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}} \approx (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R} \to V_{G_{3,1(1,1)}} \approx (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R},$$

defined by the formula

$$h_{3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}(x, y, z, t) = (\operatorname{sgn}(x)|x|^{\lambda_1}, \operatorname{sgn}(y)|y|^{\lambda_2}, z, t),$$

$$h_{3,2(\lambda)} : V_{G_{4,3,2(\lambda)}} \approx (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R} \to V_{G_{4,3,2(1)}} = (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R},$$

defined by the formula

$$h_{3,2)(\lambda)}(x, y, z, t) = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{t}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x} &= \operatorname{sgn}(x)|x|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, \\ \tilde{y} &= \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(y - \frac{1}{\lambda} x \ln|x|).|y - x \ln|x||^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ \operatorname{sgn}(y)|y|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases} \\ \tilde{z} &= z, \\ \tilde{t} &= t, \end{aligned}$$

$$h_{3,3}(x,y,z,t) = (\tilde{x},\tilde{y},\tilde{z},\tilde{t}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x} &= x,\\
\tilde{y} &= \begin{cases} y - x\ln|x|, & \text{if } x \neq 0,\\ y & \text{if } x = 0,\end{cases}\\
\tilde{z} &= \begin{cases} z - \frac{1}{2}y\ln|x| - \frac{1}{2}(y - x\ln|x|)\ln|y - x\ln|x||, & \text{if } x \neq 0, y \neq x\ln|x|,\\ z - \frac{1}{2}y\ln|x|, & \text{if } x \neq 0, y = x\ln|x|,\\ z & \text{if } x = 0,\end{cases}\\
\tilde{t} &= t
\end{aligned}$$

$$h_{3,4(\lambda,\varphi)} : V_{G_{4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}} \approx (\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R})^* \times \mathbb{R} \to V_{G_{4,3,4(1,\pi/2)}} \approx (\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R})^*\times\mathbb{R},$$

defined by the formula

$$h_{3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}(re^{i\theta}, z, t) = (e^{\ln r + i\theta)ie^{-i\theta}}, \operatorname{sgn}(z)|z|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, t).$$

2.

From Theorem 3.1 on the structure of co-adjoint orbits, it is easy to see that the type $\mathcal{F}_1 - \mathcal{F}_9$ are non topologically equivalent and that the foliations of type $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_5$ are trivial fibration over the bases $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^*, \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\{pt\}$, respectively. It is easy to see that the foliations of type $\mathcal{F}_3, \mathcal{F}_4, \mathcal{F}_6$ are fibrations defined by the submersions

$$p_{2,1(1)} : V_{G_{4,2,1(1)}} \approx \mathbb{R}\times(\mathbb{R}^2)^*\times\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1,$$

the projection on the first two components,

$$p_{2,3(\pi/2)} : V_{G_{4,2,3(\pi/2)}} \approx \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}^*\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R},$$

the projection on the first and radian part of the second component,

$$p_{3,1(1,1)} : V_{G_{4,3,1(1,1)}} \approx (\mathbb{R}^3)^*\times\mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{S}^2,$$

the projection on the first component.

3.

Let us defines the actions of $\mathbb{R}^2$ on foliated manifolds $V_{G_{4,3,4}} \approx V_{G_{4,4,1}} \approx V_{G_{4,4,2}}$ as following

$$\rho_{3,4} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times V_{G_{4,3,4}} \approx \mathbb{R}^2\times(\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R})^*\times\mathbb{R} \to V_{G_{4,3,4}},$$

$$\rho_{3,4}((r,s),(x+iy,z,t) = (x+iy)e^{is}, ze^s, t+r);$$

$$\rho_{4,1} : V_{G_{4,4,1}} \approx (\mathbb{R}^3)^*\times\mathbb{R} \to V_{G_{4,4,1}},$$

$$\rho_{4,1}((r,s),(x,y,z,t)) = (\tilde{x},\tilde{y},\tilde{z},\tilde{t}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x} &= x\cos r - y\sin r - sz,\\
\tilde{y} &= x\sin r + y\cos r - sz,\\
\tilde{z} &= z,\\
\tilde{t} &= t - s(x+y)\cos r + s(y-x)\sin r + s^2z;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\rho_{4,2} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times V_{G_{4,4,2}} \approx \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R} \to V_{G_{4,4,2}},$$
$$\rho_{4,2}((r,s),(x,y,z,t)) = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{t}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x} &= e^{-s}(x+r)\tfrac{yz}{x^2+y^2+z^2}, \\ \tilde{y} &= e^{-s}(y+r)\tfrac{xz}{x^2+y^2+z^2}, \\ \tilde{z} &= z, \\ \tilde{t} &= t + r\tfrac{x^2+y^2}{x^2+y^2+z^2} + r^2\tfrac{xyz}{x^2+y^2+z^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that these actions give us just the foliations of type $\mathcal{F}_7, \mathcal{F}_8, \mathcal{F}_9$.

$\square$

5. Bibliographical Remarks

Dao van Tra had first proved the proposition 2.1 by using the old method of classification of low dimension Lie algebras. The classification Theorem 2.1, Theorem 3.1 about the picture of K-orbits and the Theorem about the measurability of foliations of generic K-orbits belong to Le Anh Vu, who was a Ph.D. student under author's supervision. The problem of classification of Lie MD$_n$-algebras, for $n \geq 5$ rests open up-to-date.

CHAPTER 5

The Structure of C*-Algebras of MD_4-Foliations

1. C*-Algebras of Measurable Foliations

We recall in this section the well-known A. Connes' theory of C*-algebras of measurable foliations.

1.1. Holonomy group of foliation. Let us consider a foliated manifold $(V, \mathcal{F})$, $\dim V = n, \dim \mathcal{F} = q$. Holonomy groupoid H is a manifold (not necessarily Hausdorff) of dimension $\dim H = \dim V + \dim \mathcal{F}$ (see e.g. [**Win**], [**C1**], [**Tor**]): An element γ of H is just done by a pair of source $s(\gamma) \in V$ and rival $r(\gamma)$ in the same leaf of $(V, \mathcal{F})$ as $s(\gamma)$, together with an homotopy equivalence class $[\gamma]$ of path connecting the same source and rival, lying in the same leaf. The product of two elements $(s(\gamma), r(\gamma), [\gamma])$ and $(s(\delta), r(\delta), [\delta])$ can be defined iff $r(\gamma) = s(\delta)$ and in this case $\delta \circ \gamma$ is defined as usually,

$$(s(\gamma), r(\gamma), [\gamma]) \circ (s(\delta) = r(\gamma), r(\delta), [\delta]) := (s(\gamma), r(\delta), [\delta \circ \gamma]).$$

The topology of H is defined by the system of local chart (atlas)

$$\Gamma := \{([\gamma], s(\gamma) = x, r(\gamma) = y); x \in U, y \in L_x\}.$$

The first component should be discrete, for fixed x in a neighborhood U and y in the same leaf as x. Thus $\dim H = \dim V + \dim \mathcal{F}$. It is known:

Proposition 1.1 ([**Tor**],Prop.2.1). *The groupoid H of the foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$ iff for each pair of point x, y in a leaf L, such that for each pair of smooth paths γ_1, γ_2 in L, connecting x and y the holonomy maps $h(\gamma_1) = h(\gamma)_2)$ if they are coincided in a small enough open neighborhood, the closure of which contains x.*

1.2. Half-density bundle. Let us consider an oriented k-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}$ in a n-dimensional smooth manifold V. For each point $x \in V$, consider the set of so called half-densities

$$\Omega_x^{1/2} := \{\rho : \wedge^k \mathcal{F}_x \to \mathbb{C}; \rho(\lambda v) = |\lambda|^{1/2} \rho(v), \forall v \in \wedge^k \mathcal{F}_x, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\Omega_x^{1/2}$ is a complex 1-dimensional space and $\bigcup_{x\in V}\Omega_x^{1/2}$ is a complex 1-dimensional fiber bundle over V, called the *bundle of half-densities*. Because we assumed that $\mathcal{F}$ is oriented, the bundle of half-densities is trivial. Fix a trivialization, we have $\bigcup_{x\in V}\Omega_x^{1/2}\cong V\times\mathbb{C}$. For each element $\gamma\in H$, pose $\Omega_\gamma := \Omega_x^{1/2}\otimes\Omega_y^{1/2}$, where $x=s(\gamma)$ and $y=r(\gamma)$. Thus $\Omega_\gamma^{1/2}$ is also a complex 1-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}$.

If H is Hausdorff, we define

$$C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2}) = \{f:\gamma\in H\to\Omega_\gamma^{1/2}; f \text{ is smooth and with compact support }\},$$

each element of $C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2})$ is called a *smooth half-density with compact support*. If H is non Hausdorff, we define $C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2})$ as the set of finite combinations of smooth functions of form $\varphi\circ\chi$, where $\chi:\Gamma\to\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ is a local coordinate chart of H, and $\varphi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+k},\Omega^{1/2})$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}\varphi\in\chi(\Gamma)$.

In virtue of trivialization

$$\nu:\bigcup_{x\in V}\Omega_x^{1/2}\ \xrightarrow{\cong}\ V\times\mathbb{C},$$

we can identify smooth half-density with compact support $f\in C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2})$ with $\mathbb{C}$-valued smooth function with compact support $f(\nu.s\otimes r.s)$ on H.

1.3. C*-algebras of measurable foliations. Let us now define [**C1**] the C*-algebras of measurable foliations. Define a convolution product on $C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2})$ as

$$(f*g)(\gamma)=\int_{\gamma_1\circ\gamma_2=\gamma} f(\gamma_1)g(\gamma_2), \forall f,g\in C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2}), \forall\gamma\in H.$$

Define involution $f\mapsto f^*$, $f\in C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2})$ by the formula

$$f^*(\gamma):=\overline{f(\gamma^{-1})}.$$

It is easy to see that with this convolution product and involution $C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2})$ is an involutive algebra.

For each $x\in V$, define $H_x:=\{\gamma\in H; s(\gamma)=x\}$. There is a natural representation π_x of $C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2})$ in $L^2(H_x,\Omega^{1/2})$, given by the following formula

$$(\pi_x(f)\eta)(\gamma)=\int_{\gamma_1\circ\gamma_2=\gamma} f(\gamma_1)\eta(\gamma_2), \forall f\in C_c^\infty(H.\Omega^{1/2}), \forall\eta\in L^2(H_x,\Omega^{1/2}), \forall\gamma\in H.$$

Definition 1.1. The C*-algebra of foliation $C^*(V,\mathcal{F})$ is defined as C*-hull of *-algebra $C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2})$, with respect to the norm of operators

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x\in V}\|\pi_x(f)\|, \forall f\in C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2}).$$

Recall that a C*-algebra A is called *stable* iff $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)$, where $\mathcal{K}(H)$ denotes the ideal of compact operators in a separable Hilbert space H.

Proposition 1.2 ([**Tor**],Prop. 2.1.4). *If the foliations $(V, \mathcal{F})$ and $(V', \mathcal{F}')$ are of one topological type, then the corresponding C*-algebras are isomorphic*

$$C^*(V, \mathcal{F}) \cong C^*(V', \mathcal{F}').$$

Let us recall that cross-product $A \rtimes_\rho G$ of a group G with a C*-algebra A is just the C*-hull of the involutive algebra of functions with compact support on G with values in A, endowed with the convolution product

$$(a * b)(g) := \int_G a(g_1)\rho_{g_1} b(gg_1^{-1}) dg_1, \forall a, b \in L^1(G, A), \forall g \in G$$

and the involution

$$a^*(g) := \rho_g(a(g^{-1})^*), \forall a \in L^1(G, A).$$

where dg is the right-invariant Haar measure on G and $\rho : G \to \operatorname{Aut} A$ is a representation of G, i.e. the map $G \times A \to A$, $(g, x) \mapsto \rho(g)x$ is continuous in norm.

It is easy to see that if $f : A \to A'$ is a G-equivariant C*-homomorphism, then f induces also a C*-morphism of crossed products

$$f_\# : A \rtimes_\rho G \to A' \rtimes_{\rho'} G',$$

$$f_\#(a)(g) := f(a(g)), \forall a \in L^1(G, A), \forall g \in G.$$

Let us list now some basic properties of C*-algebras of foliations.

Proposition 1.3 ([**C3**],Lem I.1). *If the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$$

is an G-equivariant short exact sequence, then the corresponding sequence of crossed products

$$0 \longrightarrow J \rtimes G \longrightarrow A \rtimes G \longrightarrow B \rtimes G \longrightarrow 0$$

is also exact. If the first sequence is split then the same is the second.

Proposition 1.4 ([**C1**],§5). *Assume that the foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$ is given by an action of Lie group G on the manifold V, such that the holonomy group H of $(V, \mathcal{F})$ is exactly of form $H = V \times G$. Then $C^*(V, \mathcal{F}) = C_0(V) \rtimes G$, where $C_0(V)$ is the algebra of continuous functions vanishing at infinity*

Proposition 1.5 ([**C1**],§5). *If V' is some open set of a foliated manifold $(V,\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{F}' := \mathcal{F}|_{V'}$. Then the holonomy groupoid H' of foliation $(V',\mathcal{F}')$ is an open subset of the holonomy groupoid H of $(V,\mathcal{F})$. Moreover, the inclusion $C_c^\infty(H',\Omega^{1/2}) \hookrightarrow C_c^\infty(H,\Omega^{1/2})$ can be extended to an *-homomorphism $\imath : C^*(V',\mathcal{F}') \to C^*(V,\mathcal{F})$.*

Proposition 1.6 ([**C2**],§5). *Assume that foliation $(V,\mathcal{F})$ is given by a fiber bundle $p : V \to M$ with connected fibers. Then the holonomy groupoid H is just the sub-manifold $\{(x,y) \in V \times V; p(x) = p(y)\}$ of $V \times V$ and $C^*(V,\mathcal{F}) \cong C_0(M) \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(\text{typical fiber}))$.*

The open sub-manifold V' of foliated manifold $(V,\mathcal{F})$ is said to be *satured*, iff it contains the whole leaves, which intersect (i.e. have a non empty intersection) with V'.

Proposition 1.7 ([**Tor**],§2.2). *If V' is a satured open sub-manifold of the foliated manifold $(V,\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{F}' := \mathcal{F}|_{V'}$ then $C^*(V',\mathcal{F}')$ is an ideal in $C^*(V,\mathcal{F})$.*

Remark that in this case, the groupoid $H \setminus H'$ is closed in H but in general, it is different from the groupoid of the foliation $V \setminus V', \mathcal{F}|_{V\setminus V'})$. Nevertheless, we can also define the representation $\pi_x(x \in V \setminus V')$ of the *-algebra $C_c^\infty(H \setminus H',\Omega^{1/2})$ in $L^2(H_x \setminus H'_x,\Omega^{1/2})$. The C*-hull of it is a C*-algebra, denoted by $C^*(V \setminus V', \mathcal{F}|_{V\setminus V'})$.

The inclusion $H \setminus H' \hookrightarrow H$ gives us a *-homomorphism

$$\mu' : C^\infty)_c(H,\Omega^{1/2}) \to C_c^\infty(H \setminus H',\Omega^{1/2}),$$

which can be extended to a *-epimorphism

$$C^*(V,\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\mu} C^*(V \setminus V', \mathcal{F}|_{V\setminus V'}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have thus a short sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C^*(V',\mathcal{F}') \xrightarrow{\imath} C^(V,\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\mu} C^(V \setminus V', \mathcal{F}|_{V\setminus V'}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is exact at all terms, but perhaps,is not in the middle term $C^*(V,\mathcal{F})$.

Proposition 1.8 ([**Tor**],Lemma 2.2.1). *If the foliation $(V,\mathcal{F})$ is given by an action of an amenable Lie group G, such that $H \setminus H' = (V \setminus V') \times G$ then the previous sequence is exact.*

1.4. Connes-Thom isomorphism.

Proposition 1.9 (**Connes-Thom Isomorphism, [C3], Thm, IV.2**). *Assume that the commutative group $\mathbb{R}^n$ acts continuously on a C*-algebra A by an action by a continuous representation ρ. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\varphi_\rho^j : K_j(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{j+n(\mod 2)}(A \rtimes_\rho \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

We return to the case of extensions of type

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0.$$

Assume that the commutative group $\mathbb{R}^n$ acts continuously on each C*-algebras of the sequence and the *-homomorphisms are equivariant. We have then also an exact sequence of the corresponding cross-product

$$0 \longrightarrow J \rtimes G \longrightarrow E \rtimes G \longrightarrow A \rtimes G \longrightarrow 0.$$

For each of these two exact sequence, we have 6-term exact sequences of K-groups, in one hand and in other hand we have Connes-Thom isomorphisms between the corresponding terms. This should be very useful in computing connecting homomorphisms in many cases.

It is easy to see that if the foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$ is given by an action ρ of some commutative Lie group $\mathbb{R}^n$ in such a way that its holonomy groupoid is $H = V \times \mathbb{R}^n$, then $C^*(V, \mathcal{F}) \cong C_0(V) \rtimes_\rho \mathbb{R}^n$ and hence

$$K_j(C_(V)) \cong K_{j+n \mod 2}(C^*(V, \mathcal{F}) = K^{j+n \mod 2}(V/F).$$

2. The C*-Algebras of Measurable MD$_4$-Foliations

We complete studying the structure of C*-algebras of MD$_4$-groups in this section in the same way as in the previous sections.

2.1. C*-algebras of MD$_4$-foliations of bundle type. Following the theorem on topological type of MD$_4$-foliations, the foliations of type $\mathcal{F}_1 - \mathcal{F}_6$ are of bundle type with connected fibers. Then as an easy corollary of the above result, we have

Proposition 2.1. 1.

$$C^*(V_{G_{4,1,1}}, \mathcal{F}_{1,1}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*) \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

$$C^*(V_{G_{4,1,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{1,2}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \cup \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong (C_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)) \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

2.

$$C^*(V_{G_{4,2,1(\lambda)}}, \mathcal{F}_{2,1(\lambda)}) \cong C^*(V_{G_{4,2,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{2,2}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$C^*(V_{G_{,2,3(\varphi)}}, \mathcal{F}_{2,3(\varphi)}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \forall \varphi \in (0, \pi),$$

$$C^*(V_{G_{4,2,4}}, \mathcal{F}2, 4) \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{K}.$$

3.

$$C^*(V_{G_{4,3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}) \cong C^*(V_{G_{4,3,2(\lambda)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,2(\lambda)})$$

$$\cong C^*(V_{G_{4,3,3}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,3}) \cong C(\mathbb{S}^2) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \forall \lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*.$$

2.2. C*-algebras of MD$_4$**-foliations of crossed product type.** Let us consider the C*-algebras $C^*(V_{G4,3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(\lambda,\varphi)}) \cong C^*(V_{G_{4,3,4(1,\pi/2)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(1,\pi/2)})$.

Theorem 2.1. 1. *The C*-algebra* $C^*(V_{G_{4,3,4(1,\pi/2)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(1,\pi/2)})$ *can be included in the extension*

$$0 \longrightarrow J_3 \longrightarrow C^*(V_{G_{4,3,4(1,\pi/2)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(1,\pi/2)}) \longrightarrow B_3 \longrightarrow 0, \tag{γ_1}$$

where $J_3 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{3,4}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \cup \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, $B_3 \cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{3,4}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

2. *The C*-algebra* $C^*(V_{G_{4,4,1}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,1})$ *can be included in the extension*

$$0 \longrightarrow J_{4,1} \longrightarrow C^*(V_{G_{4,4,1}}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow B_{4,1} \longrightarrow 0,, \tag{γ_2}$$

where $J_{4,1} \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,1}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, $B_{4,1} \cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho 4,1} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(RR_+) \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

3. *The C*-algebra* $C^*(V_{G_{4,4,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,2})$ *of the diamond* MD$_4$*-foliation can be included in the following two repeated exact sequences*

$$0 \longrightarrow J_{4,2,1} \longrightarrow C^*(V_{G_{4,4,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) \longrightarrow B_{4,2,1} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{γ_3}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow J_{4,2,2} \longrightarrow B_{4,2,1} \longrightarrow B_{4,2,2} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{γ_4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C^*(V_{G_{4,4,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) &\cong C_0(V_{G_{4,4,2}}) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2, \\
J_{4,2,1} &\cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \\
B_{4,2,1} &\cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2, \\
J_{4,2,2} &\cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_4,2} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^* \cup \mathbb{R}^*) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \\
B_{4,2,2} &\cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^* \cup \mathbb{R}^*) \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{K}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us recall that for all the MD$_4$-foliations of type $\mathcal{F}_7, \mathcal{F}_8, \mathcal{F}_9$ we have

$$V_{G_{4,3,4(1,\pi/2)}} \cong V_{G_{4,4,1}} \cong V_{G_{4,2,2}} \cong (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Let us consider therefore the following two subsets:

$$V = \{(x, y, z, t) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}; z \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$W = \{(\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R} \backslash V = (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R} \cong (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R}.$$

From the theorem on the geometric picture of co-adjoint orbits it is easy to see that V is an satured open set and w. r. t. the foliations $\mathcal{F}_7, \mathcal{F}_8, \mathcal{F}_9$. Denote the restrictions of theses 3 foliation on V by $(V, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(1,\pi/2)})$, $(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,1})$, $(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}$. By the same way, it is easy to see that W is a satured close set (the complement of V in the foliations $(V_{G_{4,3,4(1,\pi/2)}}, \mathcal{F}_7)$, $(V_{G_{4,4,1}}, \mathcal{F}_8)$, $(V_{G_{4,4,2}}, \mathcal{F}_9)$. We denote the restrictions of these foliations to W also by $(W, \mathcal{F}_7)$, $(W, \mathcal{F}_8)$

and $(W, \mathcal{F}_9)$, respectively. It is easy to see that these foliations $(V, \mathcal{F}_7)$, ... , $(W, \mathcal{F}_9)$ are fiber bundles:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{3,4} &: V \approx \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{1, -1\} \approx \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \mathbb{R}^2, \\
p_{4,1} &: V \approx \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}, \\
p_{4,2} &: V \approx \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}, \\
q_{3,4} &: W \approx (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}_+, \\
q_{4,1} &: W \approx (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+,
\end{aligned}$$

where by definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{3,4}(x, y, z, t) &:= (x, y, \operatorname{sgn} z), \\
p_{4,1}(x, y, z, t) &:= (z, t - \tfrac{x^2+y^2}{z}), \\
p_{4,2}(x, y, z, t) &:= (z, \tfrac{t-xy}{z}), \\
q_{3,4}(r, \theta, t) &:= q_{4,1}(r, \theta, t) = \\
&:= r, \forall (r, \theta, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

. Following Proposition 1.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= C^*(V, \mathcal{F}_{3,4}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R} \times \{\pm\} \otimes \mathcal{K}, \\
B_3 &= C^*(W, \mathcal{F}_{3,4}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \\
J_{4,1} &= C^*(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,1}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \\
B_{4,1} &= C^*(W, \mathcal{F}_{4,1}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \\
J_{4,2,1} &= C^*(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{K}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us recall that the foliations $(V_{G_{3,4(1,\pi/2)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(1,\pi/2)})$, $(V_{G_{4,1}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,1})$ and $(V_{G_{4,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,2})$ are given by continuous actions $\rho_{3,4}, \rho_{4,1}, \rho_{4,2}$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$. These actions conserve V and W in any cases, them we can think about V and W in each case as some foliation raised from the continuous actions $\rho_{3,4}, \rho_{4,1}, \rho_{4,2}$. They have trivial holonomy of fibers. From Prop. 1.4, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= C^*(V, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(1,\pi/2)}) \cong C^*(V) \rtimes_{\rho 3,4} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{3,4}} \mathbb{R}^2, \\
B_3 &= C^*(W, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(1,\pi/2)}) \cong C_0(W) \rtimes_{\rho_{3,4}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{3,4}} \mathbb{R}^2, \\
J_{4,1} &= C^*(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,1}) \cong C_0(V) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,1}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,1}} \mathbb{R}^2, \\
B_{4,1} &= C^*(W, \mathcal{F}_{4,1}) \cong C_0(W) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,1}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,1}} \mathbb{R}^2, \\
J_{4,2,1} &= C^*(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) \cong C_0(V) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2, \\
B_{4,2,1} &= C^*(W, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) \cong C_0(W) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same way, we see that the foliation $(V_{G_{3,4(1,\pi/2)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(1,\pi/2)})$, $(V_{G_{4,4,1}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,1})$ and $(V_{G_{4,4,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,2})$ satisfy the conditions of Props. 1.4 - 1.6., and we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
C^*(V_{G_{4,3,4(1,\pi/2)}} \mathcal{F}_{3,4(1,\pi/2)}) &\cong C_0(V_{G_{4,3,4(1,\pi/2)}}) \rtimes_{\rho_{3,4}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong V C_0((\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{3,4}} \mathbb{R}^2, \\
C^*(V_{G_{4,4,1}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,1}) &\cong C_0(V_{G_{4,4,1}}) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho 4,1} \mathbb{R}^2, \\
C^*(V_{G_{4,4,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) &\cong C_0(V_{G_{4,4,2}}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^3)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We have therefore the extensions γ_1, γ_2 and γ_3. It rests to show existence of the extension γ_4. We consider the following sub-manifolds:

$$W_1 := \{(x, y, 0, t) \in W; xy \neq 0\} \cong (\mathbb{R}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$W_2 = W \backslash W_1 = (\mathbb{R}^* \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) \cup (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R} \cong (\mathbb{R}^* \cup \mathbb{R}^*) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

It is easy to check that W_1, W_2 is a satured open, resp. closed in the foliated manifold $(W, \mathcal{F}_{4,2})$ and its restrictions to W_1 and W_2 are the foliations $(W_1, \mathcal{F}_{4,2})$ and $(W_2, \mathcal{F}_{34,2})$ formed under the action $\rho_{4,2}$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$ on W_1, W_2, resp. These foliations are indeed fiber bundles

$$(W_1, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) : \qquad p_{4,2,2} : W_1 \approx (\mathbb{R}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^* \cup \mathbb{R}^*$$

$$(W_2, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) : \qquad \begin{cases} q_{4,2,2} : W_2 \approx (\mathbb{R}^* \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) \cup (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \approx \\ \approx (\mathbb{R}^* \cup \mathbb{R}^*) \times \mathbb{R} \to \{(1,0), (0,1), (-1,0), (0,-1)\}, \end{cases}$$

defined by the formulae

$$p_{4,2,2}(x, y, t) := (\operatorname{sgn}(x), \operatorname{sgn}(y), \sqrt{|xy|}), \forall (x, y, t) \in W_1,$$
$$q_{4,2,2}(x, y, t) := (\operatorname{sgn}(x), \operatorname{sgn}(y)), \forall (x, y, t) \in W_2.$$

It is easy to check the conditions of propositions 1.4 -1.5. Thus, we have the extension

$$(\gamma_4) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow J_{4,2,2} \longrightarrow B_{4,2,1} \longrightarrow B_{4,2,2} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{4,2,2} &= C^*(W_1, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) \cong C_0(W_1) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho 4,2} \mathbb{R}^2 \\ &\cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^* \cup \mathbb{R}^*) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \\ B_{4,2,1} &= C^*(W, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) \cong C_0(W) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2, \\ B_{4,2,2} &= C^*(W_2, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) \cong C_0(W_2) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong (\mathbb{R}^* \cup \mathbb{R}^*) \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2 \\ &\cong C_0((-1,0), (1,0), (0,-1), (0,1)\} \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{K}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Use the Connes-Thom isomorphisms and Bott periodic property of K-groups and the fact that our extensions are all appeared from some action of $\mathbb{R}^2$, it is easy to see that:

Remark 2.1. 1.

The six-term exact sequences, associated with the extensions $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ are term-wise equivalent to the following six-term exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_1(I) \arrow[r] & K_1(C_0((\mathbb{R}^3)^*)) \arrow[r] & K_1(A) \arrow[d, "\delta_1"] \\
K_0(A) \arrow[u, "\delta_0"] & K_0(C_0((\mathbb{R}^3)^*)) \arrow[l] & K_0(I) \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $I := C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^*), A := C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^*)$.

2. The six-term exact sequence, associated with the extension γ_4 is term-wise equivalent to the following six-term exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(I_1) \arrow[r] & K_0(C(\mathbb{S}^1)) \arrow[r] & K_0(\mathbb{C}^4) \arrow[d, "\delta_0"'] \\
K_1(\mathbb{C}^4) \arrow[u, "\delta_1"] & K_1(C(\mathbb{S}^1) \arrow[l] & K_1(I_1) \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $I_1 := C_0((0, \pi/2) \cup (\pi/2, \pi) \cup (\pi, 3\pi/2) \cup (3\pi/2, 2\pi))$, $\mathbb{S}^1 \approx [0, 2\pi)$ and $\mathbb{C}^4 \cong C_0(\{0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2, 2\pi\})$.

REMARK 2.2.

$$\begin{array}{rlrl}
K_0(\mathbb{C}) & \cong \mathbb{Z}, & K_1(\mathbb{C}) & = 0, \\
K_0(C_0(\mathbb{R})) & = 0, & K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R})) & \cong \mathbb{Z}, \\
K_0(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)) & \cong \mathbb{Z}, & K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)) & = 0, \\
K_0(C_0(\mathbb{R}^3)) & = 0, & K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^3)) & \cong \mathbb{Z}, \\
K_0(C(\mathbb{S}^1)) & \cong \mathbb{Z}, & K_1(C(\mathbb{S}^1)) & \cong \mathbb{Z}.
\end{array}$$

REMARK 2.3. 1. Consider the function $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{S}^1$, defined by the formula

$$u(t) = \exp(2\pi i \frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and $u_\pm := u|_{\mathbb{R}_\pm}$. Then the homotopy class $[u_\pm]$ are just the generators of the K-groups

$$\begin{array}{rl}
K^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_+) & \cong K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \\
K^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_-) & \cong K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}_-)) \cong \mathbb{Z}.
\end{array}$$

2. The homotopy class of the constant function $1 : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ having only the value 1 at every point, is the generator of $K^0(\mathbb{S}^1) \cong K_0(C(\mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and the homotopy class of the identity function $\mathrm{Id} : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is the canonical generator of the group $K^{-1}(\mathbb{S}^1) \cong K_1(C(\mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

LEMMA 2.1.
1. *$K_0(I) = 0$, $K_1(I) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and is generate by two elements $[b] \otimes [u_+]$ and $[b] \otimes [u_-]$.*
2. *$K_0(C_0((\mathbb{R}^3)^*)) = 0$, $K_1(C_0((\mathbb{R}^3)^*)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$.*
3. *$K_0(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and is generated by the element $[\mathrm{Id}] \otimes [u_+]$. $K_1(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and is generated by the element $[1] \otimes [u_+]$.*

PROOF. 1.

Because $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^* = (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+) \cup (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_-)$, we have

$$I = C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^*) \cong C_0((\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}_-).$$

Thus, we have

$$K_j(I) \cong K_j(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)) \oplus K_j(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{R}_-); j = 0, 1$$

and hence, $K_0(I) = 0$. From the Bott periodicity and [[**C2**], Corollary VI.3], it is easy to see that $[b] \otimes [u_+]$ (resp., $[b] \otimes [u_-]$) is just the generator of $K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}_+))$ (resp. $K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}_-))$). Hence, $K_1(I) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ is generated by two elements $[b] \otimes [u_+]$ and $[b] \otimes [u_-]$.

2.

Consider the natural exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0((\mathbb{R}^3)^*) \xrightarrow{\sigma} C_0(\mathbb{R}^3) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where κ is the restriction of function to the point $\{0\}$. From the associate six-term exact sequence and Remark 3.2, we have

$$K_0(C_0(\mathbb{R}^3)^*) = 0, K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^3)^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

3.

Recall that $A = C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^*)$, where $(\mathbb{R}^2)^* := \mathbb{R}^2\{0\} \approx \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Thus we have $A \cong C_0(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+) \cong C_0(\mathbb{S}^1) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and

$$K_0(A) \cong K_0(C(\mathbb{R}^1) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)) \cong K_1(C_0(\mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

Also in virtue of the Bott periodicity and [[**C3**], Cor. VI.3], this group $K_0(A)$ is generated by $[\mathrm{Id}] \otimes [u_+]$. By analogy,

$$K_1(A) \cong K_1(C_0(\mathbb{S}^1) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)) \cong K_0(C_{(}\mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

is generated by element $[1] \otimes [u_+]$. □

Corollary 2.1. *The six-term exact sequences, associated with the extensions $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ can be identified with the following exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}^2 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}^2 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[d, "\delta_1=0"] \\
\mathbb{Z} \arrow[u, "\delta_0"] & 0 \arrow[l] & 0 \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let us consider two matrix functions: The constant (2×2)-matrix-valued function

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : (\mathbb{R}^2)^* := \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{(0,0)\} \to \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

and the function

$$p : (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \approx \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

given by the formula

$$p(e^{i\varphi}, r) := \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \cos(r\pi) & e^{1\varphi}\sin(r\pi) \\ e^{-i\varphi}\sin(r\pi) & 1 + \cos(r\pi) \end{pmatrix}, \forall (e^{i\varphi}, r) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \approx (\mathbb{R}^2)^*.$$

Lemma 2.2. *1. For each* $(e^{i\varphi}, r) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \cong (\mathbb{R}^2)^*$, *the matrix* $p(e^{1\varphi}, r)$ *is an idempotent.*

2. $[p] - \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right]$ *is equal to the generator* $[\mathrm{Id}] \otimes [u_+]$ *of* $K_0(A) = K_0(C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^*)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The first assertion is proved by a direct computation. The second assertion is deduced also from a direct computation in using [[**C3**], Lemma .2]. ☐

Remark 2.4. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} K_j(I_1) &= K_j(C(0,\pi/2) \cup (\pi/2,\pi) \cup (\pi, 3\pi/2) \cup (3\pi/2, 2\pi)) \\ & K_j(C_0(0,\pi/2)) \oplus K_j(C_0(\pi/2,\pi)) \oplus K_j(C_0(\pi, 3\pi/2)) \oplus \\ & \oplus K_j(C_0(\pi/2, 2\pi)), \forall j = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$K_0(I_1) = 0 \text{ and } K_1(I_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4.$$

Certainly,

$$K_j(\{0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2, 2\pi\}) \cong K_1(\mathbb{C}^4) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^4 & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } j = 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.5. Consider the function $f : [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{S}^1$, given by

$$f(\varphi) := e^{4i\varphi}, \forall \varphi \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Denote by u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 the restrictions of f to $(0,\pi/2)$, $(\pi/2,\pi)$, $(\pi, 3\pi/2)$, $(3\pi/2,\pi)$, resp., we see that all of them have rotation number 1 and hence they are just the generators of $K_1(C_0(0,\pi))$, $K_1(C_0(\pi/2,\pi))$, $K_1(C_0(\pi, 3\pi/2))$, $K_1(C_0(3\pi/2, 2\pi))$, resp. .

Remark 2.6. Define

$$\left.\begin{aligned} v_1 &= (1,0,0,0), \\ v_2 &= (0,1,0,00), \\ v_3 &= (0,0,1,0), \\ v_4 &= (0,0,0,1) \end{aligned}\right\} \in C(\{0,\pi/2,\pi,3\pi/2,2\pi\}) \cong \mathbb{C}^4.$$

Then it is easy to see that their homotopy classes are just the generators of

$$K_0(C(\{0,\pi/2,\pi,3\pi/2,2\pi\})) \cong K_0(\mathbb{C}^4) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4.$$

COROLLARY 2.2. *The six-term exact sequence, associated with the extension* γ_4 *can be identified with the following six-term exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}^4 \arrow[d, "\delta_0"] \\
0 \arrow[u, "\delta_1=0"] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[l] & \mathbb{Z}^4 \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

REMARK 2.7 ([**Tay**],§5). Let us denote $\widetilde{C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)} = C(\mathbb{S}^2)$ the algebra of compact support continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the formal adjoint unity element 1,

$$Q(\widetilde{C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)}) := \{a \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^2); \exp(2\pi i a) = 1\},$$

and

$$Q_n(\widetilde{C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)}) := \{a \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)); \exp(2\pi i a) = 1_n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

. We have a trace map

$$\mathrm{Tr} : \bigcup_n Q_n(\widetilde{C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)}) \to Q(\widetilde{C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)}).$$

Each element $[f] \in K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_\pm))$ has a representative, as a function

$$f : \mathbb{R}_\pm \to \bigcup_n Q_n(\widetilde{C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)}),$$

such that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f(t) = \lim_{t \to \pm\infty} f(t)$$

and

$$[f] = w_f.([b] \otimes [u_\pm],$$

where w_f is the winding number of f, defined by the formula

$$w_f := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\pm} \mathrm{Tr}(f'(t).f(t)^{-1})dt.$$

THEOREM 2.2. *The isomorphic class of C*-algebras of* MD_4*-foliations of type* $\mathcal{F}_7$, $\mathcal{F}_8$, $calF_9$ *are defined exactly by the following KK-theory invariants*

1. *index*$C^*(V_{G_{4,3,4(1,\pi/)}}, \mathcal{F}_{3,4(1,\pi/2)}) = [\gamma_1] = (1,1)$ *in the KK-group*
$$\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{K}, C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \cup \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2.$$
2. *Index*$C^*(V_{G_{4,4,1}}, \mathcal{F})_{4,1}) = [\gamma_2] = (1,1)$ *in the KK-group*
$$\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{K}, C_0(\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

3. *$IndexC^*(V_{G_{4,4,2}}, \mathcal{F}_{4,2}) = ([\gamma_3], [\gamma_4])$, where $[\gamma_3] = (1,1)$ in the KK-group*

$$\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^* \times \mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\rho_{4,2}} \mathbb{R}^2, C_0(\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2,$$

$$[\gamma_4] = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the KK-group

$$\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{K}, C_0(\mathbb{R}^* \cup \mathbb{R}^*) \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^4, \mathbb{Z}^4) \cong \mathrm{Mat}_4(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. Following the general conception of index, it is easy to see that that isomorphic class of $C^*(G)$ is defined by the KK-invariant $IndexC^*(G) = [\gamma_1], [\gamma_2]$, or $([\gamma_3], [\gamma_4])$. The classes $[\gamma_1]$, $[\gamma_2]$, $[\gamma_3]$ in virtue of Remark 2.1 can be identified with the connecting homomorphisms

$$\delta_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_0(A), K_1(I)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_0(C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)*),$$

$$K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^*) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^2),$$

and

$$\delta_1 = 0 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_1(A), K_0(I)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, 0) = 0.$$

By the same reason, in virtue of Remark ....., the extension γ_4 is characterized by the connecting homomorphisms

$$\delta_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_0(\mathbb{C}^4), K_1(I_1)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(ZZ^4, \mathbb{Z}^4) \cong \mathrm{Mat}_4(\mathbb{Z}).$$

It rests therefore to prove the connecting homomorphisms for two cases

$$\delta_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_0(A), K_1(I))$$

and

$$\delta_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_0(\mathbb{C}^4), K_1(I_1)).$$

1. Computation of $\delta_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_0(A), K_1(I))$

As it was said, $K_0(A) = K_0(C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^*)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the class $[p] - \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right]$, we need only to compute

$$\delta_0([p] - \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right]) = \delta_0([p]) - \delta_0(\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right]).$$

Recall that following J. Taylor ([**Tay**], p. 170) for each idempotent $f \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(C_0(\widetilde{(\mathbb{R}^2)^*})) = \mathrm{Mat}_n(\widetilde{A})$, i.e. $[f] \in K_0(\widetilde{A})$, the value $\delta_0([f])$ is given by

$$\delta_0([f]) = [\exp(2\pi i \tilde{f})],$$

where $\tilde{f} \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\widetilde{C_0((\mathbb{R}^3)^*)})$ such that $\nu\tilde{f} = f$, i.e. the restriction of $\widetilde{f}$ on $\mathrm{Mat}_n(\widetilde{C_0((\mathbb{R}^2)^*)})$ is f. For $f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we can choose

$$\tilde{f}(x,y,z) = f.1_{\mathbb{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $1_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the function with a single value 1 on $\mathbb{R}$.

$\delta_0\left(\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right]\right) = [\exp(2\pi i\tilde{f}] \in K_1(I) = K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+)) \oplus K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_-)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2.$

It is easy to see that

$$[\exp(2\pi i\tilde{f})] = ([\exp(2\pi i\tilde{f}_+)], [\exp(2\pi i\tilde{f}_-)]),$$

where $\tilde{f}_\pm := f.1_{\mathbb{R}_\pm}$. It is easy to see, by using the winding number formula, that

$$\delta_0([\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}]) = (0,0).$$

To compute $\delta([p])$, recall that $p : (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \to \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Choose

$$\tilde{p} : (\mathbb{R}^3)^* \to \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

as follows

$$\tilde{p}(x,y,z) := \frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} p(x,y), \forall (x,y,z) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^*.$$

Denote the restrictions of $\tilde{p}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_\pm$ by $\tilde{p}_\pm$. We have

$$\delta_0([p]) = [\exp(2\pi i\tilde{p})] = ([\exp(2\pi i\tilde{p}_+)],$$
$$[\exp(2\pi i\tilde{p}_+)]) \in K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+)) \oplus K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_-)).$$

Following the winding formula, we have

$$[\exp(2\pi i\tilde{p}_\pm)] = w_\pm.([b] \otimes [u_\pm]),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_\pm \ &:= \tfrac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\pm} \mathrm{Tr}(\tfrac{d}{dz}(exp(2\pi i\tilde{p}_\pm) \exp(-2\pi i\tilde{p}_\pm) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_\pm} \tfrac{z}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} dz = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\delta_([p]) = ([b] \otimes [u_+], [b] \otimes [u_-]),$$

$$\delta_([p] - \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right]) = ([b] \otimes [u_+], [b] \otimes [u_-]),$$

This means that

$$\delta = (1,1) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

2. Computation of $\delta_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}(K_0(\mathbb{C}^4), K_1(I_1))$.

We constructed the generators $[v_1], [v_2], [v_3], [v_4]$ of the K-groups

$$K_0(\{0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2, 2\pi\}) \cong K_0(\mathbb{C}^4) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$$

and the generators $[u_1], [u_2], [u_3], [u_4]$ of the K-groups

$$K_1(\{0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2, 2\pi\}) \cong K_1(\mathbb{C}^4) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4.$$

Choose a continuous function $\ell_1 \in C([0, 2\pi])$, such that $\ell_1(0) = \ell_1(2\pi) = 1$,$\ell_1|_{[\pi/2,3\pi/2]} \equiv 0$ and linear outside this interval, and define $\tilde{v}_1(e^{i\varphi}) := \ell_1(\varphi)$, then the restriction of $\tilde{v}_1$ on $\{0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2, 2\pi\}$ is just v_1. We have, following the definition of δ_0, $\delta_0([v_1]) = [e^{2\pi i\tilde{v}_1}] \in K_1(I_1)$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(I_1) &= K_1(C_0((0, \pi/2) \cup (\pi/2, \pi) \cup (\pi, 3\pi/2) \cup (3\pi/2, 2\pi))) \\ &\cong K_1(C_0(0, \pi/2)) \oplus K_1(C_0(\pi/2, \pi)) \oplus K_1(C_0(\pi, 3\pi/2)) \oplus \\ &\oplus K_1(C_0(3\pi/2, 2\pi)) \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\tilde{v}_{11}, \tilde{v}_{12}, \tilde{v}_{13}, \tilde{v}_{14}$ the restrictions of $\tilde{v}_1$ on $(0, \pi/2)$,$(\pi/2, \pi)$,$(\pi, 3\pi/2)$,$(3\pi/2, 2\pi)$, respectively. Then,

$$[e^{2\pi i\tilde{v}_1}] = ([e^{2\pi i\tilde{v}_{11}}], [e^{2\pi i\tilde{v}_{12}}], [e2\pi i\tilde{v}_{13}], [e^{2\pi i\tilde{v}_{14}}]).$$

From the definition, it is easy to see that $\tilde{v}_{12} = \tilde{v}_{13} = 0$ and hence

$$[e^{2\pi i\tilde{v}_{12}}] = [e^{2\pi i\tilde{v}_{13}}] = 0.$$

Following the winding formula we have [**Tay**]

$$[e^{2\pi i\tilde{v}_{11}}] = w_1[u_1], [e^{2\pi i\tilde{v}_{14}}] = w_4[u_4],$$

where w_1 and w_4 are the winding numbers of $\tilde{v}_1$ and $\tilde{v}_{14}$, respectively,

$$w_1 = \frac{i}{2\pi i}\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d}{d\varphi}(e^{2\pi i\tilde{v}_{11}})e^{-2\pi i\tilde{v}_{11}}d\varphi = -1,$$

$$w_4 = \frac{i}{2\pi i}\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d}{d\varphi}(e^{2\pi i\tilde{v}_{14}})e^{-2\pi i\tilde{v}_{14}}d\varphi = +1.$$

Thus,

$$\delta_0([v_1]) = (-[u_1], 0, 0, [u_1]).$$

By analogy, we have also

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0([v_2]) &= ([u_1], -[u_2], 0, 0), \\ \delta_0([v_3]) &= (0, [u_2], -[u_3], 0), \\ \delta_0([v_4]) &= (0, 0, [u_3], -[u_4]). \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\delta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0-1 & \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^4, \mathbb{Z}^4) \cong \mathrm{Mat}_4(\mathbb{Z}).$$

□

3. Bibliographical Remarks

The main idea of studying the structure of C*-algebras of MD$_4$-group was proposed by the author. The new idea of this chapter is to study the C*-algebras of measurable foliations, consisting of generic co-adjoint orbits. It is just the intersection of 1-dimensional irreducible representations. This idea was then developed for the general situation by th author in [**D11**]. The results of this chapter was done in Ph. D. Dissertation (1990) of Le Anh Vu under supervision of the author.

Part 2

Advanced Theory: Multidimensional Quantization and Index of Group C*-Algebras

CHAPTER 6

Multidimensional Quantization

1. Induced Representations. Mackey Method of small subgroups

We begin our exposition with a survey of key concepts and results in the so called Mackey method of small subgroups. This method will play an essential role in the development of the orbit method.

Throughout this section G denotes a locally compact group and all actions of G are continuous.

1.1. Criterion of inductibility.

Definition 1.1. Let M be a right G-space and V a left G-space. Let $M\times V$ denote the Cartesian product of M and V with the product topology. Let $\sim$ denote the equivalence relation on $M\times V$ given by

$$(m,v)\sim(m',v') \iff \exists g\in G$$

such that $m'=mg^{-1}$, $v'=gv$. Define

$$M\times_G V := (M\times V)/\sim$$

with the quotient topology. $M\times_G V$ is called the *fibered product of M and V over G.* It will be usually denoted by $\mathcal{E}_V$.

Remark 1.1. (1) The right G-space M can be considered as a left G-space in the obvious way via the action $m\mapsto g.m := mg^{-1}$. Giving the product $M\times V$ the diagonal action of G, we can consider the left G-space $M\times V$, and the orbits of G therein. Two points (m,v) and (m',v') are on the same G-orbit, if and only if there exists an element $g\in G$, such that $m'=mg^{-1}, v'=gv$. The space of all orbits of G in $M\times V$ is the space $M\times_G V$ defined above.

(2) If the quotient map $M\longrightarrow M/G$ is a fibration (moreover a *principal bundle*), then

$$V\rightarrowtail \mathcal{E}_V \twoheadrightarrow M/G$$

is the so called *vector bundle, associated with the G-action on V*. It is easy to see that there exists a bijection between the sections of this bundle and the V-valued functions on M satisfying the following equations

$$f(mg)=g^{-1}f(m),$$

for all $m \in M$ and $g \in G$.

(3) We shall often apply the construction of *product over G* to the left spaces. For this, it is enough to remark that if M is a left G-space, then it is also a right G-space by the action $g^{-1}M, g \in G$. In this case, $\mathcal{E}_V = (M \times V)/\sim$ and, by definition, $(m, v) \sim (m', v')$ iff $m' = gm, v' = gv$. The sections $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_V)$ of the associate bundle $V \rightarrowtail \mathcal{E}_V \twoheadrightarrow G/M$ can be identified with the V-valued functions on M, satisfying the equations

$$f_s(gm) = gf_s(m), \forall g \in G, \forall m \in M \quad .$$

(4) We shall use the construction to the case of induced representations.

Definition 1.2. Let G be a locally compact group, H a closed subgroup and (σ, V) a unitary representation of H. The (left) G-action on the space $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_V)$ of sections of the associate G-bundle

$$V \rightarrowtail \mathcal{E}_V \twoheadrightarrow H \setminus G$$

will be called the *representation of G induced* from the unitary representation (σ, V) of H. It is denoted by $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(\sigma, V)$.

Remark 1.2. (1) It is easy to see that G is a left H-space, and that $H \rightarrowtail G \twoheadrightarrow H \setminus G$ is a fibration. We shall always suppose that there is a Borel section $s : H \setminus G \to G$, $x \mapsto s_x$ such that the decomposition $g = h.s_x$ is unique. It is easy to see that for every $x \in X = H \setminus G$ and every $g \in G$, there exists a unique $h = h(g, x)$ such that

$$s_x.g = h(g, x).s_{xg}$$

and

$$\sigma(h(g_1, x))\sigma(h(g_2, xg_1)) = \sigma(h(g_1g_2, x)),$$

for all $x \in X$ and $g_1, g_2 \in G$. We have therefore a $\sigma(H)$-valued 1-cocycle $\sigma(h(.,.))$.

(2) The 1-cocycle $\sigma(h(.,.))$ acts on the fiber V and G acts on $H \setminus G$ by the right translations. Together, we have therefore a left G-action on the sections of the associate bundle $\mathcal{E}_V$,

$$(g.s)(x) = \sigma(h(g, x))s(xg), \forall g \in G, \forall x \in X \quad .$$

(3) We always suppose that $H \rightarrowtail G \twoheadrightarrow H\setminus G$ is a locally trivial principal H-bundle and fix a *trivialization* Γ on it. It is easy to see that on the associated bundle $\mathcal{E}_V$, there is a natural associated (affine) connection ∇.

(4) It is also easy to see that on the right G-space $X := H \setminus G$, there exists a unique, up to scalar factor, quasi - invariant measure $d\mu = d\mu_s$, depending on the Borel section s. Let $B(.,.)$ be the 1-cocycle defined by

$$B(g, x) := \left[\frac{d\mu(xg)}{d\mu(x)}\right]^{-1/2} \sigma(h(g, x)) \quad .$$

Then the corresponding induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G B(.,.)$ is unitary iff $B(.,.)$ is a unitary operator - valued 1-cocycle.

(5) Let $T_i, i = 1, 2$ be the induced representations corresponding to the 1-cocycles $B_i, i = 1, 2$, respectively. Then T_1 and T_2 are unitary equivalent if and only if B_1 and B_2 are cohomologuous, i.e.

$$B_2(g, x) = C(x)^{-1} B_1(g, x) C(xg) \quad ,$$

for some operator - valued function $C(.)$ on X.

Definition 1.3. Let T be a unitary representation of G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, $X = H \setminus G$ and $C_0(X)$ the *-algebra of continuous functions on X, vanishing at infinity, and P a *-representation of $C_0(X)$ on the same Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The pair (T, P) will be called a *unitary representation of the right G-space X,* iff T and P satisfy the so called *quasi-invariance condition*

$$T(g)P(f)T(g^{-1}) = P(R(g)f), \forall g \in G, \forall f \in C_0(X) \quad ,$$

where $(R(g)f)(x) := f(xg)$ is the right *regular representation* of G. In this case we say that T *can be extended* to a unitary representation (T, P) of the right G-space X.

Theorem 1.1 (**Criterion of Inductibility**). *Let T be a unitary representation of G. Then there exist a closed subgroup H and a unitary representation (σ, V) of H such that $T = \operatorname{Ind}_H^G(\sigma, V)$ if and only if T can be extended to a unitary representation (T, P) of the right G-space $X = H \setminus G$.*

Remark 1.3. (1) The criterion of inductibility is equivalent to the following condition on the *existence of systems of imprimitivity* on X: *There is a one - to - one correspondence between the unitary representations (T, P) of the right G-space X and the projection measures Δ on X.*

Recall that a *projection measure* Δ on X is by definition a map from the σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of the Borel sets E in X to the projections in a Hilbert space, satisfying the following conditions

(a) $\Delta(E_1 \cap E_2) = \Delta(E_1).\Delta(E_2)$, for all Borel sets E_1 and E_2,
(b) $\Delta(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta(E_k)$, for all Borel sets $E_1, E_2, \ldots$, such that $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset, i \neq j$, v
(c) $P(f) = \int_X f(x)\Delta(dx), \forall f \in C_0(X)$, and
(d) $T(g)\Delta(E)T(g^{-1}) = \Delta(Eg) \forall g \in G, \forall E \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

The assertion above is clear in view of the following facts from functional analysis: The representation P of the *-algebra $C_0(X)$ is just the quasi - invariant (condition in Def. 1.5.) integral, corresponding to the quasi

- invariant (condition (d)) projection (condition (a) & (b)) measure Δ, following the condition (c).

(2) Consider the convolution algebra $\mathcal{A} = C_0(G \times X) \cong C_0(X \times G)$, consisting of all continuous functions with compact support of type $\alpha = \alpha(x,g)$, and with the following convolution product and involution:

$$(\alpha_1 * \alpha_2)(x,g) := \int_G \alpha_1(x, g_1^{-1})\alpha_2(xg_1^{-1}, g_1 g) d\mu_r(g_1), \forall x \in X, g \in G \quad ,$$

$$\alpha^*(x,g) := \overline{\alpha(xg, g^{-1})}\Delta_G(g_1)^{-1} \quad ,$$

where Δ_G is the *modular function* of the right invariant measure $d\mu_r$ on G.

A *-representation ϕ of the convolution *-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ will be called *consistent of type* T , iff

$$T(g_1)\phi(f)T(g_2) = \phi(\tilde{f}) \quad ,$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(x,g) := f(xg_1, g_1^{-1} g g_2^{-1})\Delta_G(g_1)^{-1} \quad .$$

There is a one - to - one correspondence between the unitary representations of type (T,P) *of* $C_0(X)$ *and the consistent *-representation* ϕ *of the algebra* $\mathcal{A} = C_0(X \times G)$ *of type* T.

This remark is also clear from the point of view of the representation theory: The algebra $C_0(X \times G)$ seems to be the group *-algebra for the homogeneous space X. Thus the one - to - one correspondence between the quasi - invariant projection measures on X and the consistent *-representations of $C_0(X \times G)$ is well-known.

PROOF OF THE CRITERION OF INDUCTIBILITY.

NECESSITY.

Suppose that $T = \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(\sigma, V)$, realizing on the space $L^2_{\mu_s}(X,V)$ of square - integrable sections of the induced bundle $\mathcal{E}_V$. For each element $f \in C_0(X)$, define $P(f)$ as the operator of multiplication by f in the space of sections $L^2_{\mu_s}(X,V)$ of the representation T. It is easy to see that $P(f)$ is a quasi-invariant in the sense of (1.5).

SUFFICIENCY. Suppose that our representation T extends to a unitary representation (T,P) of the homogeneous space $X = H \setminus G$. Following our remarks 1.7, there exist a consistent *-representation ϕ of *-algebra $\mathcal{A} = C_0(X \times G)$ such that

$$\phi(\alpha) = \int_G P(\alpha(.,g))T(g)d\mu_r(g) \quad .$$

By restriction to components, we can suppose that ϕ is a cyclic representation, acting on $L^2_{\mu_s}(X,V)$ with *source, (or cyclic vector)* ξ.

For every element $\beta \in C_0(G \times G)$ we can produce an element $\alpha \in C_0(X \times G)$, such that

$$\alpha(x, g_2) = \alpha(Hg_1, g_2) := \int_H \beta(hg_1, g_2) d\mu_r(h) \quad .$$

Choose a positive function $\alpha \geq 0$ on G such that

$$\int_H \rho(hg) d\mu_r(h) \equiv 1 \quad ,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi(\alpha)\xi, \xi) &= \int_G ((\phi(\alpha)\xi)(g_1), \xi(g_1))_V \rho(g_1) d\mu_r(g_1) \\ &= \int_G \int_G \alpha(Hg_1, g_2)(\xi(g_1 g_2), \xi(g_1))_V \rho(g_1) d\mu_r(g_1) d\mu_r(g_2) \\ &= \int_H \int_G \int_G \beta(hg_1, g_2)(\xi(g_1 g_2), \xi(g_1))_V d\mu_r d\mu_r(g_1) d\mu_r(g_2). \end{aligned}$$

Changing the variable $g_1 \rightsquigarrow h^{-1} g_1$, we have

$$(\phi(\alpha)\xi, \xi) = \int_G \int_G \beta(g_1, g_2)(\xi(g_1 g_2), \xi(g_1))_V d\mu_r(g_1) d\mu_r(g_2) \quad .$$

Taking $\beta(g_1, g_2) = \theta_1(g_1 g_2)\overline{\theta_2(g_1)}\Delta_G(g_1)$, with $\theta_i \in C_0(G)$, we have

$$(\phi(\alpha)\xi, \xi) = (\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)_V \quad ,$$

where, by definition,

$$\hat{\theta} := \int_G \theta(g)\xi(g) d\mu_r(g)$$

and because ξ is the cyclic vector, the set $\{\hat{\theta}; \theta \in C_0(G)\}$ is everywhere dense in V.

In $C_0(G)$ we define $(\theta_1, \theta_2) := (\phi(\alpha)\xi, \xi)$ and a unitary representation σ of H by

$$(\sigma(h)\theta)(g) := \Delta_H(h)^{-1/2}.\Delta(h)^{-1/2}\theta(h^{-1}g) \quad .$$

Consider the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(\sigma, V)$ on the space $\mathcal{H}$ of functions $F : G \to C_0(G)$ such that

$$F(hg_1, g_2) = \Delta_G^{-1}(h) F(g_1, h^{-1}g_2) \quad ,$$

with the scalar product, defined by

$$(F_1, F_2) = \int (F_1(g, .) F_2(g, .))_{C_0(G)} \rho d\mu_r(g).$$

The dense subspace

$$L_0 = \{\phi(\alpha)\xi; \alpha \in C_0(X \times G)\}$$

in $L^2_{\mu_s}(X, V)$ can be isometrically mapped into $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$\tau : \phi(\alpha)\xi \in L_0 \mapsto F \in \mathcal{H} \quad ,$$

$$F(g_1, g_2) = \alpha(Hg_1, g_1^{-1}g_2)\Delta_G(g_1)^{-1} \quad .$$

The map τ commutes with the action of G and really is an isometric linear operator with unitary closure. Thus we have $T \cong \operatorname{Ind}_H^G(\sigma, \tilde{V})$, where $\tilde{V} = C_0(G)$ with the scalar product $(\phi(\alpha)\xi, \xi)$ as above. The theorem is proved.

1.2. The Mackey method of small subgroups. The main application of the theory of induced representation is probably the Mackey theory, which completely describes the set of all irreducible representations of locally compact groups having proper closed normal subgroups via the induction process.

THEOREM 1.2 (**Mackey Method of Small Subgroups**). *Let G be a locally compact group, N a closed normal subgroup, $\hat{N}$ the dual object of N (i.e. the set of unitary equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations), on which G acts in the natural way, $\forall(\sigma \in)\langle\sigma\rangle \in \hat{N}, \forall g \in G$,*

$$(\sigma g)(n) := \sigma(gng^{-1}), \forall n \in N \quad .$$

Suppose that G-orbit space $\hat{N}/G$ is of class T_0. Let G_σ be the stabilizer of $\langle\sigma\rangle \in \hat{N}$,which contains N. Let τ be a representation such that $\langle\tau\rangle \in \hat{G}_\sigma$ and the restriction $\tau|_N$ is equivalent to a multiple of σ. We can therefore consider the induced representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{G_\sigma}^G \tau$.

Under these assumptions, there is a one - to - one correspondence between the dual object $\hat{G}$ of G and the set of all these classes of induced representations of type $\operatorname{Ind}_{G_\sigma}^G \tau$.

PROOF. It is well-known that N is a type I group. Let T be an arbitrary (unitary) irreducible representation of G, in some Hilbert space L. The the restriction $T|_N$ can be unitarily and uniquely decomposed into a direct integral of representations

$$T|_N \simeq \int_{\hat{N}}^{\oplus} W_\lambda d\mu(\lambda) \quad , \quad L = \int_{\hat{N}}^{\oplus} L_\lambda d\mu(\lambda) \quad ,$$

where $W_\lambda \simeq U_\lambda \otimes S_\lambda, L_\lambda \cong V_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n(\lambda)}$, $U_\lambda \in \hat{N}$ and S_λ is some trivial representation of dimension $n(\lambda) = 1, 2, 3, ..., \infty \quad .$

For every measurable bounded function f on $\hat{N}$, we define $P(f)$ as the diagonal operator of multiplication by $f(\lambda), \lambda \in \hat{N}$. It is easy to see that:

(1) (T, P) is a unitary representation of $\hat{N}$,
(2) the measure μ is quasi-invariant with respect to the G-action, and
(3) if T is irreducible, μ is an ergodic measure.

Therefore μ must be concentrated on a G-orbit in $\hat{N}$, say in X. Then X is a homogeneous G-space. We take a point $\langle\sigma\rangle \in X$. Following the criterion of inductibility, there exists some $\langle\tau\rangle \in \hat{G_\sigma}$, such that $\tau|_N \simeq \operatorname{mult}\sigma$ and finally $T \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{G_\sigma}^G \tau \quad .$ □

1.3. Projective representations and Mackey obstructions.

Definition 1.4. Let us denote by $\mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space, $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$ the group of unitary operators and $\mathbb{S}^1.Id = cent\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$. We define a *projective unitary representation* T to be a homomorphism $T : G \to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})/\mathbb{C}$, such that the map $G \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is continuous.

Remark 1.4. It is easy to see that a projective unitary representation T can be always lifted just to a continuous map, but not necessarily a homomorphism,$T : G \to \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{H})$, such that

$$T(g_1)T(g_2) = c(g_1, g_2)T(g_1g_2) \quad ,$$

for some $\mathbb{S}^1$-valued function $c(.,.) := T(g_1)T(g_2)T(g_1g_2)^{-1}$.

From the properties of the homomorphism, it is easy to deduce that

$$c(g_1, g_2)c(g_1g_2, g_3) = c(g_1, g_2g_3)c(g_2, g_3) \quad .$$

Thus $c(.,.)$ is a 2-cocycle in $H^2(G;\mathbb{T})$. This cocycle will be a co-boundary if there is some function $b : G \to \mathbb{T}$, such that

$$c(g_1, g_2) = \frac{b(g_1)b(g_2)}{b(g_1g_2)} \quad .$$

In this case we have

$$b(g_1)T(g_1).b(g_2)T(g_2) = b(g_1g_2)T(g_1g_2)$$

and therefore $b(.)T(.)$ will be a unitary representation of G. In general case, the cohomology class $\langle c(.,.)\rangle \in H^2(G;\mathbb{T})$ is called the *obstruction to lifting a projective representation to a unitary (linear) representation.*

Now we consider the situation of locally compact groups, having (proper) closed normal subgroups, say N.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that for every $h \in H$, the representation $\sigma_h, \sigma_h(n) = \sigma(hnh^{-1})$, $\forall n \in N$, is equivalent to σ. Then there exists a one - to - one correspondence between the classes $\langle\tau\rangle \in \hat{H}$, such that $\tau|_N \simeq \operatorname{mult}\sigma$, and the set $\widehat{(H/N)}_{proj}$ of unitary equivalence classes of irreducible projective representations of H/N.*

Remark 1.5. If one is using the Mackey method of small subgroups $H = G_\sigma$ the cocycles corresponding to the projective representations from $\widehat{(H/N)}_{proj}$ are called the *Mackey obstructions* . *If the Mackey obstructions vanish* , then we can obtain the dual object $\hat{G}$ from the dual objects $\widehat{(G_\sigma/N)}$ in killing the Mackey obstructions. And so we can use the induction process on group dimension. In the orbit method, this will be done by taking either the so called $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-covering (see the next sections §6 - §8), or the so called $U(1)$-covering (see Appendices A2. - A3.).

Proof of the theorem.

For every $k \in K := H/N$, choose a representation $\rho(k) \in H$, for example by a Borel section, such that every element $h \in H$ has a unique decomposition

$$h = \rho(k).n, \forall k \in K, \forall n \in N \quad .$$

Every $\langle \tau \rangle \in \hat{H}$ such that $\tau|_N \simeq \operatorname{mult} \sigma$, by definition, is of the form

$$\tau(n) = I_{V_1} \otimes \sigma(n)$$

, acting on $V_1 \otimes V_2$. Because $\sigma \simeq \sigma_h, \forall h \in H$, there exists a family of projective unitary operators $W(k), k \in K$, such that

$$\sigma(\rho(k) n \rho(k)^{-1}) = W(k)\sigma(n)W(k)^{-1} \quad .$$

The operator $\tau(\rho(k))(I_{V_1} \otimes W(k)^{-1})$ commutes with all the operators $\tau(n)$ and because σ is irreducible, $\tau(\rho(k))(I_{V_1} \otimes W(k)^{-1}) = S(k) \otimes I_{V_2}$. Thus for $h = \rho(k)n$, we have

$$\tau(h) = S(k) \otimes W(k)\sigma(n) \quad .$$

Now we verify that $S(.) : K \to \mathbb{U}(V_1)/\mathbb{T}$ is a projective representation. We see, on one hand, that $\rho(k_1)\rho(k_2) = \rho(k_1k_2) \mod N$. Therefore,

$$\tau(\rho(k_1)\rho(k_2)) = S(k_1k_2) \otimes W(k_1k_2)\sigma(n)$$

for some $n \in N$. On the other hand, we have

$$\tau(\rho(k_1))\tau(\rho(k_2)) = \tau(\rho(k_1)\rho(k_2)) = S(k_1)S(k_2) \otimes W(k_1)W(k_2) \quad .$$

Remark that $A \otimes B = A' \otimes B'$ if and only if there exists some constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $A' = \lambda A, B' = \lambda^{-1} B$. Thus from

$$S(k_1k_2) \otimes W(k_1k_2)\sigma(n) = S(k_1)S(k_2) \otimes W(k_1)W(k_2)$$

and from the fact that W is a projective family, we can conclude that

$$W(k_1)W(k_2) = \lambda(k_1,k_2)^{-1} W(k_1k_2)\sigma(n)$$

and

$$S(k_1)S(k_2) = \lambda(k_1,k_2)S(k_1k_2) \quad .$$

The last equation proves that $S(.)$ is a unitary projective representation of $K = H/N$. The irreducibility and the inverse direction is easy.

Remark 1.6. The generalized orbit method proposes a multidimensional generalization of the idea of the Mackey method to the general situation. The rest of this work is devoted to explain this idea.

2. Symplectic Manifolds with Flat Action of Lie Groups

In this section we recall the definition of symplectic manifolds and then show that locally, every symplectic manifold with a flat action of a Lie group can be considered as some co-adjoint orbit.

2.1. Flat action.

Definition 2.1. A *symplectic manifold* (M, ω) is a real smooth manifold M jointed with a *symplectic structure* ω, i.e. a closed, non-degenerate differential 2-form ω.

It is easy to deduce from this definition the following:

Corollary 2.1. *Every symplectic manifold has even dimension.*

Example 2.1. Every cotangent bundle can be transformed into a symplectic manifold.

To show this it is enough to construct a closed non-degenerate differential 2-form ω. We shall construct the so called *Liouville form* σ. Its differential $B = d\sigma$ will be the desired symplectic structure.

Let us consider an arbitrary differentiable manifold N and its cotangent bundle T^*N. If $\tilde{U} \subset N$ is an arbitrary local coordinate chart with the coordinate functions $q^1, q^2, \dots, q^k$, the cotangent space $T^*_m N, m \in N$, has the linear coordinates $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$, dual to the basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial q^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^k}$ of $T_m N$.

Let us denote by $p : T^*N \to N$ the natural projection. Then

$$p^{-1}\tilde{U} = U \approx \tilde{U} \times \mathbb{R}^k \hookrightarrow T^*N$$

is a local coordinate chart of T^*N with coordinates $q^1, q^2, \dots, q^k, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$.We define the restriction $\sigma|_U$ of form σ by

$$\sigma|_U := \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i dq^i$$

Let $\tilde{V}$ be another coordinate chart in N, and $V := p^{-1}\tilde{V}$ the corresponding coordinate chart in T^*N with the coordinate functions $\tilde{q}^1, \tilde{q}^2, \dots \tilde{q}^k, \tilde{p}_1, \dots, \tilde{p}_k$. Then in the intersection $U \cap V$ we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}^i &= \tilde{q}^i(q^1, \dots q^k) \quad , i = 1, \dots, k \\ \tilde{p}_i &= \tilde{p}_i(q^1, \dots, q^k, p_1, \dots, p_k) \quad , i = 1, \dots, k \end{aligned}$$

and $\tilde{p}_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, are linear functions of $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ of form

$$\tilde{p}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\partial q^j}{\partial \tilde{q}^i} p_j \quad .$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma|_{V\cap U} &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{p}_i d\tilde{q}^i \\ &= \textstyle\sum_i (\sum_j \frac{\partial q^j}{\partial \tilde{q}^i} p_j)(\sum_l \frac{\partial \tilde{q}^i}{\partial q^l} dq^l) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_j \sum_l (\sum_i \frac{\partial q^j}{\partial \tilde{q}^i}\frac{\partial \tilde{q}^i}{\partial q^l}) p_j dq^l \\ &= \textstyle\sum_j \sum_l \delta_{jl} p_j dq^l \\ &= \textstyle\sum_j p_j dq^j = \sigma|_{U\cap V}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{\sigma|_U = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i dq^i\}$ defines a 1-form $\sigma \in \Omega^1(T^*N)$. This form is the so called *Liouville form* . Its differential $B = d\sigma$ has the following local coordinate form

$$B|_U = d\sigma|_U = \sum_{i=1}^{k} dp_i \wedge dq^i \quad .$$

Therefore, it is a non-degenerate differential 2-form, $B \in \Omega^2(T^*N)$. This example is in the foundation of the standard Hamiltonism of classical mechanical systems.

DEFINITION 2.2. A vector field $\xi \in \mathrm{Vect}(M)$ is called *Hamiltonian* and denoted $\xi \in \mathrm{Vect}(M, \omega)$ iff holds one of the following equivalent conditions:

(i) The Lie derivative of ω along the field ξ vanishes,

$$\xi\omega := L_\xi \omega := Lie_\xi \omega = 0 \quad ,$$

(ii) $\imath(\xi)\omega$ is a closed 1-form.

A vector field $\xi \in \mathrm{Vect}(M)$ is called *strictly Hamiltonian* and denoted $\xi \in \mathrm{Vect}_0(M, \omega)$ if the 1-form $\imath(\xi)\omega$ is exact, i.e. there exists the so called *generating function* $f = f_\xi$, such that

$$\imath(\xi)\omega + df_\xi = 0 \quad .$$

In this case one says that $\xi = \xi_f$ is the (strictly) Hamiltonian vector field,*corresponding* to the function f , or the *symplectic gradient* of f.

REMARK 2.1. On the symplectic manifold (M, ω), there is a one - to - one correspondence between the vector fields $\xi \in \mathrm{Vect}(M)$ and the 1-forms $\imath(\xi)\omega \in \Omega^1(M)$. Therefore we have the following diagram of two exact sequence of vector spaces

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 & & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] & Vect_0\,(M,\omega) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Vect}(M,\omega) \arrow[r] & H^1_{DR}(M,\omega) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & & C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}) \arrow[u] & & & \\
 & & \mathbb{R} \arrow[u] & & & \\
 & & 0 \arrow[u] & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The vertical and the horizontal sequences are in fact the exact sequence of Lie algebras.*

PROOF. First we prove that

$$[\mathrm{Vect}(M,\omega),\mathrm{Vect}(M,\omega)] \subseteq \mathrm{Vect}_0(M,\omega).$$

Really, let $\xi,\eta \in \mathrm{Vect}(M,\omega)$. Consider the function defined by

$$f = f_{[\xi,\eta]} := \imath(\xi)\imath(\eta)\omega = \omega(\xi,\eta) \quad .$$

From differential geometry, we know that

$$\imath([\xi,\eta]) = L_\xi \circ \imath(\eta) - \imath(\eta) \circ L_\xi$$

and

$$L_\xi = d \circ \imath(\xi) + \imath(\xi) \circ d.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\imath([\xi,\eta])\omega &= L_\xi \imath(\eta)\omega - \imath(\eta)L_\xi\omega \\
&= L_\xi \imath(\eta)\omega \\
&= d\imath(\xi)\imath(\eta)\omega + \imath(\xi)d\imath(\eta)\omega = d\imath(\xi)\imath(\eta)\omega \\
&= -df_{[\xi,\eta]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the quotient Lie algebra $\mathrm{Vect}(M,\omega)/Vetc_0(M,\omega)$ is commutative and is isomorphic to the commutative Lie algebra $H^1_{DR}(M;\mathbb{R})$.

Recall that for two functions $f,g \in C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$, their *Poisson brackets* is defined as

$$\{f,g\} := \xi_f g = -\xi_g f = \omega(\xi_f,\xi_g) = f_{[\xi,\eta]} \quad .$$

Thus

$$d\{f,g\} = df_{[\xi_f,\xi_g]} = \imath([\xi,\eta])\omega$$

and the vertical sequence is also an exact sequence of Lie algebras. The proposition is proved. □

Let us consider now the smooth group action of a Lie group G on (M, ω).The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = LieG$ acts on M by the infinitesimal action. More precisely, for every $x \in M$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the one - parameter group $\exp(tX)$ provides a smooth curve, passing through the point $x \in M$. Let thus denote this curve by $\exp(tX)x$ and its tangent vector at x by $\xi_X(x)$,

$$\xi_X(x) := \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \exp(tX)x \quad .$$

Suppose that $\xi_X \in \mathrm{Vect}_0(M, \omega), \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$ with generating function f_X. The quantity

$$c(X, Y) := \{f_X, f_Y\} - f_{[X,Y]}$$

can be considered as the *curvature of the group action* of G on M.

Definition 2.3. The action of G on M is said to be *flat* iff the curvature $c(.,.)$ of the G-action vanishes, i.e.

$$\{f_X, f_Y\} - f_{[X,Y]} \equiv 0, \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g} \quad .$$

Remark 2.2. If the action of G on M is flat, the homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{Vect}(M, \omega)$ can be lifted to a homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, following the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 & & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & Vect_0\,(M,\omega) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Vect}(M,\omega) \arrow[r] & H^1_{DR}(M,\mathbb{R}) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R}) \arrow[u] & \mathfrak{g} \arrow[l] \arrow[ul] \arrow[u] & & \\
 & \mathbb{R} \arrow[u] & & & \\
 & 0 \arrow[u] & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Example 2.2. Every co-adjoint orbit (or, following A.A. Kirillov, K-orbit) is a simplectic manifold with a flat action.

Let us consider a connected and simply connected Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = LieG$ and the dual vector space $\mathfrak{g}^* := Hom_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$. With every element $g \in G$ we can associate a map

$$A(g) : G \to G \quad ,$$

$$A(g)x := gxg^{-1} \quad ,$$

fixing the identity element $e \in G$

$$A(g)e = geg^{-1} = e \quad .$$

The differential of this map

$$Ad(g) := A(g)_* : \mathfrak{g} \cong T_eG \to \mathfrak{g} \cong T_eG$$

is called the *adjoint representation* of G in g,

$$Ad : G \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathfrak{g} \quad .$$

The corresponding *contragradient* representation of G in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is called the *co-adjoint representation* of G,

$$\begin{aligned} K := coAd \quad &: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\mathfrak{g}^* \quad , \\ K(g) := (Ad(g^{-1}))^* \quad &: \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Under this co-adjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g}^*$, the space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is divided on to the so called *co-adjoint* (or, following A. A. Kirillov, the K-) *orbits* , $\mathfrak{g}^*/G := \mathcal{O}(G)$.

Remark that the *adjoint* action of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on itself,

$$(adX)(Y) := [X, Y]$$

is the differential of the *adjoint* action of G on g,

$$Ad(\exp X) = e^{adX} \quad .$$

Let us now consider a fixed K-orbit $\Omega \in \mathcal{O}(G)$. We fix a point $F \in \Omega$ and consider the stabilizer G_F at this point, and the bilinear form $\langle F, [.,.]\rangle$ on g. It is easy to see that the kernel of this form is coincided with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_F := LieG_F$ of the stabilizer G_F, symbolically,

$$Ker\langle F, [.,.]\rangle = \mathfrak{g}_F \quad .$$

Therefore $\langle F, [.,.]\rangle$ induces a non-degenerate bilinear form $\omega_F(.,.)$ on the tangent at F space $T_F\Omega \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$. We write the action of G on $\Omega = \Omega_F$ on the right. So it is natural to identify Ω with the coset space $G_F \setminus G$, and the tangent space $T_F\Omega$ at F with the quotient space $\mathfrak{g}_F \setminus \mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$. The orbit Ω is a homogeneous right G-space and $\omega_F(.,.)$ is a non-degenerate skew form on the tangent space $T_F\Omega = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$.

Remark that *the form* $\omega_F : T_F\Omega \times T_F\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ *is* AdG_F*-invariant* , i.e. $\forall \tilde{X} = X + \mathfrak{g}_F, \tilde{Y} = Y + \mathfrak{g}_F$ and $\forall g \in G_F$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K(g)F, [X, Y]\rangle \quad &= \omega_F(Adg^{-1}\tilde{X}, Adg^{-1}\tilde{Y}) \\ &= (Adg)^*\omega_F(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\langle F, [X, Y]\rangle = \omega_F(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \quad .$$

Therefore ω_F can be extended to a G-invariant 2-form ω_Ω on Ω.

We prove now that *this form* ω_F *is closed.* Really,

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_F(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) \quad &:= \omega_F([\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}], \tilde{Z}) - \omega_F([\tilde{X}, \tilde{Z}], \tilde{Y}) + \omega_F([\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}], \tilde{X}) \\ &= \langle F, [[X, Y], Z] + [[Y, Z], X] + [[Z, X], Y]\rangle \equiv 0, \end{aligned}$$

following the *Jacobi identity* for Lie algebras. Thus ω_F is a G-invariant symplectic structure on orbit $\Omega = \Omega_F$.

Finally, we show that *the G-action is flat.* Really, every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be considered as a linear function $f_X := \langle ., X\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}^*$, and therefore a function on Ω. Hence,

$$df_X(F) = \langle F, [., X]\rangle = -\imath(\xi_X)\omega_F$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{[X,Y]} &= \langle ., [X,Y]\rangle = L_X\langle ., Y\rangle \\ &= L_X f_Y = \{f_X, f_Y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, every K-orbit is a G-homogeneous symplectic manifold with the flat co-adjoint action of G.

The space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is decomposed into a disjoint union of the homogeneous symplectic G-manifolds, with the flat co-adjoint G-action. Together they can be considered as the symplectic leaves of a G-homogeneous *Poisson structure* on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. This idea goes back to the classical one from Sophus Lie.

2.2. Classification.

THEOREM 2.1 (**Classification**). *Every homogeneous symplectic manifold (M,ω) with flat action of a Lie group G is locally isomorphic to an K-orbit of G or a central extension of G by $\mathbb{R}$.*

PROOF. Consider the map $\phi : M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$, defined by

$$\langle \phi(m), X\rangle := F_X(m) \quad ,$$

where $F_X, X \in \mathfrak{g}$ are the generating functions of $\xi_X, X \in \mathfrak{g}$. To verify that this map is G-equivalent , in virtue of connectedness of G, it suffices to verify that ϕ commutes with the action of elements of type $\exp Y, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ on M and on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. From the flatness of the G-action, $\{F_X, F_Y\} = F_{[X,Y]}$, one deduces that ϕ_* maps ξ_Y on M to η_Y on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. This suffices for the local equivariance and hence also for global equivariance, as remarked before. From this G-equivariance, $\phi(M)$ is an K-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. It is easy to see that,if $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2k} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and the corresponding Hamiltonian fields $\xi_{X_1}, \dots, \xi_{X_{2k}}$ are linear independent, then so are also the differentials of their generating functions $df_{X_1}, \dots, df_{X_{2k}}$. Therefore,

$$\phi : M \twoheadrightarrow \phi(M) = \Omega \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$$

is a local homeomorphism. This means that M is a covering of a K-orbit $\Omega \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$. If this K-orbit Ω is simply connected, the covering is unique and M is homeomorphic to Ω. In other case, $\pi_1(\Omega) \neq \{1\}$, M is a connected covering of Ω, which is in one - to - one correspondence with subgroups Γ of $\pi_1(\Omega)$, and M is homeomorphic to $\Gamma \setminus \tilde{\Omega}$, where $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the universal covering of Ω.

Let $\tilde{G}$ and $\tilde{M}$ are the corresponding universal coverings of G and M, respectively. Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1$, generated by the generating functions $f_X, X \in \mathfrak{g}$. it is naturally an extension of g by $\mathbb{R}$,

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{g} \to 0 \quad .$$

We have a commutative diagram of Lie algebras and homomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & Vect_0\ (\tilde{M},\omega) \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "\cong"] & Vect(\tilde{M},\omega) \arrow[r] & H^1_{DR}(\tilde{M};\mathbb{R}) = 0 \\
 & C^\infty(\tilde{M},\mathbb{R}) \arrow[u] & \mathfrak{g}_1 \arrow[l] \arrow[u] \arrow[ul] & \\
 & \mathbb{R} \arrow[u] & & \\
 & 0 \arrow[u] & & .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus $\tilde{M}$ is a homogeneous symplectic manifold with flat action of the simply connected Lie group G_1, corresponding to Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1$. The theorem is proved. ☐

Remark 2.3. The classification theorem means that every Hamiltonian system with flat action of a connected Lie group G is locally isomorphic to a co-adjoint orbit of G or its central extension by $\mathbb{R}$. Roughly speaking, locally every flat homogeneous Hamiltonian system is a co-adjoint orbit.

3. Prequantization

In this section we define the so called procedure of quantization, the rule of geometric quantization and show its application to the representation theory. Our main intention is to do all in the multidimensional context. In this situation, there arise s some noncommutative summand in the expression of the corresponding curvature.

3.1. Quantization procedure. Let us consider a fixed co-adjoint orbit $\Omega \in \mathcal{O}(G)$, a fixed point $F \in \Omega$, the stabilizer G_F at the point F and its connected component of identity $(G_F)_0$. Recall that $\Omega \approx G_F \setminus G$ is a right homogeneous G-space.

Remark 3.1.

$$\chi_F(\exp(.)) := \exp(\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle F, .\rangle) : (G_F)_0 \to \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$$

defines a unitary character (i.e. a 1-dimensional representation) of $(G_F)_0$, where $\hbar := \frac{h}{2\pi}$ is the so called *normed Planck constant* and h is the *unnormed Planck constant.* For the mathematical theory exposed here, the concrete value of $\hbar$ does not play any role. The only importance is that its value is nonzero. We use it in the correspondence with the corresponding physics theories. Normally, we can suppose that $\hbar = 1$.

Really, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_F = LieG_F = Ker\langle F, [.,.]\rangle \quad .$$

Then the linear functional $\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle F, .\rangle$ is also a character (i.e. 1-dimensional representation) of $\mathfrak{g}_F$, i.e.

$$\frac{i}{\hbar}F, \langle[\mathfrak{g}_F, \mathfrak{g}_F]\rangle \equiv 0 \quad .$$

Definition 3.1. The orbit Ω is said to be *admissible* (resp., *integral*), iff there exists a unitary representation σ of the whole G_F,such that its restriction on $(G_F)_0$ is a multiple of χ_F,

$$\sigma|_{(G_F)_0} \simeq \text{mult} \quad \chi_F \quad ,$$

(resp. , iff χ_F can be extended to a unitary character r of G_F).

Remark 3.2. In virtue of the fact that $(G_F)_0$ is a normal closed subgroup of G_F, the set of such σ is in one - to - one correspondence with the projective representations of the quotient group $G_F/(G_F)_0$,

$$\{\sigma \in \hat{G}_F; \sigma|_{(G_F)_0} \simeq \text{mult} \quad \chi_F\} \overset{1-1}{\longleftrightarrow} (\widehat{G_F/(G_F})_0)_{proj} \quad .$$

Remark 3.3. It is easy to see that G_F can be included in the symplectic group of the tangent space $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$ of the orbit Ω_F, $G_F \hookrightarrow Sp(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$. The last group has the well-known metaplectic two-fold covering

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to Mp(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \to Sp(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \to 1 \quad .$$

Using the 5-homomorphism lemma, we can construct the unique two-fold covering of the stabilizer G_F such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Mp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd} \quad ,$$

on one hand. On the other hand, The symplectic group has also the well-known $U(1)$-covering

$$1 \to U(1) \to Mp^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \to Sp(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \to 1 \quad .$$

Also using the 5-homomorphism lemma, we can construct the unique $U(1)$-covering of the stabilizer G_F, such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_F^{U(1)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd} .$$

Therefore there are two subsets $\widehat{G_F^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}}$ and $\widehat{G_F^{U(1)}}$ of unitary representations in the projective dual object $(\widehat{G_F/(G_F)_0})_{proj}$.

In the future, avoiding the Mackey obstructions of the construction, we shall use the two - fold covering in the Duflo's construction (Sections §§6-8) and the $U(1)$-covering in the later development (Appendices A1-A3).

Proposition 3.1. *The orbit Ω is integral if and only if the cohomology class $[\omega_\Omega]$ of the Kirillov's form ω_Ω is integral ; i.e.*

$$[\omega_\Omega] \in H^2(\Omega;\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. Recall that we suppose always G to be a connected and simply connected Lie group, and G_F the closed subgroup. Therefore,$\Omega \approx G_F \setminus G$ and we have the long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$0 \to H^0(\Omega;\mathbb{R}) \to H^0(G;\mathbb{R}) \to H^0(G_F;\mathbb{R}) \to$$
$$\to H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}) \to H^1(G;\mathbb{R}) \to H^1(G_F;\mathbb{R}) \to$$
$$\to H^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}) \to H^2(G;\mathbb{R}) \to H^2(G_F;\mathbb{R}) \to \dots.$$

We have

$$H^0(G;\mathbb{R}) = 0 \quad ,$$
$$H^1(G;\mathbb{R}) = 0 \quad .$$

Then

$$H^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}) \cong H^1(G_F;\mathbb{R})$$

and

$$\pi_1(\Omega) \cong \pi_0(G_F) = G/(G_F)_0 \quad .$$

Let us denote by

$$p : G \longrightarrow \Omega \approx G_F \setminus G$$

the natural projection. Then

$$p^*\omega_\Omega.Id = d\alpha$$

for some $\alpha \in H^1(G;\mathbb{Z})$. This form α is unique up to a differential, say $df, f \in C^\infty(G,\mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha_0 := \alpha|_{(G_F)_0}$ is closed. Really , $\alpha_0 = \frac{i}{\hbar}\langle F,.\rangle$.

Now suppose that the orbit (Ω,ω_Ω) is admissible. Therefore, there exists $(\tilde{\sigma},\tilde{V}) \in \hat{G}_F$ such that

$$\tilde{\sigma}|_{(G_F)_0} = \text{mult} \quad \chi_F \quad .$$

Let us consider the action $\mathrm{Ind}_{G_F}^G(\ \tilde{sigma}, \tilde{V})$ on the sections of th associated bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V}}(\Omega) := G \times_{G_F} (\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})$. □

Definition 3.2. Under a *procedure of quantization* , or some time a *rule of quantization* we mean a correspondence associating to each function $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ an Hermitian operator $\hat{f}$ (which become anti-auto-adjoint for real functions f) in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, satisfying the so called *commutation relations* what follow

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \widehat{\{f,g\}} & = & \frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2], \forall f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \\ \hat{1} & = & Id \quad . \end{array}$$

In this case the operators $\hat{f}, f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ will be called the *quantized operators.*

Recall that for the associated (induced) bundle $\mathcal{E}_V(\Omega)$ we have to suppose that the principal bundle

$$\begin{tikzcd} G_F \arrow[r] & G \arrow[d, "\Gamma"] \\ & \Omega \approx G_F \setminus G \end{tikzcd}$$

is locally trivial and that we fix a connection Γ (i.e. a trivialization). Then with every representation $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})$ of G_F we can associate an (affine) connection ∇ on the induced bundle with the connection form α.

Definition 3.3. For every $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \omega_\Omega)$, denote its hamiltonian vector field by ξ_f,

$$\imath(\xi_f)\omega + df = 0 \quad .$$

The operators

$$\hat{f} := f + \frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla_{\xi_f} = f + \frac{\hbar}{i}L_{\xi_f} + \alpha(vert(\xi_f))$$

are called the *geometric quantization operators* , corresponding to $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, where f on the right hand side is the operator of multiplication by f and $vert(\xi_f)$ is the vertical componenent of the vector field ξ_f, following the fixed connection Γ on the principal bundle.

Theorem 3.1. *The following three conditions are equivalent.*

(1) $\xi\alpha(\eta) - \eta\alpha(\xi) - \alpha([\xi,\eta]) + \frac{i}{\hbar}[\alpha(\xi), \alpha(\eta)] = -\omega(\xi,\eta)Id, \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathrm{Vect}(\Omega)$

(2) $\mathrm{Curv}(\nabla)(\xi,\eta) = [\nabla_\xi, \nabla_\eta] - \nabla_{[\xi,\eta]} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\omega(\xi,\eta) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\omega(\xi,\eta)Id$

(3) $\widehat{\{f_1, f_2\}} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2], \hat{1} = Id \quad ,$

i.e.$f \mapsto \hat{f}$ *is a quantization procedure.*

(1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (2) is just from differential geometry of connections. From our definition 3.7 we have

$$\nabla_\xi := L_\xi + \frac{i}{\hbar}\alpha(vert(\xi)) \quad .$$

For simplicity, we denote $\alpha(vert(\xi))$ as $\alpha(\xi)$. Therefore we write

$$\nabla_\xi = L_\xi + \frac{i}{\hbar}\alpha(\xi) \quad .$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\nabla_\xi, \nabla_\eta] - \nabla[\xi,\eta] &= [L_\xi + \tfrac{i}{\hbar}\alpha(\xi), L_\eta + \tfrac{i}{\hbar}\alpha(\eta)] - L_{[\xi,\eta]} - \tfrac{i}{\hbar}\alpha([\xi,\eta]) \\
&= [L_\xi, L_\eta] + \tfrac{i}{\hbar}[L_\xi, \alpha(\eta)] - \tfrac{i}{\hbar}[L_\eta, \alpha(\xi)] + (\tfrac{i}{\hbar})^2[\alpha(\xi), \alpha(\eta)] \\
&\quad - L_{[\xi,\eta]} - \tfrac{i}{\hbar}\alpha([\xi,\eta]) \\
&= \{[L_\xi, L_\eta] - L_{[\xi,\eta]}\} + \tfrac{i}{\hbar}\{[L_\xi, \alpha(\eta)] - [L_\eta, \alpha(\xi)] \\
&\qquad - \alpha([\xi,\eta]) + \tfrac{i}{\hbar}[\alpha(\xi), \alpha(\eta)]\}
\end{aligned}$$

In virtue of Lie derivation, the first summand vanishes. It rests only to prove the following

LEMMA 3.1.

$$[L_\xi, \alpha(\eta)] = \xi\alpha(\eta) \quad .$$

Really ,applying the left hand side to a section s, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_\xi, \alpha(\eta)]s &= L_\xi(\alpha(\eta)s) - \alpha(\eta)L_\xi s \\
&= (L_\xi\alpha(\eta))s + \alpha(\eta)L_\xi s - \alpha(\eta)L_\xi s \\
&= \xi\alpha(\eta).s.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (3) can be proved by a direct verification.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2] &= \tfrac{i}{\hbar}[f_1 + \tfrac{\hbar}{i}\nabla_{\xi_{f_1}}, f_2 + \tfrac{\hbar}{i}\nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}] \\
&= \tfrac{i}{\hbar}\{\tfrac{\hbar}{i}[f_1, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}] + \tfrac{\hbar}{i}[\nabla_{\xi_{f_1}}, f_2] + (\tfrac{\hbar}{i})^2[\nabla_{\xi_{f_1}}, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}]\} \\
&= [f_1, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}] + \tfrac{\hbar}{i}\nabla_{\xi_{\{f_1,f_2\}}} - \tfrac{\hbar}{i}\nabla_{\xi_{\{f_1,f_2\}}} + [\nabla_{\xi_{f_1}}, f_2] + \tfrac{\hbar}{i}[\nabla_{\xi_{f_1}}, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}].
\end{aligned}$$

From differential geometry, we know that

$$\xi_{\{f_1,f_2\}} = [\xi_{f_1}, \xi_{f_2}] \quad .$$

We have therefore only to prove the following

LEMMA 3.2.

$$[f_1, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}] = [\nabla_{\xi_{f_1}}, f_2] = -\xi_{f_2}(f_1) = \xi_{f_1}(f_2) = \omega(\xi_{f_1}, \xi_{f_2}) = \{f_1, f_2\} \quad .$$

Really, Because f_1, f_2 are the scalar functions and $\beta(f_1), \beta(f_2)$ are the operator valued functions,$\beta := \frac{i}{\hbar}\alpha$,the connection form, we have then

$$[f_1, \beta(\xi_{f_2})] \equiv 0,$$

$$[f_1, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}] = [f_1, L_{\xi f_2}] \quad .$$

Applying this to a section s, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[f_1, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}]s &= f_1(L_{\xi_{f_2}}) - L_{\xi_{f_2}}(f_1 s) \\ &= -L_{\xi_{f_2}}(f_1).s = \omega(\xi_{f_1}, \xi_{f_2})s.\end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved.

3.2. Application. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g} = LieG$ be an arbitrary element, and $\{\exp(tX)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \subset G$ the corresponding one-parameter subgroup in G, and ξ_X the corresponding strictly hamiltonian vector field, with the generating function $f_X := f_{\xi_X}$ and the corresponding Lie derivation

$$L_X := L_{\xi_X} \quad .$$

It is easy to see that

$$[L_X, L_Y] = L_{[X,Y]} \quad ,$$

$$L_X f = \{f_X, f\}$$

and

$$c(X, Y) = \{f_X, f_Y\} - f_{[X,Y]} \equiv 0 \quad ,$$

in virtue of flatness of the G-action.

Applying the procedure of (pre)quantization, we define

$$\Lambda(X) := \frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{f}_X = \frac{i}{\hbar} f_X + \nabla_{\xi_X} \quad .$$

It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned}[\Lambda(X), \Lambda(Y)] &= \Lambda([X, Y]) + c(X, Y) \\ &= \Lambda([X, Y]),\end{aligned}$$

following the flatness of the G-action.

Conclusion. The procedure of geometric quantization provides a Lie algebra representation $\Lambda(.)$ on the space of sections of the induced bundle $\mathcal{E}_V(\Omega)$.

Remark 3.4. There are some conditions, providing the corresponding Lie group representations, namely the E. Nelson conditions: *If all the operators* $\Lambda(X), X \in \mathfrak{g}$ *and the Casimir operators*

$$\Lambda(C), C \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) = centU(\mathfrak{g})$$

have operator closures, then

$$\exp \Lambda(X), X \in \mathfrak{g}$$

provide a unitaty representation of the universal covering group $\tilde{G}$ *of the Lie group* G.

4. Polarization

In the previous section, we have discussed how to construct the so called *quantum correspondence*

$$f \longmapsto \hat{f}, f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \quad .$$

But we didn't discuss about the arizing here Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, on which the quantized operators $\hat{f}, f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ act. So we had the so called *prequantization* . In general, from a symplectic manifold, one constructs the L^2-space on " coordinates ", but not on "impulsion ". The procedure of deleting from the coordinates of the symplectic manifold the half-number of "impulsion coordinates" is, roughly speaking, *polarization* .

4.1. Some ideas from physics. Let us consider a general symplectic manifold (M, ω), with the symplectic form

$$\omega(x) = \sum_{i,j=1, i<j}^{2k} a_{i,j}(x) dx^i \wedge dx^j$$

in local coordinates $x = (x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^{2k})$.

The so called *Darboux coordinates* are the local coordinates

$$(x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^{2k}) = (q^1, q^2, \ldots, q^k, p_1, \ldots, p_k)$$

such that in these local coordinates the symplectic form has the *canonical form*

$$\omega(p, q) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} dp_i \wedge dq^i \quad .$$

It is well-known in Differential Geometry that such a system of coordinates always exists. In these (p, q) -coordinates the Poisson brackets are just the *classical canonical commutation relations*

$$\begin{aligned} \{p_i, q^j\} &= \delta_{i,j}, \\ \{p_i, p_j\} &= 0, \\ \{q^i, q^j\} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the so called (classical) *polarization means a choice of a maximal commutative subalgebra $P(U) \subset C^\infty(U)$ in a fixed Darboux coordinate neighborhood $U \subset M$.*

There is a physical principle, asserting that the *local transformations* (i.e. *symmetry*) do not interchange *positions* (i.e. q-coordinates) with *impulsions* (i.e. p-coordinates). This means that *the stabilizer G_x at every point $x \in M$ normalizes the commutative subalgebra $P(U)$.* This means also that the semi - direct product $\mathfrak{h} := P(U) \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_x$ is some subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} = Lie G$, where $\mathfrak{g}_x := Lie G_x$.

In quantum mechanics, one considers the following model: One fixes a (separable) Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \cong L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$, consisting of the complex - valued functions with square-integrable module, and with the usual scalar product of functions

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f_1(q^1, \dots, q^k).\overline{f_2(q^1, \dots, q^k)} dq^1 \dots dq^k \quad .$$

In this model, the *quantum states* $\langle f|$ are represented by the normed vectors $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\| f \| = 1$, where by definition,

$$\| f \|^2 := \langle f, f \rangle \quad .$$

Some time the physicists note $\langle f|$ for this normed vector f. The *quantum quantities* are represented by the *normal, i.e.* $[A, A^*] \equiv 0$ *(perhaps, unbounded, but having the operator closure) operators* . Roughly speaking, a *quantum mechanical system* is a pair $(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{A})$ consisting of a Hilbert space and a *-algebra of normal operators. Their *measurable values* are just their eigenvalues. Therefore the commutator $[A, B]$ of two operators A and B has its eigenvalues as the difference of two measuring order of physical quantities, AB and BA. Therefore every physical quantity A and its *adjoint* quantity A^* are always *exactly commensurable* (i.e. can be together at any state without errors measurable. *Quantization* means a process associating

$$p_i \mapsto \hat{p}_i, i = 1, \dots, k \quad ,$$

$$q^i \mapsto \hat{q^i}, i = 1, \dots, k \quad ,$$

and more generally to every classical quantity, i.e. a smooth function, $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k)$ a quantum quantity $\hat{f} \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$f \mapsto \hat{f},$$

in such a way that

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}[\hat{p}_i, \hat{q^j}] = \widehat{\{p_i, q^j\}} = \delta_{ij} Id \quad ,$$

where $\hbar := \frac{h}{2\pi}$, h is the Planck constant.

This means that $f \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\hat{f}$, is a homomorphism from the Lie algebra of classical quantities with Poisson brackets to the Lie algebra with operator brackets, associated with the associate *-algebra of quantum quantities. In

particular, the classical commutation relations must be mapped into the corresponding quantum commutatiom relations.

The well-known *Stone-von Neumann theorem* asserts that *there exists a unique, up to unitary equivalence, solution of the quatum correspondence*

$$p_i \mapsto \hat{p}_i, q^i \mapsto \widehat{q^i},$$

satisfying the precedent commutation relations:

$\widehat{q^i} = q^i$, *multiplication by coordinate function* q^i
$\hat{p}_i = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$, *derivation by coordinate variable* p_i.

These operators act on the dense subspace $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^k) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$, consisting of the Schwartz class functions, and have normal operator closure on the Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^k)$, which are also Hilbert spaces.

This unique solution of the quantum correspondence

$$p_i \mapsto \hat{p}_i = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \quad ,$$

$$q^i \mapsto \widehat{q^j} = q^j \quad ,$$

satisfying the quantum commutation relations

$$[\hat{p}_i, \widehat{q^i}] = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\delta_{ij} Id \quad ,$$

can be also obtained from our geometric quantization procedure

$$f \mapsto \hat{f} := f + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\nabla_{\xi_f} \quad .$$

This explains the physical meaning of our procedure of geometric quantization.The central problem of constructing the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$ of quantum states is therefore reduced to the problem of delating the (q,p)-coordinates, which means delating a maximal commutative G_x-invariant subalgebra h od g, subordinating the functional $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ i.e. $\mathfrak{g}_x = Lie G_x \subset \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, h is a maximal subalgebra of g such that $\langle F, [\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}]\rangle \equiv 0 \quad$, what means the so called *polarization* , following the terminology of physicists. We are going now to some exact mathematical models of these physical ideas.

4.2. $(F,\tilde{\sigma})$**-polarizations and polarizations.** Let us now consider a co-Adjoint orbit $\Omega = G_F \setminus G$, and H_0 the connected closed subgroup corresponding to h. The last condition of polarization means that $\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle F, .\rangle$ is a 1-dimensional representation of

$\mathfrak{h}$ and therefore can be considered as the diferential of the character

$$\chi_F|_{(G_F)_0 \cap H_0} = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle F, .\rangle\right) \quad .$$

Suppose that the orbit Ω is admissible, i.e. there exists a representation denoted $\tilde{\sigma}\chi_F \in \hat{G}_F$ such that its restriction to the connected component $(G_F)_0$ is a multiple of the character χ_F, symbolically

$$\tilde{\sigma}\chi_F|_{(G_F)_0} = \text{mult}\,\chi_F \quad .$$

We have therefore a pair $(G_F, \tilde{\sigma}\chi_F)$ consisting of a group G_F and a representation $\tilde{\sigma}\chi_F$. From the Lie algebra point of view, this representation can be extended to representation of Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ Thus polarization proposes some extension of the pair $(G_F, \tilde{\sigma}\chi_F)$ to some pair (H, σ) consisting of $H := G_F \ltimes H_0$ and some representation σ such that $\sigma|_{G_F} = \mathrm{mult}\, \tilde{\sigma}\chi_F$.

REMARK 4.1. We consider the representations σ of, the restrictions of which to $(G_F)_0 \cap H_0$ are the multiples of χ_F. Following the theory of projective representations (see §1) they are in a one -to - one correspondence with the projective representations of $H/(G_F)_0 \cap H_0 \cong (G_F)_0 \setminus G_F$. Therefore we can consider them as linear representations, passing to the two-fold coverings of type $G_F^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} = G_F^{\mathfrak{g}}$ or $U(1)$-coverings of type $G_F^{U(1)}$.

DEFINITION 4.1. A *real* $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$*-polarization* (H, σ) is a maximal with respect to inclusion pair of group and irreducible representation, such that

(1) $G_F \subseteq H \subseteq G$, where H is a closed subgroup in G.

(2) The restriction of σ to G_F is a multiple of $\tilde{\sigma}\chi_F$;

$$\sigma|_{G_F} = \mathrm{mult}\, \tilde{\sigma}\chi_F \quad .$$

(3) $LieH = \mathfrak{h}$ is $\mathrm{Ad}\, G_F$-invariant and $\sigma|_{H_0} = \mathrm{mult}\, \sigma_0, \sigma_0 \in \hat{H}_0$ is G_F-fixed.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If* (H, σ) *is a* $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$*-polarization, then*

$$\mathrm{codim}_G H = \frac{1}{2} \dim \Omega_F \quad .$$

PROOF. Because

$$(G_F)_0 \cap H_0 \triangleleft H_0, \sigma_0 \in \hat{H}_0$$

and

$$\sigma|_{(G_F) \cap H_0} = \mathrm{mult}\, \chi_F \quad ,$$

there is a one - to - one correspondence between these $\sigma_0 \in \hat{H}_0$ such that $\sigma|_{H_0} = \mathrm{mult}\, \sigma_0$ and the projective representations of $((G_F)_0 \cap H_0) \setminus H_0$ or the linear representations of the coverings ($\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coverings or $U(1)$-coverings). Because

$$\sigma|_{(G_F)_0 \cap H_0} = \mathrm{mult}\, \chi_F \quad ,$$

we have

$$\sigma_0|_{(G_F)_0 \cap H_0} = \mathrm{mult}\, \chi_F \quad ,$$
$$\sigma|_{H_0} = \mathrm{mult}\, \chi_F \quad .$$

Thus

$$\langle F, [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \rangle \equiv 0 \quad .$$

As a linear space, $\mathfrak{h}$ is a maximal isotropic subspace of the Kirillov's form

$$\omega_F(.,.) := \langle F, [.,.] \rangle \quad .$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{codim}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{h} &= \tfrac{1}{2} rank \omega_F \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}(dim \mathfrak{g} - dim \operatorname{Ker} \omega_F) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}(dim \mathfrak{g} - dim \mathfrak{g}_F) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} dim \Omega_F.\end{aligned}$$

□

DEFINITION 4.2. A *polarization of orbit* Ω_F *at point* F is a maximal with respect to inclusion pair $(\mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$, consisting of a Lie subalgebra h and a representation σ such that:

(1) $\mathfrak{g}_F \subseteq \mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$. Denote the (connected) analytic subgroup corresponding to h,

(2) subgroup $H := G_F \ltimes H_0$ is closed in G,

(3) the subalgebra h is $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant,

(4) $\sigma|_{(G_F)_0} = \operatorname{mult} \chi_F$,

(5) $\sigma|_{H_0} = \operatorname{mult} \sigma_0, \sigma_0 \in \hat{H}_0$ and σ_0 is G_F-fixed.

REMARK 4.2. It is easy to see that from a $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization (H, σ) one can construct a polarization $(\mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$ and vice-versa.

In oder to obtain some irreducible representations by the construction, one consider a restricted condition:

L. Pukanszky condition 4.6. *Let us denote* $p : \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ *the dual map to the inclusion* $\mathfrak{h} \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathfrak{g}$*. The affine subspace* $p^{-1}(pF) = F + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ *is contained in the orbit* Ω_F*.*

DEFINITION 4.3. If the Pukanszky is satisfied, we say that F is *well-admissible* and h is an *admissible polarization* at F.

REMARK 4.3. If $\mathfrak{h}$ is an admissible polarization at F then by translations, $\operatorname{Ad}_g(\mathfrak{h})$ is an admissible polarization at $K(g)F \in \Omega_F$. Therefore we say that Ω is an *admissible orbit.*

Some more general notion of $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization and polarization can be obtained in passing to the complex domain.

4.3. Complex polarizations.

DEFINITION 4.4. *A complex* $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$*-polarization* $(\mathfrak{p}, H, \sigma)$ is a maximal quadruple satisfying the folowing conditions:

(a) p is a complex subalgebra of the complexified $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that $(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

(b) p is $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant.

(c) $\mathfrak{h} := (\mathfrak{p} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{m} := (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$ are real subalgebras.

(d) There exists the closed subgroups H and M such that $H \subset M \subset G$, where $LieH = \mathfrak{h}, LieM = \mathfrak{m}$.

(e) σ is an *irreducible* representation, $\langle\sigma\rangle \in \hat{H}$ such that $\sigma|_{G_F} \simeq \operatorname{mult} \tilde{\sigma}$.

(f) ρ is a complex representation of $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\rho|_{\mathfrak{h}} = D\sigma$, the differential of σ.

Definition 4.5. A *complex polarization* of Ω at F is a triple $(\mathfrak{p}, \rho, \sigma_0)$, satisfying the following conditions:

(a) $\mathfrak{p}$ is a complex Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that $(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$,

(b) $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant,

(c) there exist the real Lie subalgebras m and h of g such that

$$\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}} \quad , \quad \mathfrak{m} := (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g} \quad ,$$

$$\mathfrak{p} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \quad ; \quad \mathfrak{h} := (\mathfrak{p} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g} \quad ,$$

(d) the subgroups M_0, H_0, M, H are closed, where M_0 and H_0 are the analytic subgroups corresponding to the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$, respectively, and $M := G_F \ltimes M_0, H := G_F \ltimes H_0$,

(e) $\sigma_0 \in \hat{H}_0$ and the point $\langle\sigma_0\rangle$ in the dual object $\hat{H}_0$ is fixed under the action of G_F and $\sigma_0|_{G_F \cap H_0} = \operatorname{mult} \tilde{\sigma}\chi_F|_{G_F \cap H_0}$,

(f) ρ is a complex representation of $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\rho|_{\mathfrak{h}} \simeq D\sigma$, the differential of σ.

Theorem 4.1. *There is a one - to - one correspondence between the complex $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarizations $(\mathfrak{p}, \rho, H, \sigma)$ and the complex polarizations $(\mathfrak{p}, \rho, \sigma_0)$.*

Proof. It is clear that from a $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization $(\mathfrak{p}, \rho, H, \sigma)$, one can construct easily a polarization $(\mathfrak{p}, \rho, \sigma_0)$. Conversely, considering the surjection

$$G_F \times H_0 \longrightarrow H := G_F \ltimes H_0$$

with kernel $G_F \cap H_0$. From our assumptions, we have

$$\tilde{\sigma}\chi_F|_{G_F \cap H_0} \simeq \sigma_0|_{G_F \cap H_0} \quad .$$

Because σ_0 is G_F-fixed we can construct a representation σ of $H = G_F \ltimes H_0$, such th at

$$\sigma|_{G_F} \simeq \tilde{\sigma}\chi_F \quad ,$$

$$\sigma|_{H_0} \simeq \sigma_0 \quad .$$

It is easy now to see from the definition that $(\mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma)$ is a $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization. The theorem is proved. □

4.4. Weak Lagrangian distributions.

REMARK 4.4. We say that an integrable G_F-invariant tangent distribution L on $\Omega \approx G_F \setminus G$ is *weak Lagrangian* iff the semidirect product of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{p} := (\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}} \times \Gamma_G(L)$, where by $\Gamma_G(L)$ we denote the space of all the G-invariant sections of the tangent distribution L, is a complex Lie algebra and the representation $\tilde{\sigma}\chi_F$ of G_F can be extended to a representation of $H = G_F \ltimes H_0$ and to a complex representation ρ of $\mathfrak{p}$, satisfying the conditions in the definition 4.10 above.

DEFINITION 4.6. We say that this distribution is *closed* iff the groups M_0, H_0, M, H are closed, where by definition, M_0 and H_0 are the analytic subgroups corresponding to the Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{m} := (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h} := (\mathfrak{p} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g} \quad ,$$

respectively, and

$$M := G_F \ltimes M_0, H := G_F \ltimes H_0 \quad .$$

Thus *there is a bijection between the $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarizations and the closed weak Lagrangian G-invariant integrable tangent distributions.*

4.5. Duflo data. In general, the quotient group $(G_F \cap H_0) \setminus H_0$ is noncommutative. We considered in our definition of polarizations $\sigma_0 \in \hat{H}_0$, which is fixed under the adjoint action of G_F and the condition

$$\sigma_0|_{H_0 \cap G_F} = \operatorname{mult} \tilde{\sigma}\chi_F|_{H_0 \cap G_F} \quad .$$

The representations of this type are in a one - to -one correspondence with the projective representations of group $(H_0 \cap G_F) \setminus H_0$. In the particular case, where we are taking not multi- but one-dimemsional representations σ_0, we obtain the single corresponding projective representation. As a projective represntation of Lie group, it can be lifted to a linear representation in considering the coverings. Following M. Duflo, we consider now the two - fold covering $H_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} = H_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of H_0

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to H_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \to H_0 \to 1 \quad .$$

We denote the generator of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by ε and define the lifted character $\chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $H_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ by the condition

$$\chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}}(\varepsilon) = -1 \quad .$$

Then we consider the so called *odd representations* $\sigma_0 \in \widehat{H_0^{\mathfrak{g}}}$ such that

$$\sigma_0|_{G_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \cap H_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} = \operatorname{mult} \tilde{\sigma}\chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \quad .$$

We have therefore

$$\langle F, [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \rangle \equiv 0 \quad .$$

Thus passing to the two-fold coverings $G_F^{\mathfrak{g}}, (G_F)_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, H_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, H^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $G_F, (G_F)_0, H_0, H$, respectively, we can in this particular situation consider the real polarization $(\mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$ simply as a maxim al possible with respect to inclusion Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, satisfying the following conditions:

(a) $\mathfrak{g}_F \subseteq \mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie subalgebra. Let H_0 be the corresponding analytic subgroup.

(b) $\mathfrak{h}$ is $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant.

(c) H_0 and $H := G_F \ltimes H_0$ are closed subgroups in G.

(d) $\langle F, [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \rangle \equiv 0$.

One can easily generalize this notion of polarization, passing into the complex domain.

Definition 4.7. A *(complex) polarization* is a (complex) maximal with respect to inclusion Lie subalgebra p of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(a) $(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

(b) p is $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant.

(c) $\mathfrak{h} := (\mathfrak{p} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ and $m := (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$ are real Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$.

(d) H_0, M_0, H, M are closed subgroups in G, where H_0 and M_0 are the analytic subgroups, corresponding to the real Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}$ said above, $H := G_F \ltimes H_0, M := G_F \ltimes M_0$

Then one considers a fixed representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ such that its restriction to $(G_F)_0$ is a multiple of the character χ_F and lift it to the odd character $\chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}_F}$ of the two - fold covering $G_F^{\mathfrak{g}_F}$.

Definition 4.8. The pair $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$ is called a *Duflo's datum* and the complex Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ is called a *polariszation* in the Duflo's theory. Now consider any representation σ, satisfying the conditions:

(e) $\langle \sigma \rangle \in \widehat{H^{\mathfrak{g}}}, \sigma|_{(G_F)_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} = \operatorname{mult} \tilde{\sigma} \chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

(f) there is a complex contination of the representation $D\sigma$ of the real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ to a complex representation ρ of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ such that the E. Nelson's conditions are satisfied.

We return then to our theory, exposed above.

Remark 4.5. This particular case, $\dim \sigma = 1$ correspondence to the case of *Lagrangian* G_F-invariant integrable tangent distributions.

We finish this section by recalling a theorem of M. Vergne on existence of polarizations. The reader could find more detail in the original works of M. Vergne.

(1) Let (V, ω) be a symplectic vector space and suppose that

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_n = V \tag{$\mathfrak{S}$}$$

is a chain of vector subspaces such that:

$$\dim V_k = k, k = 0, 1, \ldots, n \quad .$$

Define

$$\omega_k := \omega|_{V_k}$$

and

$$W(\mathfrak{S}, \omega) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Ker} \omega_k \quad .$$

Then $W(\mathfrak{S}, \omega)$ is a maximal isotropic subspace for ω.

(2) If V is a Lie algebra and $(\mathfrak{S})$ is a chain of ideals $V_k \triangleleft V$ and $\omega = \omega_F$ is the Kirillov's form for some $F \in V^*$, then $W(\mathfrak{S}, \omega)$ is a maximal isotropic subalgebra.

THEOREM 4.2 (**Real version of M. Vergne's Theorem**). *Let G be a real exponential (i.e. the exponential map is a diffeomorphism) (therfore, solvable) Lie group, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := Lie G, F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Then there exists a polarization $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ such that*

(1) $\mathfrak{h} = W(\mathfrak{S}, \omega_F)$ for some chain of ideals $(\mathfrak{S})$,

(2) $\mathfrak{h}$ is $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant,

(3) $\langle F, [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \rangle \equiv 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{h}$ is a maximal isotropic subalgebra of ω_F,

(4) $\mathfrak{h}$ satisfies the Pukanszky condition:

$$F + \mathfrak{h}^\perp \subseteq \Omega_F \quad .$$

5. Bibliographical Remarks

The first idea about a general construction of multi-dimensional quantization procedure was appeared in 1979-1980 in [**D5**] and [**D12**]. Later it was largely developed in many works [**D4**]-[**D6**], [**D11**], [**Du1**]-[**Du3**].

CHAPTER 7

Partially invariant holomorphly induced representations

1. Holomorphly Induced Representations. Lie Derivative

From a $(F,\tilde{\sigma})$-polarization $(\mathfrak{p},H,\rho,\sigma)$ we can produce some representation of G on the space of partially invariant partially holomorphic sections of some induced bundle. After that we shall show that the Lie derivative of this representation is just the representation of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, arising from the procedure of geometric (multidimensional) quantization.

1.1. Partially invariant holomorphly induced representations.

Definition 1.1. Let D be a closed (not necessarily connected) subgroup of G, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d} := \operatorname{Lie} D$. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be a fixed unitary (not necessarily irreducible) of D in a Hilbert space $\tilde{V}$. Then a $(D,\tilde{\sigma})$-*polarization* $(\mathfrak{p},H;\rho,\sigma)$ will be any *maximal with respect to inclusion quadruple* , satisfying the following conditions:

(a) $\mathfrak{p}$ is a complex subalgebra such that, $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

(b) $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\operatorname{Ad} D$-invariant.

(c) H is a closed subgroup of G such that its Lie algebra is just $\operatorname{Lie} H = \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}$.

(d) There exists a closed subgroup M of G with Lie algebra

$$\operatorname{Lie} M := \mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g} \quad .$$

(e) σ is an irreducible representation of H, $\langle\sigma\rangle \in \hat{H}$, such that $\sigma|_D = \operatorname{mult} \tilde{\sigma}$.

(f) ρ is a complex representation of $\mathfrak{p}$ such that all the conditions of E. Nelson are satisfied and that the corresponding to σ Lie algebra representation $D\sigma, \rho|_{\mathfrak{h}} = D\sigma$.

Example 1.1. Let us consider $D = G_F$, $\tilde{\sigma}\chi_F \in$ *Rep*(G_F) and a (F,σ)-polarization $(\mathfrak{p},\rho,H,\sigma)$ of Ω. it is easy to check that we have $(\mathfrak{p},\rho,H,\sigma)$ as a $(D,\tilde{\sigma}\chi_F)$-polarization.

Consider the principal bundle

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D \arrow[r] & G \arrow[d] \\
& X = D \setminus G
\end{tikzcd} \quad .$$

It is a locally trivial principal bundle. Fix a *connection* on it (i.e. a *trivialization*). Let us consider a $(D, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization $(\mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma)$ and a fixed connection Γ on the principal bundle

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H \arrow[r] & G \arrow[d] \\
& H \setminus G.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the associated with respect to the projection map $D \setminus G \overset{p}{\twoheadrightarrow} H \setminus G$ principal bundle

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D \arrow[r] & G \arrow[d] \\
& D \setminus G.
\end{tikzcd}$$

There is the so called associated connection $\overline{\Gamma}$ on it such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D \arrow[r] & G \arrow[d, "\overline{\Gamma}"] & & H \arrow[r] & G \arrow[d, "\Gamma"] \\
& D \setminus G \arrow[rrr, two heads, "p"] & & & H \setminus G.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have a representation σ of H and $\sigma|_D = \text{mult}\, \tilde{\sigma}$ of D. Therefore we have also the corresponding affine connections ∇ and $\overline{\nabla}$ on the vector bundles $\mathcal{E}_V(H \setminus G)$ and $p^*\mathcal{E}_V(D \setminus G)$, respectively, such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[r] & \mathcal{E}_V \arrow[d, "\nabla"] & & \tilde{V} \arrow[r] & p^*\mathcal{E}_V \arrow[d, "\overline{\nabla}"] \\
& H \backslash G & & & D \backslash G. \arrow[lll, "p"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore the corresponding spaces of sections could be included as follows

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_V(H \setminus G), \nabla) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_V(D \setminus G), \overline{\nabla}) \quad .$$

The image of this inclusion is just the space

$$\{s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_V, \overline{\nabla}); s \ is \ left \ H - invariant\}.$$

We consider also the next projection

$$G \twoheadrightarrow D \setminus G$$

and we have by analogy the diagram of triple vector bundles with connection

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p_1^*p_2^*\mathcal{E}_V \arrow[d, "\overline{\overline{\nabla}}"] & p_2^*\mathcal{E}_V \arrow[d, "\overline{\nabla}"] & \mathcal{E}_V \arrow[d, "\nabla"] \\
G \arrow[r, "p_1"] & D\backslash G \arrow[r, "p_2"] & H\backslash G
\end{tikzcd} .$$

Remark that the last bundle

$$V \rightarrowtail p_1^*p_2^*\mathcal{E}_V \twoheadrightarrow G$$

is trivial, and we can identify the sections of this bundle with $\tilde{V}$-valued functions on G. It is easy to see that

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_V) \cong \{f \in C(G,V); f(hx) = \sigma(h)f(x), \forall h \in H, \forall x \in G\},$$

$$\Gamma(p_2^*\mathcal{E}_V) \cong \{f \in C(G,V); f(hx) = \sigma|_D(h)f(x), \forall h \in D, \forall x \in G\} \quad .$$

Definition 1.2. Section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_V)$ is called *partially invariant and partially holomorphic* iff its covariant derivatives $\nabla_\xi, \xi \in \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$, along the directions of $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ vanish.

Theorem 1.1. *The space $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma}(H \setminus G))$ of partially invariant and partially holomorphic sections of the induced bundle $\mathcal{E}_V(H \setminus G)$ is invariant subspace in $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_V)$ and is isomorphic to the space $C^\infty(G;\mathfrak{p},H,\rho,\sigma)$ of the $\tilde{V}$-valued functions f on G, satisfying the following equations*

$$f(hx) = \sigma(h)f(x), \forall x \in G, \forall h \in H \quad ,$$

$$[L_X + \rho(\xi_X)]f = 0, \forall X \in \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \quad ,$$

where, by definition, $L_X := L_{\xi_X}$ is the Lie derivation along the vector field ξ_X, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Recall the action L_X :

$$(L_Xf)(x) := \frac{d}{dt}f(\exp{(-tX)}x)|_{t=0}$$

and

$$f(hx) = \sigma(h)f(x)$$

are the left action. Therefore $C^\infty(G;\mathfrak{p},H,\rho,\sigma)$ is a G-invariant subspace with respect to the right translations.

By analogy, we have an inclusion

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_V)$$

and it is a G-invariant subspace. Looking at the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[r] & G \times V \cong p^*\mathcal{E}_V \arrow[d] & V \arrow[r] & \mathcal{E}_V \arrow[d, "\nabla"] \\
 & G \arrow[rr, two heads, "p"] & & H \setminus G
\end{tikzcd} ,$$

we have the natural isomorphism

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma}(H \setminus G)) \cong C^\infty(G; \mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma) \quad .$$

The proof of the theorem is here achieved. □

Remark 1.1. It is easy to see that the partially invariant and partially holomorphic sections of the induced bundle $\mathcal{E}_V(H \setminus G)$ form a structural sheaf of the *partially invariant holomorphly induced bundle* , noted $\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma}$

Definition 1.3. The natural action of G on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma})$, isomorphic to the right translations on $C^\infty(G; \mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma)$, is called the *partially invariant and holomorphly induced representation* of G and denoted by $\operatorname{Ind}(G; \mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma)$.

Remark 1.2. Our partially invariant and partially holomorphic sections are just the image of the partially holomorphic in the sense of R. B. Blattner sections with respect to the inclusion

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma}(H \setminus G)) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho|_{\mathfrak{d}},\sigma|_D}(D \setminus G)) \quad .$$

1.2. Unitarization. Let us denote by Δ_H and Δ_G the *modular functions* (for the Haar measures) of H and G, respectively. Then

$$\delta = \sqrt{\Delta_H / \Delta_G}$$

is a non-unitary character of H. We have the so called $\frac{1}{2}$-*density bundle*

$$\mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} := G \times_{D,\delta} \mathbb{C}$$

on $D \setminus G$. The bundle

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\rho,\sigma}(H \setminus G) := \mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma}(H \setminus G) \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is a G-bundle oner $X = D \setminus G$. Because σ is unitary, for every section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma})$,

$$\| s \|_V^2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}) \quad ,$$

where by definition $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the so called *density bundle.* We define the scalar product on the vector space $\Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\rho,\sigma})$ by the formula

$$(s_1, s_2) := \int_{H \setminus G} (s_1(x), s_2(x))_V dx \quad .$$

Let us denote the completion of $\Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\rho,\sigma}(H \setminus G))$ by $L^2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\rho,\sigma})$. it is a Hilbert space, which can be identified with the Hilbert space $L^2(G; \mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma)$,consisting of V-valued functions $f : G \to V$, satisfying the equations

$$f(hx) = \sigma(h)\sqrt{\Delta_H(h)/\Delta_G(h)} f(x), \forall x \in G, \forall h \in H \quad ,$$

$$(L_X + \rho(X) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}} \operatorname{ad} X) f = 0, \forall X \in \bar{\mathfrak{p}} \quad ,$$

with the scalar product norm

$$\int_{H\backslash G} \| f(x) \|_V^2 \, dx < \infty \quad .$$

From now on we mean $\operatorname{Ind}(G; \mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma)$ this unitarized representation of G on $L^2(G; \mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma) \cong L^2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\rho,\sigma})$.

1.3. Lie derivation. Remember that Lie_ξ is a functor which can be applied to functions, sections, differential forms, vector fields,... and also to representations, and gives some infinitesimal ones. We apply here this functor Lie_ξ to our induced representation to have an infinitesimal representation of our Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie} G$.

THEOREM 1.2. *For every X in $\mathfrak{g}$,*

$$\operatorname{Lie}_X \operatorname{Ind}(G; \mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma) = \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{f}_X \quad .$$

REMARK 1.3. The quantization procedure gives us some Lie algebra representation of type

$$\Lambda : X \mapsto \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{f}_X \quad .$$

This is "local version " of the theory. The construction of partially invariant holomorphly induced representations gives us a "global theory" of group representations. The theorem asserts the relationship between the local and global constructions.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM.

First of all, recall the construction of the Hilbert bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\rho,\sigma}$. By definition, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\rho,\sigma} \cong G \times_{G_F} (\delta\sigma, V) = (G \times V)/\sim \quad ,$$

where by definition,

$$(g, v) \sim (g', v') \Longleftrightarrow g' = hg, v' = \delta(h)\sigma(h)v \quad ,$$

for some $h \in G_F$. The representation $\delta\sigma : G_F \to \mathbb{U}(V) \times \mathbb{C}$ is unitary projective, $\delta\sigma(G_F) \subset \mathbb{U}(V) \times \mathbb{C}$. The image of this representation is a finite dimensional topological subgroup, and then by the positive solution of the well-known Hilbert problem is a Lie subgroup, of $\mathbb{U}(V) \times \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, in virtue of the Stone theorem, every one-parameter subgroup of $\mathbb{U}(V) \times \mathbb{C}$ has a skew-selfadjoint generator, say $A = -A^*$ and the 1-parameter subgroup self is therefore of form

$$u_t = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} tA\right), t \in \mathbb{R} \quad ,$$

we can thus define the derivative of 1-parameter subgroups.

Recall now the definition of Lie derivative of bundle homomorphisms, following Y. Koschmann-Schwarzbach. Let us consider two G-bundles $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ over $D \setminus G$ of type $\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma}$. Then G acts also on the homomorphism bundle

$$\operatorname{End} V \rightarrowtail \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') \twoheadrightarrow D \setminus G = M \quad .$$

Consider $(u, u_M) \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}')$,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{E} \arrow[r, "u"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{E}' \arrow[d] \\
M \arrow[r, "u_M"] & M
\end{tikzcd} \quad .$$

Then G-action on $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}')$ is just

$$g.(u, u_M) := (g.u.g^{-1}, g.u_M.g^{-1}) \quad .$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $g_t = \exp(tX) \subset G$ be the corresponding 1-parameter subgroup. We can define

$$X.u := \frac{d}{dt}(g_t.u)|_{t=0} \quad ,$$

which exists following the Stone theorem.

Remark 1.4. (1) $X.u$ is a differential operator of degree 1,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{E} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] & & u_M^*\mathcal{E}' \arrow[dl] & \mathcal{E}' \arrow[d] \\
& M \arrow[rr, "u_M"] & & M
\end{tikzcd} \quad ,$$

$$X.u = X_{\varepsilon}.u - u.X_{\varepsilon'} \quad ,$$

where X_{ε} is a differential operator in $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ and $X_{\varepsilon'}$ is a differential operator in $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}')$.

(2) Consider the particular case, where $u_M = \mathrm{Id}$. Then

$$X.u \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') = \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \mathcal{E}' \quad ,$$

is the Lie derivative of G-action on $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}'$.

(3) Consider the case where $\mathcal{E} = M$. Then u is some section and then

$$X.u = \nabla_X u$$

is just the covariant derivative of u along the vector field ξ_X.

Return now to our situation of quantization. We have a $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization $(\mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma)$ and we can consider the inclusion

$$\Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\rho+\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}),\delta\sigma}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\rho+\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}),\delta\sigma}) \quad ,$$

$$p : G_F \setminus G \twoheadrightarrow H \setminus G \quad .$$

Let us consider

$$\overline{\nabla} = p^*\nabla \quad .$$

We have

$$\nabla_{\xi_X} = L_{\xi_X} + \frac{i}{\hbar}\alpha_1(\xi_X) \quad ,$$

where

$$\alpha_1(\xi_X) := \rho(X) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}} \operatorname{ad} X$$

is the differential of the representation $\delta\sigma|_{G_F}$.

Consider the differential form β on $M = G_F \setminus G$, defined by the formula

$$\langle \beta, \xi \rangle (y) := \langle y, \xi(F) \rangle, \forall y \in M \quad .$$

The expression $\langle \beta, \xi_X \rangle$ can be considered also as the generating function of vector field ξ_X,

$$\langle \beta, \xi_X \rangle (y) = \langle y, \xi_X(F) \rangle = \langle y, X \rangle = f_X(y) \quad .$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{array}{rl} \nabla_{\xi_X} & = L_{\xi_X} + \frac{i}{\hbar}\alpha_1(\xi_X) \\ & = L_{\xi_X} + \frac{i}{\hbar} f_X + \frac{i}{\hbar}(\alpha_1(\xi_X) - \langle \beta, \xi_X \rangle) \\ & = \frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{f}_X. \end{array}$$

The theorem is proved.

Example 1.2. The degenerate principal series representations of semi-simple Lie groups can be obtained from our construction, as

$$\operatorname{Ind}_P^G((\tau \times \sigma) \otimes \nu), \sigma \in \hat{M}_{disc}, P = MAU \quad ,$$

where $G = KP$ is the well-known Gauss decomposition.

2. The Irreducible Representations of Nilpotent Lie Groups

In this section we shall show the Duflo's construction of irreducible representations as a particular case of our construction of partially invariant and holomorphly induced representations. This Duflo's construction proposes to pass to the two-fold covering of stabilizers. It is natural to consider the so called Shale-Weil construction. In the particular case of nilpotent Lie groups, where the stabilizers are connected, the results give us the original Kirillov orbit method.

2.1. Duflo construction. Recall that our multidimensional quantization procedure starts with a $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization $(\mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma_0)$, satisfying the conditions (a)-(f) of the definition 4.10. This is equivalent to giving a $(G_F, \tilde{\sigma}\chi_F)$ -polarization $(\mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma)$ of the definition 5.1. In general case, σ_0 is an arbitrary irreducible unitary representation of H_0 such that its restriction to $G_F \cap H_0$ is equivalent to the restriction of $\tilde{\sigma}\chi_F$ to $G_F \cap H_0$, symbolically

$$\sigma_0|_{G_F \cap H_0} \simeq \tilde{\sigma}\chi_F|_{G_F \cap H_0} \quad .$$

For example the degenerate principal series representations of semi-simple Lie groups can be obtained in this way.

For the particular case, where $\sigma_0 = \chi_F$, one can consider the two-fold coverings of type

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \{1, \varepsilon\} \to G_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \to G_F \to 1 \quad ,$$

and

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to H_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \to H_0 \to 1 \quad ,$$

which is obtained by restriction from

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to H^{\mathfrak{g}} \to H := G_F \ltimes H_0 \to 1 \quad ,$$

and some unitary representation $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{H_0^{\mathfrak{g}}}$, such that

$$\hat{\sigma}_0|_{G_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \cap H_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} \simeq \tilde{\sigma}\chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}}|_{G_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \cap H_0^{\mathfrak{g}F}} \quad ,$$

where the *odd character* $\chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}F}$ is the lifted character of the two-fold covering $H^{\mathfrak{g}}$ from the character χ_F of H, such that

$$\chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}}(\varepsilon) = -1 \quad .$$

As it was remarked, considering only the particular case with the lifted $\hat{\sigma}$ in place of un-lifted σ, we can restrict our consideration to the Duflo's data, and polarization. Interesting to remark that the resulting representation is in fact independent from a particular polarization. It depends therefore only on the Duflo's data.

DEFINITION 2.1. A *Duflo Datum* is a pair $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$, consisting of *admissible and well polarizable functional* $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and a some irreducible representation $\tilde{\sigma} \in \hat{G}_F$ of the stabilizer G_F. Recall that admissibility of F means existence such an irreducible representation, and the good polarizability of F means that there exists a maximal possible (therefore of half dimension of the orbit) (complex, solvable following M. Duflo) subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied :

(a) $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

(b) $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant.

(c) $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{m} := (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$ are (real) Lie sub-algebras. Let us denote by H_0 and M_0, the analytic subgroups of G corresponding to $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$, respectively, $H := G_F \ltimes H_0$, and $M := G_F \ltimes M_0$. Then H_0, M_0, H, M are closed.

(d) There exists $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{H^{\mathfrak{g}}}$, such that

$$\hat{\sigma}|_{(G_F)_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} = \operatorname{mult} \chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \quad .$$

(e) $\langle F, [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \rangle \equiv 0$.

(f) There exists a complex representation ρ of the complex Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$, such that its restriction to the real part $\mathfrak{h}$ is coincided with the character $\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle F, .\rangle$ and the E. Nelson conditions are satisfied.

Remark 2.1. The condition 6.1(e) is often referred as the *subordinateness condition* .In the construction of irreducible unitary representations one assumes always the Pukanszky condition (see Theorem 4.17).

Remark 2.2. As it was remarked, the Duflo's data are defined as the pairs of admissible well-polarizable functional F and some irreducible representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ of G_F, the restriction of which to the connected component $(G_F)_0$ are multiples of the character χ_F. This character and representation, can be lifted to the two-fold coverings

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \{1, \varepsilon\} \to G_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \to G_F \to 1 \quad ,$$

and

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \{1, \varepsilon\} \to H^{\mathfrak{g}} \to H \to 1 \quad .$$

Therefore we can have also an equivalent version of Duflo's data.

Definition 2.2. A *(lifted) Duflo datum* is a pair $(F, \hat{\sigma})$ of an admissible and well-polarizable functional $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and an odd irreducible unitary representation $\hat{\sigma} \in \hat{G}_F^{\mathfrak{g}}$, such that

$$\hat{\sigma}|_{(G_F)_0^{\mathfrak{g}F}} \simeq \operatorname{mult} \chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \quad .$$

2.2. Metaplectic Shale-Weil representation. Let us consider a symplectic vector space (V, ω). To this vector space corresponds the *Heisenberg Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{h}_{2n}(V)$, which is $V \oplus \mathbb{R}$ as a real vector space and with the *commutation relations* :

$$[v \oplus t, v' \oplus t'] = \omega(v, v') \quad .$$

Let $\mathbb{H}_{2n}(V) := \exp \mathfrak{h}_{2n}(V)$ be the corresponding simply connected Lie group. It is called the *Heisenberg group* , corresponding to the symplectic space (V, ω).

Let $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n, q^1, \ldots, q^n$ be a symplectic " orthonormal" basis of V with respect to the symplectic form ω, i.e.

$$\omega(p_i, q^j) = \delta_{ij}, \forall i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \quad .$$

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{cent} \mathfrak{h}_{2n}(V) \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $cent\mathbb{H}_{2n}(V) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Following the well-known **Stone-von Neumann Theorem :** *There exists a unique, up* *to unitary equivalence, unitary representation T of $\mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that it restriction to the center of $\mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$ is a multiple of the character χ, define d by*

$$\chi(\exp t) = \exp\,(\frac{i}{\hbar}t)\,\mathrm{Id} \quad .$$

Its differential is the representation DT of the Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{2n}(V)$, defined by :

$p_i \mapsto \hat{p}_i := \frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}$, *the i^{th} partial derivation,*

$q^i \mapsto \widehat{q^i} := q^i$, *multiplication with the coordinate function q^i,*

$t \mapsto \hat{t} := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}t.$

These differential operators are defined on the dense subspace $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of the Schwartz class functions, in the representation space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

From the point of view of the orbit method, this representation T corresponds to the parameter $t^* = 1^* \in \mathfrak{h}_{2n}(V)^*$, where $\{p_1^*, \dots, p_n^*, (q^1)^*, \dots, (q^1)^*, 1^*\}$ is the dual basis of $\mathfrak{h}_{2n}(V)^*$ and the real p polarization $(\mathfrak{h}, \chi_{1^*})$, generated by the p-coordinates $p_1, \dots, p_n$.

The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ acts on V and we can consider the semi-direct product $\mathrm{Sp}(V) \ltimes \mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$. We want to extend the representation T of the normal closed subgroup $\mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$ to some representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(V) \ltimes \mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$ and also its subgroups. This question is solved by the Shale-Weil theorem, what follows. Recall that the fundamental group $\pi_1 \mathrm{Sp}(V) = \mathbb{Z}$. Then to the quotient group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \{1, \varepsilon\}$, there exists a two-fold covering $\mathrm{Mp}(V)$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$, called the metaplectic group, i.e. there is an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \{1, \varepsilon\} \to \mathrm{Mp}(V) \to \mathrm{Sp}(V) \to 1 \quad .$$

THEOREM 2.1 (**Shale-Weil Theorem**). *There exists a unique representation S of $\mathrm{Mp}(V)$ in $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, for every $\hat{x} \in \mathrm{Mp}(V)$, with image $x \in \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$,*

$$S(\hat{x})T(n)S(\hat{x})^{-1} = T(x.n) \quad ,$$

$$S(\varepsilon) = -\,\mathrm{Id} \quad .$$

REMARK 2.3. The two-fold covering $\mathrm{Mp}(V)$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ is called the *metaplectic group* and the representation S of $\mathrm{Mp}(V)$, extending the representation T of $\mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$ is called the *metaplectic representation* or the *Shale-Weil representation.*

COROLLARY 2.1. *Every representation* $\tilde{\sigma} \in \widehat{\mathrm{Sp}(V)}$*, realized in the Hilbert space* $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ *can be considered as a representation of the semi-direct product* $\mathrm{Sp}(V) \ltimes \mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$*, which is trivial on the normal subgroup* $\mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$*, or as a representation of* $\mathrm{Mp}(V) \ltimes \mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$*, which is trivial on the normal*

s

subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{H}_{2n}(V) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$*. Therefore,* $\tilde{\sigma} \otimes S.T$ *is a representation of* $\mathrm{Mp}(V) \ltimes \mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$ *such that its restriction to* $\mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$ *i*

s a multiple of T*,*

$$\tilde{\sigma} \otimes S.T|_{\mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)} \simeq \mathrm{mult}\, T \quad .$$

Conversely,every representation of $\mathrm{Sp}(V) \ltimes \mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$*, which is a multiple of* T *on* $\mathbb{H}_{2n}(V)$ *can be considered as a projective representation of* $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$*. This projective representation can be transformed into a linear (unitary) representation, if the Mackey obstruction cocycle is trivial. Often one can do this for Lie groups, by going to the two - fold coverings of type*

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{Mp}(V) \to \mathrm{Sp}(V) \to 1 \quad ,$$

or to the $U(1)$*-coverings,* $U(1) \cong \mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$*, of type*

$$1 \to U(1) \to \mathrm{Mp}^c(V) \to \mathrm{Sp}(V) \to 1 \quad .$$

The first version will be done in the Duflo's construction. The second version will be done in more advanced studies.

This mechanism of extension of representations is now applied to our orbit method.

2.3. Irreducible unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups. Let G be a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}\, G$ its Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}^*$ the dual vector space and $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ a fixed functional. In this situation, $G_F = (G_F)_0$, and every functional F is integral. Following Theorem 4.2, there exists real polarizations $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, which is $\mathrm{Ad}\, G_F$-invariant, maximal isotropic with respect to the Kirillov form $\omega_F(.,.) = \langle F, [.,.] \rangle$, satisfying the Pukanszky conditions and which is positive in the following sense :

For every (not necessarily real) polarization $\mathfrak{p}, \omega_F|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F}$induces some Hermitian form $i\omega_F(v, \bar{v})$, $v \in \mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{C}}$. The last induces a unique Hermitian mapping on l. The *positivity condition* asserts that the negative inner index of ω_F vanishes,

$$q(\mathfrak{p}) := \#\{strictly\ negative\ eigenvalues\} = 0 \quad .$$

With this Hermitian form ω_F, we can consider χ_F as an 1-dimensional unitary representation of $H = H_0$, in this case, and we can induce it to obtain $\mathrm{Ind}(G; \mathfrak{h}, F)$.

Theorem 2.2 (**A. Kirillov, B. Kostant, L. Auslander etc.**). *(1) The representations* $\mathrm{Ind}(G;\mathfrak{h},F)$ *for real polarizations and the representations* $\mathrm{Ind}(G;\mathfrak{p},\rho,F)$ *for complex polarizations , satisfying the Pukanszky condition, are irreducible.*

(2) These representations are independent from polarizations $\mathfrak{h}$*, from the fixed point* F*, but only from the orbit* $\Omega=\Omega_F$*. We denote them therefore by* T_Ω*.*

(3) The correspondence

$$\Omega\in\mathcal{O}(G)\mapsto T_\Omega\in\hat{G}$$

is a homeomorphism,

$$\mathfrak{g}^*/G=\mathcal{O}(G)\approx\hat{G}\quad .$$

Remark 2.4. We omit a detailed proof of this theorem, remarking only that to prove (1), one reduces the representations to the tensor products of two extended representations of some Heisenberg groups corresponding to the polarizations. To prove (2), one remarks that by changing the point $F\in\Omega$, the polarizations are changed by conjugations, what does not change the equivalent classes of representations. To prove (3), one considers in more detail the topology of the orbit space $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$ and the well-known continuity of the induced representation functor Ind_H^G.

2.4. Irreducible representations of extensions of nilpotent Lie groups. Using the Shale-Weil representations, we extend now the representations of nilpotent Lie groups to more general situations.

Suppose that G is a connected and simply connected group, D a subgroup of automorphisms of G preserving G_F, i.e. D is a subgroup of diffeomorphisms of the orbit $\Omega=\Omega_F$, conserving the symplectic structure,

$$D\overset{i}{\hookrightarrow}\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}})\quad .$$

Following the well-known five homomorphisms diagram lemma, the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[d] & & D \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Mp}(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}) \arrow[r, "p"] & \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}) \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

can be completed to a commutative diagram follows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D^{\mathfrak{g}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Mp}(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}) \arrow[r, "p"] & \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{C}}) \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}\quad .$$

The group $D^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is just the fibered product of p and i,

$$D^{\mathfrak{g}}:=\{(d,\hat{x})\in D\times\mathrm{Mp}(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{C}})\quad|\quad i(d)=p(\hat{x})(\textit{denoted } x \textit{ or } d_{\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{C}}})\}\quad .$$

Consider a fixed element $d \in D$. Then, following Auslander and Kostant, there exists a *positive* polarization p such that $\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ is normalized by d. The operator

$$(S'_{\mathfrak{p}}(d)f)(x) := |\det d_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}}|^{-1/2} f(d(x))$$

does not depend on $\mathfrak{p}$. Then if U is a intertwining operator between the representations $\operatorname{Ind}(G;\mathfrak{p},F)$ and $\operatorname{Ind}(G;\mathfrak{p}',F')$, then

$$S'_{\mathfrak{p}}(d) = U^{-1} S'_{\mathfrak{p}'} U$$

and

$$S'_{\mathfrak{p}}(d) \operatorname{Ind}(G;\mathfrak{p},F)(x) S'_{\mathfrak{p}}(d^{-1}) = \operatorname{Ind}(G;\mathfrak{p},F)(d(x)) \quad .$$

Thus

$$d \in D \mapsto S'_{\mathfrak{p}}(d)/\textit{unitary equivalence} = S'(d)$$

is a projective representation of D.

The character $\delta = \det(.)^{1/2}$ is well defined on $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{C}})$, because the polarization is positive and is a character. It can be lifted easily to a character $\delta^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of the two-fold covering such that

$$\delta^l \mathfrak{g}(\varepsilon) = -1 \quad .$$

Theorem 2.3 (M. Duflo). *For every $\hat{d} \in D^{\mathfrak{g}}$, the operators*

$$S(\hat{d}) := \delta^{\mathfrak{g}}(\varepsilon) S'(d), \forall d \in D$$

are unitary and $S(.)$ is a unitary representation of $D^{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $S(\varepsilon) = -\operatorname{Id}$, i.e. a so called odd representation.

Corollary 2.2. *For every so called odd representation U of $D^{\mathfrak{g}}$, i.e. $U(\varepsilon) = -\operatorname{Id}$, the tensor product $U \otimes ST_{\Omega}$ is a representation of $D \ltimes G$,*

$$(U \otimes ST_{\Omega})(d.x) := U(\hat{d}) \otimes S(\hat{d}) T_{\Omega}(x) \quad .$$

Remark 2.5. We can not go to the two-fold covering $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{C}})$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{C}})$, but to the $U(1)$-covering $\mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{C}})$ to obtain another version of the theory.

3. Representations of Connected Reductive Groups

This section is devoted to expose the so called Duflo's construction. It seems to be an analytic version of the quantization procedure in cases, where $\sigma_0 = \chi_F$. We start with some Duflo datum (F,τ), then reduce to the case of connected reductive groups, where we shall start our exposition.

First of all, we recall that in the nilpotent case, the K-orbits are affine planes in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Therefore the Pukanszky condition : $F + \mathfrak{h}^{\perp} \subset \Omega_F$ is automatically satisfied. The situation is not so in the reductive case.

LEMMA 3.1. *(1) For a reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ admit a good polarization (i.e. satisfied the Pukanszky condition) if and only if the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_F$ is a Cartan subalgebra.*

(2) Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is contained in $\mathfrak{g}_F$, $\mathfrak{g}' := \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$, $F' \in \mathfrak{g}'^*$ is the induced from F form on $\mathfrak{g}'$. Then F has a good polarization if and only if such one has also F'.

(3) Suppose $\mathfrak{n}$ to be an nilpotent ideal of $\mathfrak{g}, f := F|_{\mathfrak{n}}$, $\mathfrak{g}_1 := \mathfrak{g}_f \subset \mathfrak{g}$, $F_1 := F|_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$. Then F has a good polarization if and only if $F_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_1^*$ has a good polarization.

The proof of this lemma is not difficult. We refer the reader to M. Duflo's works.

REMARK 3.1. The last assertion reduces the question about existence of good polarizations to the reductive case by induction.

Let us denote $\tilde{X}_G(F)$ the set of all the unitary representations τ of the two-fold covering $G_F^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of G_F, which are *odd* in the sense that

$$\tau(\varepsilon) = -\operatorname{Id}$$

and the restrictions of which to $(G_F)_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ are multiples of the odd character $\chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}} = \chi_F \delta^F$. This set is in a one - to-one correspondence with the set $X_G(F)$ of all representations $\tilde{\sigma}$ of the stabilizer G_F such that their restrictions to the connected component $(G_F)_0$ are multiples of the character χ_F. Denote its subset of the irreducible representations by $\tilde{X}_G^{irr}(F)$,

$$\tilde{X}_G^{irr}(F) := \{\tau \in \widehat{G_F^{\mathfrak{g}}}; \tau(\varepsilon) = -\operatorname{Id}, \tau|_{(G_F)_0} = \operatorname{mult} \chi_F^{\mathfrak{g}}\}$$

and the corresponding subset of $X_G(F)$, consisting of the irreducible ones by $X_G^{irr}(F)$. Recall that $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is said to be *admissible* iff $X_G(F) \neq \emptyset$. Recall also that $\delta^{\mathfrak{g}} = \delta^F$ is an odd character of $G_F^{\mathfrak{g}}$, such that $\delta(\varepsilon) = -1$ and its differential $D\delta^F \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}_F^*$,

$$\delta^F(\exp X) = \frac{Sh((\operatorname{ad} X)/2)}{(\operatorname{ad} X)/2}.$$

The multiplication by the odd character δ^F realizes the bijections between the sets $X_G(F)$ and $\tilde{X}_G(F)$, and also $X_G^{irr}(F)$ and $\tilde{X}_G^{irr}(F)$.

REMARK 3.2. Suppose that there exists a positive (with respect to the Hermitian form $i\omega_F(v,\bar{v})$), $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant Lagrangian subspace $\mathfrak{l}$ in the symplectic vector space $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}} \approx (T_F\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}$, then such the odd character can be easily constructed. It is realized in the single non trivial cohomology group of the complex associated with the adjoint action of group on (metric) tangent bundle of the orbit Ω_F (see the works of M. Duflo for more details). This is the case, for example, for the solvable or semi-simple Lie groups.

Definition 3.1. Denote by $\mathcal{AP}(G)$ the set of all *admissible and well-polarizable functionals* F in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. For such a functional, a *Duflo's datum* is a pair (F,τ), with $\tau \in X_G(F)$. We define $\mathcal{X}(G) := \cup_{F\in\mathcal{AP}(G)} X_G(F)$.

This definition is a particular case of Definition 4.15. We recall here for the concrete usage for reductive groups case.

Let us consider a connected reductive group G and an admissible well - polarizable functional $F \in \mathcal{AP}(G)$. This means that $X_G(F) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{g}_F$ is a Cartan subalgebra, denoted $\mathfrak{h}$. Let H be the centralizer of $\mathfrak{h}$ in G and $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C})$ be the associated root system. For every root $\alpha \in \Delta$, denote the corresponding root space by $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \neq \{0\}$. It is easy to see that the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ can be decomposed into the sum

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{z} \quad ,$$

of the center $\mathfrak{z}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, the split torus $\mathfrak{a}$, and the compact torus $\mathfrak{t}$. Therefore $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{a}$ will be the real linear span of co-roots. Let us denote by M the centralizer $\mathcal{Z}_G(A)$ of the split torus A, and $\mathfrak{m} := \operatorname{Lie} M$. Then $\Delta(\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C})$ is contained in $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C})$ as the subset of purely imaginary roots.

If Σ is a subset of Δ, we denote

$$\rho(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} \alpha$$

the half-sum of roots in Σ. With each $\alpha \in \Sigma$ one can associate a character

$$\chi_\alpha := \xi_\alpha := \exp\langle \alpha, . \rangle$$

of $H = G_\alpha$, the stabilizer of the point $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$. The last inclusion is possible in virtue of the root decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \quad .$$

It is reasonable to recall that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^0$, as eigenspace.

Recall that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*_\mathbb{C}$ is said to be $\mathfrak{g}$-*regular* iff $\lambda(H_\alpha) \neq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta$, where H_α is the co-root, corresponding to α. This notion can be applied also to $\mathfrak{m}$ and we can say for example about $\mathfrak{m}$-regular elements

For every $\alpha \in \Delta$, consider

$$\mathfrak{s}^\alpha_\mathbb{C} := \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha} \oplus \mathbb{C}H_\alpha \quad .$$

For each $\alpha \in \Delta_\mathfrak{m} := \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C})$,

$$\mathfrak{s}^\alpha_\mathbb{C} = (\mathfrak{s}^\alpha_\mathbb{C} \cap \mathfrak{g})$$

and therefore $\alpha \in \Delta_\mathfrak{m}$ is said to be *compact* (resp., *noncompact*) root, iff

$$\mathfrak{s}^\alpha := \mathfrak{s}^\alpha_\mathbb{C} \cap \mathfrak{g} \cong su(2)$$

(resp., $\cong sl(2,\mathbb{R})$). Denote $\Delta_{\mathfrak{m},c}$ (resp., $\Delta_{\mathfrak{m},n}$) the set of all compact (resp., noncompact) roots.

Consider now a functional $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that λ is $\mathfrak{m}$-regular and $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{t}} \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}^*$. Denote

$$\Delta^+_{\mathfrak{m}}(\lambda) := \{\alpha \in \Delta^+_{\mathfrak{m}}; \lambda(H_\alpha) > 0\} \quad ,$$

$$\Delta^+_{\mathfrak{m},n} := \Delta^+_{\mathfrak{m}}(\lambda) \cap \Delta_{\mathfrak{m},n} \quad ,$$

$$\Delta^+_{\mathfrak{m},c}(\lambda) := \Delta^+_{\mathfrak{m}}(\lambda) \cap \Delta\mathfrak{m}, c$$

and finally ,

$$\delta^\lambda := \rho(\Delta^+_{\mathfrak{m},n}(\lambda)) - \rho(\Delta^+_{\mathfrak{m},c}(\lambda)) \quad .$$

Let us recall some notations from Vogan : By $R(H)$ denote the set of all the so called *M-regular unitary pseudo-characters* (Λ, λ) consisting of a $\mathfrak{m}$-regular functional $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}$ and a unitary representation Λ of H with differential

$$D\Lambda = (\lambda + D\delta^\lambda)\,\mathrm{Id} \quad .$$

Remark that the last condition

$$D\Lambda = (\lambda + D\delta^\lambda)\,\mathrm{Id}$$

is equivalent to the assertion that

$$\Lambda|_{H_0} = \mathrm{mult}\,\delta^\lambda \chi_\lambda \quad ,$$

what figures in the orbit method.

Denote by $R^{irr}(H)$ the subset of $R(H)$, consisting of the irreducible pseudo-characters. For a fixed $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}^*$, denote

$$R(H,\lambda) := \{(\Lambda,\lambda) \in R(H); D\Lambda = (\lambda + \delta^\lambda)\,\mathrm{Id}\}$$

and

$$R^{irr}(H,\lambda) := R(H,\lambda) \cap R^{irr}(H) \quad .$$

The Weyl group

$$W(G,H) := \mathcal{N}_G(H)/\mathcal{Z}_G(H) = \mathcal{N}_G(H)/H$$

acts on both $R(H)$ and $R^{irr}(H)$.

3.1. Harish-Chandra construction of $\pi(\Lambda,\lambda)$. Recall that

$$\xi_\alpha(.) := \exp\langle \alpha, . \rangle$$

for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. Let us denote

$$F := \{x \in H; x \textit{ centralizers } \mathfrak{m} \textit{ and } |\xi_\alpha| = 1, \forall \alpha \in \Delta\} \quad .$$

Then

$$H := FH_0 := F \ltimes H_0 \quad ,$$

$$H \cap M_0 = H_0$$

and

$$FM_0 = F \ltimes M_0 \quad .$$

Denote

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}} = (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{m},c}} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$$

and K_{M_0} the corresponding analytic subgroup. Let us denote $\pi^{M_0}(\lambda)$ the irreducible unitary representation of M_0, which is square-integrable modulo the center of M_0, and which is associated with λ. This representation, following Harish-Chandra is characterized by the following condition.

The restriction $\pi^{M_0}(\lambda)|_{K_{M_0}}$ contains the (finite dimensional) irreducible unitary representation of K_{M_0} with the dominant weight $\lambda + D\delta^{\lambda}$ with respect to $\Delta^+_{\mathfrak{m},c}$, as a minimal K_{M_0}-type

Now a representation $\pi^{FM_0}(\Lambda, \lambda)$ of $FM_0 := F \ltimes M_0$ can be constructed as follows

$$\pi^{FM_0}(\Lambda,\lambda)(y.x) := \Lambda(y) \otimes \pi^{M_0}(\lambda)(x), \forall x \in M_0, y \in F \quad .$$

Let $P = MN$ be a parabolic subgroup of G with the Levi component M and the unipotent radical N,

$$M = FM_0 = FM_0 \quad ,$$

$$P = MN = (FM_0) \ltimes N \quad .$$

Define now

$$\pi(\Lambda, \lambda) := Ind^G_{FM_0 \ltimes N}(\pi^{FM_0} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_N) \quad .$$

Recall that *if Λ is is irreducible and λ is $\mathfrak{g}$-regular, the representation $\pi(\Lambda, \lambda)$ is irreducible.*

THEOREM 3.1. *The representation of type $\pi(\Lambda, \lambda)$ can be also obtained from the procedure of multidimensional quantization.*

PROOF. Let us consider $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ which is admissible, i.e. $X_G(F) \neq \emptyset$ and well-polarizable, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}_F = \mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore, the stabilizer $G_F = H$ is the corresponding Cartan subgroup (because G is connected !). By the assumption, $\lambda := \sqrt{-1}F|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-regular functional from $\mathfrak{h}^*$. There is a positive G_F-invariant Lagrangian subspace $\mathfrak{l} \subset (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$\mathfrak{l} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+_{\mathfrak{m},n}(\lambda)} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \ \Delta^+_{\mathfrak{m},c}} (\lambda)\mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}} \quad ,$$

where $\mathfrak{n} := \mathrm{Lie}\, N$. In general, $\mathfrak{l}$ is not a subalgebra. But in any case, there is a character δ^F of two - fold covering $G^{\mathfrak{g}}_F = H^{\mathfrak{g}}$ with the differential $D\delta^F$.

Now we have

$$\Lambda \in R^{irr}(H, \lambda) = X^{irr}_G(F) \overset{1-1}{\leftrightarrow} \tilde{X}^{irr}(F) \quad .$$

This means that there exists a unique $\tau \in \hat{H} = \widehat{G_F}$, such that

$$\tau|_{H_0} = \operatorname{mult}(\chi_F \delta^F)$$

and

$\Lambda = \tau\delta^F$,

$$\pi(\tau\delta^F, \lambda) = \operatorname{Ind}(G; \mathfrak{l}, \tau, F) \quad .$$

The theorem is proved. $\square$

3.2. (Possibly non connected) reductive groups. Let us now consider the case, where G is (non-)connected reductive with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie} G$. Consider an admissible well-polarizable $F \in \mathcal{AP}(G)$, i.e. $X_G(F) \neq \emptyset$, $\mathfrak{g}_F = \mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra, $H := \mathcal{Z}_G(\mathfrak{h})$. In this case, we have

$$H_0 \subseteq H \cap G_0 \subseteq H \subseteq G_F \quad .$$

Let $\lambda = \sqrt{-1}F|_{\mathfrak{h}}$,

$$\Delta^+ := \{\alpha \in \Delta; \Im\lambda(H_\alpha) > 0 \;\; or \;\; \Im\lambda(H_\alpha) = 0 \;\; \& \;\; \Re(\lambda(H_\alpha)) > 0\},$$

$$\mathfrak{n}^+ := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \quad .$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{b}^+ := \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$$

is a G_F-invariant polarization at F and

$$\mathfrak{e}^+ := (\mathfrak{b}^+ + \overline{\mathfrak{b}^+}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$$

is a parabolic subalgebra with the reductive part $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\gamma)$, where $\gamma := F|_{\mathfrak{a}}$, $\mathfrak{a}$ is the split component, γ can be considered as a linear form on $\mathfrak{g}$, which is null on $\mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{z} \oplus [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}]$ and on the nilpotent part $(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta, \alpha(\gamma) > 0} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{g}$.

Consider now any polarization $\mathfrak{b}$ at F, which is G_F-stable. Let $\mathfrak{e} := (\mathfrak{b} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{b}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$ be the parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, with unipotent radical u and with reductive part $\mathfrak{r}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{e}$. Let R_0 be the analytic subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{r}$ and U its unipotent radical, $\mathfrak{u} = \operatorname{Lie} U$. Define $R := G_F \ltimes R_0$ and $E := (G_F \ltimes R_0) \ltimes U$, $r = F|_{\mathfrak{r}}$. it is easy to see that the stabilizers G_F at F in G and $R_{\mathfrak{r}}$ at r in R are the same, $R_{\mathfrak{r}} = G_F$. Therefore r has a good polarization. Consider the two-fold coverings

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & G_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \arrow[r] & G_F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & R_r^{\mathfrak{r}} \arrow[r] & R_r \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd} \quad .$$

Therefore, we can define $\hat{x} \in G_F^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\tilde{x} \in R_r^{\mathfrak{r}}$ for every $x \in G_F = R_r$ and δ^F and δ^r as in the connected case, which are not necessarily to be a character, but a odd one. By the condition of admissibility, there exists the well-known bijection

$$\tau \in X_G^{irr}(F) \overset{1-1}{\leftrightarrow} \tau' \in X_R^{irr}(r)$$

and it holds

$$\delta^F(\hat{x})\tau(\hat{x}) = \delta^r(\tilde{x})\tau'(\tilde{x}) \quad .$$

The subspace $\mathfrak{c} := \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{r}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Lagrangian subspace of $(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r}_r)_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is *totally complex* , i.e. $\mathfrak{c} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{c}} = \{0\}$. Therefore, h is also a *fundamental Cartan subalgebra* of $\mathfrak{r}$ and $R_0 \cap H = H_0$, x

$$\tilde{X}^{irr}_{R_0}(r) = \{\chi_\lambda; \delta^r.\chi_\lambda \in char(H_0), \text{ with differential } \lambda = D\delta^\lambda\},$$

which has only a unique element χ_λ.

As in the connected case, we have a representation π^0 of R_0,

$$\pi^0 = \pi(\chi_\lambda\delta^r, \lambda) = \mathrm{Ind}(R_0; \mathfrak{b}, \chi_\lambda, \lambda)$$

in the space $\mathcal{H}$. Recall that $\mathfrak{c} := \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{r}_{\mathbb{C}}$, with the unipotent radical $\mathfrak{v} = \mathrm{Rad}_u\, \mathfrak{c}$, is a Lagrangian subspace of $(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r}_r)_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathfrak{c} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{c}} = \{0\}$, i.e. is totally complex.

Recall the notation of root spaces

$$V_\mu := \{v \in V; \exists n \in \mathbb{N}; (H - \lambda(H))^n v = 0, \forall H \in \mathfrak{h}\} \quad ,$$

corresponding to the root $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $q^r(\mathfrak{c})$ be the number of the negative eigenvalues of $\omega|_{\mathfrak{c}\times\mathfrak{c}}$ and let us denote

$$\rho(\mathfrak{c}) := \rho(\Sigma),$$

where Σ is the set of roots of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $\mathfrak{v} := \mathrm{Rad}_u\, \mathfrak{c}$. The space $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ of smooth vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ can be considered as some $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$-module and, following Vogan,

$$\dim H_j(\mathfrak{v}; \mathcal{H}^\infty)_{\lambda+\rho(\mathfrak{c})} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq q^r(\mathfrak{c}) \\ 1 & \text{if } j = q^r(\mathfrak{c}) \end{cases}$$

M. Duflo proved that there exists a unique representation S of the two-fold covering $R^{\mathfrak{r}}_r \cong G^{\mathfrak{g}}_F$ in the space $\mathcal{H}$ denote

$$\rho(\mathfrak{c}) := \rho(\Sigma) \quad ,$$

where Σ is the set of roots of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $\mathfrak{v} := \mathrm{Rad}_u\, \mathfrak{c}$. The space $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ of smooth vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ can be considered as some $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$-module and, following Vogan,

$$\dim H_j(\mathfrak{v}; \mathcal{H}^\infty)_{\lambda+\rho(\mathfrak{c})} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq q^r(\mathfrak{c}) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

of π^0, such that

$$S(\tilde{x})\pi^0(y)S(\tilde{x})^{-1} = \pi^0(xyx^{-1}), \forall y \in R_0, \forall x \in G_F, \forall \tilde{x} \in R^{\mathfrak{r}}_r \quad .$$

Because $R^{\mathfrak{r}}_r \cong G^{\mathfrak{g}}_F$, S can be considered as a representation of $G^{\mathfrak{g}}_F$ in posing $S(\hat{x}) := S(\tilde{x})$. Therefore, for every odd representation $\tau' \in X_R(r)$ of $R^{\mathfrak{r}}_r$, the formula

$$\pi(xy) := \tau'(\tilde{x}) \otimes S(\tilde{x})\pi^0(y), \forall \tilde{x} \in R^{\mathfrak{r}}_r, \forall y \in R_0$$

provides a representation of semi-direct product $R = R_r \ltimes R_0 = G_F \ltimes R_0$. This representation can be considered as the representation $(\tau' \otimes S\pi^0) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_U$ of E. Then $\mathrm{Ind}_E^G((\tau' \otimes S\pi^0) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_U)$ is a representation of G, which is also denoted by $T_{F,\tau,\mathfrak{b}} := T_{F,\tau,\mathfrak{b}^+}$.

COROLLARY 3.1. *The representations* $T_{F,\tau,\mathfrak{b}} := \mathrm{Ind}((\tau' \otimes S\pi^0) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_U)$ *are obtained from the multidimensional quantization procedure.*

It is reasonable to remark that *various concrete polarizations give us equivalent representations.* Thus the representation $T_{F,\tau,\mathfrak{b}}$ is *independent from the polarizations.* We denote it from now on simply as $T^G_{F,\tau}$. The upper index G indicates the group, we are interesting on.

3.3. The induction procedure for general (separable) Lie groups. Let us now consider the case of general (separable) Lie groups. Consider a Duflo's datum (F, τ), i.e. $F \in \mathcal{AP}(G)$ is an admissible and well-polarizable functional on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}\, G$ and $\tau \in X_G^{irr}(F)$.

Let J be a subgroup of G_F, acting trivially on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$. Following the extension

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \{1, \varepsilon\} \to G_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \to G_F \to 1$$

we can for each $x \in G_F$ choose a preimage $\hat{x} = r^F(x)$ by a map

$$r^F : J \to G_F^{\mathfrak{g}} \quad ,$$

such that

$$\delta^F(r^F(x)) \equiv 1 \quad .$$

The map r^F can be chosen to be a homomorphism.

Assumption *For each subgroup C in the center centG of G, if $\tau \in X_G^{irr}(F)$ is such that*

$$\tau(r^F(x)) \equiv \psi(x)\,\mathrm{Id}, \forall x \in C \quad ,$$

for some character $\psi \in \hat{C}$, then so is the representation $T_{F,\tau}$,

$$T_{F,\tau}(x) = \psi(x)\,\mathrm{Id}, \forall x \in C \quad .$$

Consider the case $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 0$. Then G is a discrete group, $F = 0$ and $T_{F,\tau}(x) = \tau(r^F(x)), \forall x \in G$. The assumption is satisfied.

Suppose now that $\dim \mathfrak{g} > 0$ and that $T^{G_1}_{F_1,\tau_1}$ are defined for every G_1 with $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 < \dim \mathfrak{g}$ and (F_1, τ_1) with $F_1 \in \mathcal{AP}(G)$ and $\tau_1 \in X_{G_1}(F_1)$, satisfying the assumption.

Consider the Duflo datum $(F, \tau) \in \mathcal{X}(G) := \cup_{F \in \mathcal{AP}(G)} X_G(F)$. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{n}$ the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{g}$ and N the corresponding analytic subgroup, which is the nilpotent radical of G (i.e. a closed normal analytic nilpotent subgroup).

Denote

$$f := F|_{\mathfrak{n}} \quad ,$$

$$\mathfrak{q} := kerF \cap \mathfrak{n}_f \lhd \mathfrak{g}_f \quad ,$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 := \mathfrak{g}_f/\mathfrak{q} \quad .$$

1^{st} *case :* $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive and the representations $T^G_{F,\tau}$ are defined as in §7.3.

2^{nd} *case :* $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 < \dim \mathfrak{g}$. Let us denote $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{g}_f$, $h := F|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $H := G^{\mathfrak{n}}_f$. Because N is nilpotent, the stabilizers of K-orbits are connected, and therefore the two-fold coverings are trivial,

$$N^{\mathfrak{n}}_f \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times (N_f)_0 \cong \{1, \varepsilon\} \times N_f \quad .$$

Because F is admissible, so is also $f := F|_{\mathfrak{n}}$, i.e. there exists a character χ of $N^{\mathfrak{n}}_f$ such that

$$\chi(\varepsilon) = 1, D\chi = \frac{i}{\hbar} f|_{\mathfrak{n}_f} \quad .$$

Denote $Q := (ker\chi)_0$, the connected component of identity. It is a normal subgroup in $H = G^{\mathfrak{n}}_f$, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{q} := \operatorname{Lie} Q \cong KerD\chi \cap \mathfrak{n}_f \lhd \mathfrak{g}_f$.

Define $G_1 := G^{\mathfrak{n}}_f/Q$ and $p : H = G^{\mathfrak{n}}_f \to G_1$, the canonical projection. It is easy to see that $(G^{\mathfrak{n}}_F)^{\mathfrak{h}} \subseteq H^{\mathfrak{h}}_h$. Really we have

$$H^{\mathfrak{h}}_h = (G^{\mathfrak{n}}_F)^{\mathfrak{h}} \ltimes (N^{\mathfrak{n}}_f)^{\mathfrak{h}} \quad .$$

For some element $x \in G_F$, we denote $\hat{x}$ a representative of the preimages of x in $G^{\mathfrak{g}}_F$,

$\ddot{x}$ a representative of the preimages of of x in $G^{\mathfrak{n}}_F$,

$\tilde{\ddot{x}}$ a representative of the preimages of x in $(G^{\mathfrak{n}}_F)^{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Then there exists $\tau' \in X^{irr}_{G^{\mathfrak{n}}_F}$, acting on the space $\mathcal{H}_\tau$, such that

$$\tau'(\tilde{\ddot{x}})\delta^h(\tilde{\ddot{x}})\delta^f(\ddot{x}) = \tau(\hat{x})\delta^F(\hat{x}) \quad .$$

Because $N^{\mathfrak{n}}_f$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{n}_f$, there exists a section

$$r^h : N^{\mathfrak{n}}_f \to (N^{\mathfrak{n}}_f)^{\mathfrak{h}}$$

such that

$$\delta^h(r^h(\ddot{x})) \equiv 1 \quad .$$

Therefore, there exists $\tau' \in X_H(h)$, such that $\tau'(y)$ is defined above for all $y \in (G^{\mathfrak{n}}_F)^{\mathfrak{h}}$ and

$$\tau'(r^h(y)) = \chi(y)\,\mathrm{Id}, \forall y \in N^{\mathfrak{n}}_f \quad .$$

It is easy to see that

$$(G_F)_{F_1} = H^{\mathfrak{h}}_h/r^h(Q)$$

and there exists $\tau_1 \in X_{G_1}(F_1)$, such that $\tau' = \tau_1 \circ p$. By induction, $T^{G_1}_{F_1,\tau_1}$ is well-defined.

Consider now $U := T^{G_1}_{F_1,\tau_1} \circ p$ and $C = N^{\mathfrak{n}}_f/Q$. Then by the assumption,

$$U(y) = \chi(y)\,\mathrm{Id}, \forall y \in N^{\mathfrak{n}}_f \quad .$$

Let us denote by $\tilde{N}$ the universal covering of N. Then, we have

$$G_f \times \tilde{N} \twoheadrightarrow G_f \ltimes \tilde{N} \quad .$$

The representation $U \otimes S_f T^N_f$ is trivial on the kernel of this surjection (i.e. the preimage of the identity element). Therefore it defines a representation, denoted $T^{G_1}_{F_1,\tau_1} \otimes S_f T^N_f$ of $G_f.N$.

Finally for $(F,\tau) \in \mathcal{X}(G)$, we define

$$T^G_{F,\tau} := \mathrm{Ind}^G_{G_f.N}(T^{G_1}_{F_1,\tau_1} \otimes S_f T^N_f) \quad .$$

Remark 3.3. In the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 < \dim \mathfrak{g}$, we have therefore two construction of $T^G_{F,\tau}$. But it is easy to see that they are equivalent.

We finish this section by stating the functorial properties of the construction of representation, referring the reader to the works of M. Duflo for a more detailed exposition.

Theorem 3.2 (**M. Duflo**). *(1) For every $(F,\tau) \in \mathcal{X}(G)$, the commuting ring $\mathcal{C}(T^G_{F,\tau})$ of intertwining operators of the representation $T^G_{F,\tau}$ is isomorphic with the same one $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$ of the representation τ. In particular, if τ is irreducible, so is also the induced representation $T^G_{F,\tau}$.*

(2) For every automorphism $a \in AutG$,

$$T^G_{a(F,\tau)} \simeq {}^a T^G_{F,\tau} \quad .$$

In particular, the G-equivalent pairs $(F,\tau) \sim_G (F',\tau')$ give the equivalent representations $T^G_{F,\tau} \simeq T^G_{F',\tau'}$. Therefore the representation $T^G_{F,\tau}$ depends only on the quasi-orbit $G.(F,\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(G)$.

(3) If F is not in the K-orbit of F', then the representations $T^G_{F,\tau}$ and $T^G_{F',\tau'}$ are disjoint.

(4) There is an isomorphism of the spaces of intertwining operators

$$\mathcal{C}(T^G_{F,\tau}, T^G_{F',\tau'}) \cong \mathcal{C}(\tau,\tau') \quad .$$

(5) If C is a subgroup of the center $centG$ of G and

$$\tau(r^F(x)) \equiv \psi(x)\,\mathrm{Id}, \forall x \in C$$

for some character $\psi \in \hat{C}$, then

$$T^G_{F,\tau}(x) \equiv \psi(x)\,\mathrm{Id}, \forall x \in C$$

i.e. the assumption is always satisfied.

(6) If $\mathfrak{n}$ *is any ideal of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *and* N *the corresponding analytic subgroup in* G, $(F,\tau) \in \mathcal{X}(G)$, $f = F|_{\mathfrak{n}}$, *etc.... as in the beginning of §7.4., then*

$$T^G_{F,\tau} = \mathrm{Ind}^G_{G_f.N}(T^{G_1}_{F_1,\tau_1} \otimes S_f T^N_f) \quad .$$

(7) The representations $T^G_{F,\tau}$ *are* normal *(i.e. of type GCR) if and only if in this construction* $\dim \tau < \infty$ *and the K-orbit* $\Omega_f = G.F$ *of* F *in* $\mathfrak{g}^*$ *is* locally closed *, i.e. an intersection of closed and open subsets.*

4. Representations of of almost algebraic Lie groups

This section is devoted to the so called *almost algebraic Lie groups* . For this class of Lie groups, the construction of irreducible representations can be reduced to the case of reductive groups. The set of irreducible unitary representations is so big, enough to write out the Plancherèl formula, one of the most important part of the harmonic L^2-analysis.

4.1. Co-isotropic subalgebra s.

Definition 4.1. The real separable Lie group G is called *almost algebraic* , if and only if there exists a discrete subgroup Γ of its center $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ and there exists an algebraic linear group $\mathfrak{G}$ over $\mathbb{R}$, such that the quotient G/Γ can be included as an open subgroup in the group $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{R})$ of real points of $\mathfrak{G}$.

Definition 4.2. Let $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}\, G$. Subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is called *co-isotropic* iff $\mathfrak{p}^F \subset \mathfrak{p}$, where by by definition,

$$\mathfrak{p}^F := \{X \in \mathfrak{p}; \langle F, [X,\mathfrak{p}]\rangle \equiv 0\} \quad .$$

Let us define $p := F|_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{p}_p = \mathfrak{p}^F$. Let $(P_p)_0$ be the analytic subgroup of G, corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}_p = \mathfrak{p}^F$. Then the subset $(P_p)_0.F$ is open in $F + \mathfrak{p}^\perp$. Recall that $\mathfrak{p}$ *satisfies the Pukanszky condition* iff

$$(P_p)_0.F = F + \mathfrak{p}^\perp \quad .$$

For a fixed F, let us denote *cos*(F) the set of all co-isotropic subalgebra s, satisfying the Pukanszky condition.

Example 4.1. (1) For every $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, $\mathfrak{g} \in cos(F)$, i.e. $cos(F) \neq \emptyset$.

(2) If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a real polarization of F, satisfying the Pukanszky condition, then $\mathfrak{p} \in cos(F)$.

(3) If $\mathfrak{b}$ is a complex polarization, so that $\mathfrak{b} + \overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a complexification of $\mathfrak{p} := (\mathfrak{b} + \overline{\mathfrak{b}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{p}$ is a co-isotropic subalgebra and $\mathfrak{p}_p = \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}$.

Conversely, if $\mathfrak{p} := (\mathfrak{b} + \overline{\mathfrak{b}}) \cap \mathfrak{g} \in cos(F)$, then $\mathfrak{b}$ is a complex polarization, satisfying the Pukanszky condition.

(4) For a semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a co-isotropic subalgebra iff $\mathfrak{p}$ is a parabolic subalgebra.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let* $\mathfrak{p} \in cos(F)$. *Then* F *has a good polarization if and only if a such one has* $p = F|_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

PROOF. (i) *Sufficiency.* Suppose that $p = F|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has a good polarization $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\mathfrak{b}$ is also a good polarization at F in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

(ii) *Necessity.* Suppose that $\mathfrak{b}$ is a good polarization at F in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We prove that there exists a good polarization at p in $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$. This will be done by induction on dimension.

If $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 0$, it is obvious.

Suppose that the assertion could be proved for the Lie algebras of strictly less dimension. There are several cases (a)-(e) :

Case (a) : There exists a non-trivial unipotent ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, *contained in* $KerF$. In this case, the assertion is trivially proved by considering the quotient algebra $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$, which has the dimension, strictly less than $\dim \mathfrak{g}$.

Let us denote $\mathfrak{z}$ the unipotent part of the center $cent\mathfrak{g}$. Due to the case (a) we can restrict our consideration to the case, where $\dim \mathfrak{z} \leq 1$ and and $F|_{\mathfrak{z}} \neq 0$ if $\mathfrak{z} \neq \{0\}$. Let us denote $\mathfrak{u} := \mathrm{Rad}_u(\mathfrak{g})$ the unipotent radical of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Case (b) : $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{z}$. In this case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \times \mathfrak{z}$, where $\mathfrak{r}$ is the reductive part and $\mathfrak{g}_F$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}_F \subset \mathfrak{p}$ will be a parabolic subalgebra, and $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is a good polarization at $p = F|_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Case (c) : Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ *contains a characteristic commutative ideal* $\mathfrak{a}$ *such that* $\mathfrak{z} \subsetneq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}$ *and* $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$. In this case, let us denote

$a := F|_{\mathfrak{a}}$,

$\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{g}_a \neq \mathfrak{g}$,

$\mathfrak{p}' := (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}) + \mathfrak{a}$,

$h := F|_{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Then $\mathfrak{p}' \in cos(h)$. If $\mathfrak{b}$ is a good polarization at F, then $\mathfrak{b}' := (\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ will be a good polarization at h. By induction, $\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains a good polarization,

say $\mathfrak{b}''$ at h and

$$\mathfrak{b}'' \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{C}} \quad .$$

Let $F' := F|'_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then $\mathfrak{b}''$ is a good polarization at F' in $\mathfrak{g}' \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore by the induction hypothesis, there exists a good polarization, which is contained in $\mathfrak{p}$.

Case (d) :$\mathfrak{u}$ *is a Heisenberg algebra with center* $\mathfrak{z} \neq \mathfrak{u}$. Let us define $u := F|_{\mathfrak{u}}$, $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_u$, $r := F|_{\mathfrak{r}}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}' := \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$, $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{p}' \cap \mathfrak{r}$, $\mathfrak{p}'' := \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$. Then $\mathfrak{k} \in cos(r)$ and $\mathfrak{p}'' \in cos(F)$. Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be a good polarization at F in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then there exists a good polarization $\mathfrak{b}'$ at F in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that $\mathfrak{b}' \cap \mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a good polarization at u in $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{b}' \cap \mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a good polarization at r in $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{g}$. Apply the induction process hypothesis to $\mathfrak{p}'$ to find a good polarization at p in $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Otherwise, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{u}$. If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}$, it's trivial. If $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{p}$, let us denote the unipotent radical of $\mathfrak{p}$ by $\mathfrak{v} = {}^u\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{u}$. Then,

$$\mathfrak{t}[u, v] \subseteq [u, u] \subseteq z \subset p.$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{v}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$. Let us denote $\mathfrak{w} := \mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathfrak{v})$ the centralizer of $\mathfrak{v}$ in $\mathfrak{u}$. Then $\mathfrak{v} \cap \mathfrak{w}$ is a commutative proper ideal in $\mathfrak{z}$. This is the case because in the opposite case , $\mathfrak{v} \cap \mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{z}$, and, as $\mathfrak{p}$-modules, there exists a $\mathfrak{p}$-invariant subspace $\mathfrak{m}$ in $\mathfrak{w}$, such that $\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{w}$. Let $F' \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be such a functional that $F'|_{\mathfrak{p}} = p$, $F'|_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$. By the Pukanszky condition, $\mathfrak{p} \in cos(F')$ and $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq (\mathfrak{p}')^{F'}$, what is a contradiction.

So we can apply the case (c) to the proper ideal $\mathfrak{v} \cap \mathfrak{w} \neq \mathfrak{z}$.

Case (e) : $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}$. It is so clear !

Now let us show that, if $\dim \mathfrak{g} > 0$*, one of the cases (a) - (e) must be satisfied.*

non (a) : $\dim \mathfrak{z} \leq 1$, $F|_{\mathfrak{z}} \neq 0$, $\mathfrak{u} := {}^u\mathfrak{g}$, the unipotent radical.

non (b) : $\mathfrak{u} \neq \mathfrak{z}$.

non (c) : There is no characteristic ideal $\mathfrak{a} \lhd \mathfrak{g}$, i.e. there is no such an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, that $\mathfrak{z} \subsetneq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$.

non (d) : $\mathfrak{u}$ is not a Heisenberg algebra.

non (e) : $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{p}$.

We show that it must be therefore some contradiction. Really, if u isn't a Heisenberg algebra, there exists some commutative ideal, chosen of minimal dimension, $\mathfrak{a} \lhd \mathfrak{g}$, such that $\mathfrak{z} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}$. We have $[\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{a}] \subseteq \mathfrak{z}$, by minimality of $\dim \mathfrak{a}$, and $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{z}$. Let $:= {}^u\mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathfrak{v}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{u}$, because $\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{g}$, and any ideal of p, contained in $\mathfrak{v}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore by *non (c)* there is no commutative ideal in $\mathfrak{v}$, which is different from $\mathfrak{z}$. So $\mathfrak{v}$ is a Heisenberg algebra with center z or it is $\mathfrak{z}$ itself.

Consider $\mathfrak{a}' := \operatorname{cent} \mathfrak{u}$, which is a commutative ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{a}' \cap \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{z}$, $\mathfrak{a}' \oplus \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{u}$. Replacing $\mathfrak{a}'$ by $\mathfrak{a}$, we assume that $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}] \equiv 0$. Suppose $\mathfrak{m}$ be a subspace of $\mathfrak{a}$, such that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{z} \quad ,$$

$$[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}] \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \quad .$$

Let $F' \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be such a functional that $F'|_{\mathfrak{p}} = p$, $F'|_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$. By the Pukanszky condition, $\mathfrak{p} \in cos(F')$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a non-zero subspace in $\mathfrak{p}^{F'}$. The proposition is then proved. □

4.2. Irreducible representations. Returning to the almost algebraic Lie group $(G, \Gamma, \mathfrak{G})$, let us now consider a G_F-stable element $\mathfrak{p} \in cos(F)$ and $\mathfrak{P}^\circ$ the corresponding irreducible subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}$, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$, and P_0 the analytic subgroup of G with the same Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$, $P := G_F \ltimes P_0$, $\mathfrak{P}$ the algebraic closure of P/Γ in $\mathfrak{G}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is closed in $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{R})$ and the group P is open in the inverse image of $\mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{R})$ in G, and so, it is a closed subgroup of G. Finally the triple $(P, \Gamma, \mathfrak{P})$ is an almost algebraic group.

Let us denote $\mathfrak{v} := {}^u\mathfrak{p}_p$ the unipotent radical of $\mathfrak{p}_p$, $V(\mathbb{R})$ the corresponding simply connected subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{R})$ and therefore the corresponding analytic subgroup V of G is closed and simply connected. From the Pukanszky condition, one deduces that $P_p = G_F.V$ and that $V/(V \cap G_F)$ is simply connected.

THEOREM 4.1. *(i) F is admissible if and only if $p = F|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is admissible.*
(ii) For every $\tau \in X_G(F)$ there exists a unique $\tau' \in X_G(p)$, such that

$$(\delta^F\tau)(x) = (\delta^p\tau')(x), \forall x \in G_F \quad .$$

(iii) The correspondence $\tau \mapsto \tau'$ in (ii) provides bijections

$$X_G(F) \overset{1-1}{\leftrightarrow} X_P(p) \quad ,$$

$$X_G^{irr}(F) \overset{1-1}{\leftrightarrow} X_P^{irr}(p) \quad .$$

PROOF. Some parts of this lemma were checked before. The other ones are also not difficult to be checked. ☐

THEOREM 4.2 (The main result). *Suppose that Γ is finite. Let $(F, \tau) \in \mathcal{X}(G)$, $\mathfrak{p} \in cos(F)$ is G_F-stable,$p := F|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and τ' corresponds to τ in the bijection $X_G(F) \overset{1-1}{\leftrightarrow} X_P(p)$. Then $(p, \tau') \in \mathcal{X}(P)$ and*

$$T^G_{F,\tau} = \operatorname{Ind}^G_P(T^P_{p,\tau'}) \quad .$$

REMARK 4.1. The unipotent radical $\mathfrak{n} := {}^u\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is G-invariant. Let $f := F|_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{g}_f \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, $\tilde{P} := G_f.N$, $P := H_F.P_0$, where P_0 is the analytic subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathfrak{p} \in cos(F) \quad ,$$

$$\tilde{P} = P_p \quad ,$$

$$T^{\tilde{P}}_{p,\tau'} = \operatorname{Ind}^{\tilde{P}}_P(T^P_{p,\tau'}) \quad ,$$

$$T^P_{p,\tau'} = T^{G_1}_{F_1,\tau_1} \otimes S_f T^N_f$$

and

$$T^G_{F,\tau} = \operatorname{Ind}^G_{\tilde{P}} \operatorname{Ind}^{\tilde{P}}_P(T^P_{p,\tau'}) = \operatorname{Ind}^G_P(T^P_{p,\tau'}) \quad .$$

REMARK 4.2. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{g} \in cos(F)$. then $T^G_{F,\tau} = \operatorname{Ind}^G_{G_F G_0}(T^{G_F G_0}_{F,\tau})$.

Proof of the Theorem. It is the same as in the proof of Proposition 8.4, the induction on dimension of $\mathfrak{g}$ can be deduced from the cases (a)-(e).

The assertions of the theorem are trivial in the start of induction, where $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 0$.

The case, where $\dim \mathfrak{g} > 0$ is covered by the cases (a) - (e). For theses cases, the induction assumptions suppose that the theorem is proved for every Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_1$ of dimension $\dim \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1 < \dim \mathfrak{g}$.

Case (a). This case is a particular case of a previous remark. Therefore

$$T^G_{F,\tau} = \mathrm{Ind}^G_{G_fA_0}(T^{G_fA_0}_{p,\tau'}) \quad .$$

Case (b). We have $\mathfrak{z} := {}^u \operatorname{cent} \mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{u} := {}^u\mathfrak{g}$. In this case, as in the proof of Proposition (b), $\mathfrak{g}_F$ is a Cartan subalgebra and $\mathfrak{p} \in cos(F)$ is a parabolic subalgebra. Therefore $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}$, which is a good polarization at $p = F|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and

$$T^G_{F,\tau} = \mathrm{Ind}^G_{G_F.P_0}(T^{G_F.P_0}_{p,\tau'}) \quad .$$

A more detailed proof of this case is contained in Bouaziz' work [Bo1] about non connected reductive groups.

Case (c). Let us denote $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{g}'$ as in Proposition 8.4. Denote $H := G_F.H_0$, $P' := G_F.P_0$, $G' = G_FG_0$. Therefore with $\tau \in X_G(F)$ we can associate the representations $T^H, T^{P'}, T^{G'}, T^P, T^G$ of H, P', G', P, G, respectively. We do

not here precise the lower indices of these representations, they are clear from the context. From the induction assumption, we have

$$T^{G'} = \mathrm{Ind}^{G'}_P(T^P) = \mathrm{Ind}^{G'}_{P'}(T^{P'}) \quad ,$$

$$T^H = \mathrm{Ind}^H_{P'}(T^{P'}) \quad ,$$

$$T^G = \mathrm{Ind}^G_H(T^H) = \mathrm{Ind}^G_H(\mathrm{Ind}^H_{P'}(T^{P'})) = \mathrm{Ind}^G_{P'}(T^{P'}) \quad .$$

Case (d). Let us introduce $\mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{p}''$ as in Proposition 8.4(d). Define $P' := G_F.P'_0$, $P'' := G_F.P''_0$. Let $T^{P'}, T^{P''}, T^P, T^G$ be the corresponding representations in giving $\tau \in X_G(F)$. Consider *the sub-case :* $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{g}$. Then by induction assumption, we have

$$T^{P'} = \mathrm{Ind}^{P'}_{P''}(T^{P''}) = \mathrm{Ind}^{P'}_P(T^P) \quad .$$

Therefore it's enough to prove that $T^G = \mathrm{Ind}^G_{P''}(T^{P''})$. Let us denote $\mathfrak{r} := {}^u\mathfrak{g}$, the unipotent radical, $R := G^{\mathfrak{u}}_u$, $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{p}' \cap \mathfrak{r}$,$k := F|_{\mathfrak{k}}$, $r := F|_{\mathfrak{r}}$, $K := (R_r)_0K_0 \subset R$. Therefore, by Lemma 8.5(ii), there exist $(r, \tau'') \in X_R(r)$, to provide T^R and $(k, \tau''') \in X_K(k)$ to provide T^K and $T^G = \mathrm{Ind}^G_{G_u.U}(T^R \otimes S_u.T^U_u)$. By the induction hypothesis, we have $T^R = \mathrm{Ind}^R_K(T^K)$.

Consider ${\mathfrak{p}''}_u$. It is easy to see that $(P''_u)^{\mathfrak{u}} = K$, and $P'' = P''_u.U$,$T^{P''} = T^K.S_u.T^U_u$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T^G &= \operatorname{Ind}^G_{G_u.U}(\operatorname{Ind}^R_K(T^K) \otimes S_u T^U_u) \\ &= \operatorname{Ind}^G_{G_u.U}(\operatorname{Ind}^R_{P''}(T^K \otimes S_u T^U_u)) \\ &= \operatorname{Ind}^G_{P''}(T^{P''}). \end{aligned}$$

For the *sub-case :* $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{p}$, it is enough to apply the case (c).

Case (e) , $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}$, is trivial.

The theorem is therefore proved.

5. The Trace Formula and The Plancher‘el Formula

This section is devoted to the two central theorems of harmonic analysis in the class of square integrable functions with integrable module : Trace formula and the Plancherèl formula. We propose here only a quick review of the theory without technical proofs. It seems to be more clear for our "Introduction to the theory".

5.1. 9.1. Trace formula. Recall first of all a result of M. Duflo about his construction : *The representation* $T^G_{F,\tau}$ *is of class GCR if and only if* $\dim \tau < \infty$ *and* Ω_F *is locally closed.*

Recall that the representation $T^G_{F,\tau}$ is traceable if for every smooth function on G with compact support $\alpha \in C^\infty_0(G)$, the operator

$$T^G_{F,\alpha}(\alpha) := \int_G T^G_{F,\tau}(x)\alpha(x)d\mu_r(x)$$

is traceable and there exists a distribution, noted $trT_{F,\tau}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{D}(G) := [C^\infty_0(G)]'$, such that

$$\langle \operatorname{Tr} T^G_{F,\tau}, \alpha \rangle := \int_G \operatorname{Tr} T^G_{F,\tau}(x)\alpha(x)d\mu_r(x) := \operatorname{Tr} T^G_{F,\tau}(\alpha) \quad .$$

For each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we introduce

$$J(x)^{1/2} := |\det(\frac{\operatorname{Sh}((\operatorname{ad} X)/2)}{(\operatorname{ad} X)/2}))|^{1/2} \quad ,$$

$\beta_\Omega := (1\pi)^{-d}(d!)^{-1}|\omega_\Omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_\Omega|$, with $d = \frac{1}{2}\dim \Omega$, and ω_Ω is the Kirillov symplectic form on $\Omega = \Omega_F$.

Therefore β_Ω is a positive Borelian measure on $\mathfrak{g}^*$, concentrated on $\Omega = \Omega_F \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$.

THEOREM 5.1 (**The character formula**). *Suppose that* $T_{F,\tau}$ *is a normal representation and that* $\mathfrak{g}_F$ *is nilpotent. Then* $T_{F,\tau}$ *is traceable if and* *only if the measure* β_Ω *is* tempered . *In this case there exists a neighborhood of* 0 *in* $\mathfrak{g}$, *in which the following formula holds*

$$J(X)^{1/2}\operatorname{Tr} T_{F,\tau}(\exp X) = \dim\tau \int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \exp(\sqrt{-1}\langle F, X\rangle) d\beta_\Omega(F)$$

in sense of distributions, i.e. for every $\alpha \in C_0^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$, *with compact support in the indicate neighborhood of* 0 *in* $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle J^{1/2}(.)trT_{F,\tau}(\exp(.)), \alpha(.)\rangle &= \dim\tau \textstyle\int_{\mathfrak{g}}\int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \exp(\sqrt{-1}\langle F, X\rangle)\alpha(X) d\beta_\Omega(F) dX \\ &= \dim\tau \textstyle\int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \hat{\alpha}(F) d\beta_\Omega(F),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{\alpha}(F) := \int_{\mathfrak{g}} \alpha(X)\exp(\sqrt{-1}\langle F, X\rangle) dX$$

is the Fourier transform *of* α.

This theorem is the so called *universal character formula* , proposed firstly by A. A. Kirillov in the more simple case, where $\dim\tau \equiv 1$.

Suppose now, for simplicity, G to be *connected.* Let us denote $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ the universal enveloping algebra, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) := \operatorname{cent} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$, $S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ the symmetric algebra, on which G acts and $I(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) := S(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^G$ the set of G-invariants. It is well-known that there exists an isomorphism

$$a : \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} I(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \quad .$$

THEOREM 5.2 (**The infinitesimal character formula**). *For every* $u \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$, *the operators* $T_{F,\tau}(u)$, *acting on* C^∞*-vectors, is scalar,*

$$T_{F,\tau}(u) = a(u)(\sqrt{-1}F)\,\mathrm{Id} \quad .$$

REMARK 5.1. If the trace formula holds for all the orbits of maximal dimension, then the Laplace operators are just the operators of multiplication by the G-invariants $a(u), u \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is true also the inverse assertion. If the Laplace operators $T_{F,\tau}(u)$, $u \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ are the operators of multiplication by invariants $a(u)$, on the union of all the orbit of maximal dimension , then for the orbit of this type, the both sides of the trace formula are the distributions satisfying the same system of elliptic differential equations. Therefore they are coincided. This is the relation between the universal character formula and the infinitesimal character formula.

5.2. Plancherèl formula for unimodular groups. Let us consider an almost algebraic Lie group $(G, \Gamma, \mathfrak{G})$, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \operatorname{Lie} G$. Denote $\mathfrak{u} := {}^u\mathfrak{g}$ the unipotent radical, $\mathfrak{j}$ a subalgebra such that $\mathfrak{j} \subseteq \operatorname{cent}\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}$, J the analytic subgroup (which is closed and central). Then ΓJ is also closed and central, because its image in G/Γ is closed and central, $\gamma \cap J \subseteq \{1\}$.

Let us consider $\Xi \in char(\Gamma J)$, such that its differential $d\Xi = \sqrt{-1}\xi \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{j}^*$. Consider $G/(ker\Xi)_0$ if necessary, we can suppose that ξ is injective : $\dim \mathfrak{j} \leq 1$ and that, if $\dim \mathfrak{j} = 1$, $\xi \neq 0$.

Let us denote

$$\hat{G}_\Xi := \{T \in \hat{G}; T|_{\Gamma J} \simeq \operatorname{mult} \Xi\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g}^*_\xi := \{F \in \mathfrak{g}^*; F|_\mathfrak{j} = \xi\} \quad .$$

We introduce the set of all the so called Ξ-admissible functionals

$$X_G(F,\Xi) := \{\tau \in X_G(F); \tau(r^F(x)) \equiv \Xi(x)\,\mathrm{Id}\}$$

and its subset $X_G^{irr}(F,\Xi)$ of the irreducible ones. It is easy to see that the representation $T_{F,\tau} \in \hat{G}_\Xi$ if and only if $\tau \in X_G^{irr}(F,\Xi)$.

Consider the set of smooth Ξ-functions with compact support modulo ΓJ,

$$C_0^\infty(\Gamma J \setminus G, \Xi) := \{\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Gamma \setminus G); \phi(yx) = \Xi(y)\phi(x), \forall y \in \Gamma J, \forall x \in G\} \quad .$$

Let us denote dX the Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{j} \setminus \mathfrak{g}$ and dx the right-invariant measure on $\Gamma \setminus G$, $L^2(\Gamma J \setminus G, \Xi)$ the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Gamma J \setminus G, \Xi)$, on which there is a natural right regular representation of G.

From now on, suppose that G is *unimodular* . Let us recall that if π is a unitary representation of G, and $\phi \in L^2(\Gamma J \setminus G, \Xi)$, then

$$\pi(\phi) := \int_{\Gamma J \setminus G} \phi(x)\pi(x)dx \quad ,$$

which is well-defined.

With the assumption about uni-modularity of G, there exists a unique so called *Plancherèl measure* μ on $\hat{G}_\Xi := \{\pi \in \hat{G}; \pi|_{\Gamma J} = \operatorname{mult} \Xi\}$, such that for every $\phi \in L^2(\Gamma J \setminus G, \Xi)$, the so called it Plancherèl Formula

$$\phi(1) = \int_{\hat{G}_\Xi} tr(\phi) d\mu(\pi)$$

holds.

REMARK 5.2. If the index $(G_F : \Gamma(G_F)_0)$ is finite, the set $X_G^{irr}(F,\Xi)$ is also finite and the Plancherèl formula for $\phi \in L^2((\Gamma(G_F)_0)^\mathfrak{g} \setminus G_F^\mathfrak{g}, \Xi)$ is

$$\phi(1) = \frac{1}{\#\Gamma(G_F)_0 \setminus G_F} \sum_{\tau \in X_G^{irr}(F,\Xi)} \dim \tau \ \ tr\tau(\phi)$$

for $\phi \in C_0^\infty((\Gamma(G_F)_0)^\mathfrak{g} \setminus G_F^\mathfrak{g}, \Xi)$.

Let us consider a *regular* $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}_F$ is commutative and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_F$ is minimal. If its reductive factor $\mathfrak{s}_F$ in $\mathfrak{g}_F$ is of maximal possible dimension, we say that F is *strongly regular* and note $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*_{st}$.

It is not hard to prove that $\mathfrak{g}^*_{st}$ is an *Zariski open set* in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and there exists a *finite subset* $\{\mathfrak{s}_1, {}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_N\} \subseteq \{\mathfrak{s}_F, F \in \mathfrak{g}^*_{st}\}$ of representatives of conjugacy classes.

Consider now $\mathcal{U} := \mathfrak{g}^*_{st} \cap \mathfrak{g}^*_\xi$ and a G-invariant closed subset $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$,

$$\mathcal{P} := \{strongly\ \ regular\ \ \&\ \ \Xi - admissible\ \ F \in \mathfrak{g}^*_\xi\} \quad .$$

It is not hard to see that, if $F \in \mathcal{P}$, then F has a good polarization. Denote

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_G(\Xi) := \{(F,\tau) \in X^{irr}_G(F,\Xi); F \in \mathcal{P}\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(G) \quad .$$

The Duflo's construction of representations gives us a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}/G &\longrightarrow \hat{G}_\Xi \quad , \\ (F,\tau) &\mapsto T^G_{F,\tau} \end{aligned}$$

and a natural projection

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}/G &\twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{P}/G \quad , \\ (F,\tau) &\mapsto F \quad . \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 5.3 (**Plancherèl Formula**). *If G is a unimodular almost algebraic Lie group, there exists a G-invariant function ζ on $\mathcal{Y}$, with values in $(0,\infty)$, such that for every $\phi \in C^\infty_0(\Gamma J \setminus G, \Xi)$,*

$$\psi_\phi := \sum_{\tau \in X^{irr}_G(F,\Xi)} \frac{\dim \tau.\zeta(F,\tau)}{\#\Gamma(G_F)_0 \setminus G_F} \operatorname{Tr} T_{F,\tau}(\phi)$$

is a G-invariant $p(F)dF$-measurable function on $\mathcal{P}$ and

$$\psi(1) = \int_{\mathcal{P}/G} \psi_\phi(F) dm(F) \quad ,$$

where $dm(F)$ is the corresponding to $p(F)dF$ quotient measure on $\mathcal{P}/G$ and $p(F)$ some function on $\mathcal{P}$.

This theorem is the highest point of the Duflo theory.

6. Bibliographical Remarks

The main idea about partially invariant holomorphly induced representations are due to the author[**D4**]-[**D5**]. The analytic version of this construction of representations were due to M. DUFLO[**Du1**]-[**Du2**].

CHAPTER 8

Reduction, Modification and Superversion

1. Reduction to the Semi-simple or Reductive Cases

The aim of this appendix is to suggest a reduction of the procedure of multidimensional quantization to the indicate cases. The geometric construction is based on some ideas of M. Duflo about reduction. By using a new comprehension of polarizations, we can construct the representations of G, starting from the solvable or unipotent co-isotropic tangent distributions. We shall modify also the construction of partially invariant and holomorphly induced representations $\mathrm{Ind}(G;\tilde{L},B,\sigma_0)$.

1.1. Co-isotropic tangent distributions. As usually let us denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G and by $\mathfrak{g}^*$ its dual vector space. The group G acts on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ by the co-adjoint representation, some time K-representation. Let F be an arbitrary point in an K-orbit, say $\Omega = \Omega_F$ and G_F the stabilizer at this point. Denote, as usually $\mathfrak{g}_F$ its Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{r}_F$ the radical of $\mathfrak{g}_F$ and R_F the corresponding analytic subgroup in G. Let S_F be the semi-simple component of G_F in its Cartan-Levi-Mal'tsev's decomposition $G_F = S_F \ltimes R_F$.

Recall that we have had suppose that on the principle bundle

$$G_F \rightarrowtail G \twoheadrightarrow \Omega \approx G_F \setminus G$$

a connection Γ (or the same, a trivialization) was fixed. We have therefore an induced connection on the principal bundle

$$\begin{tikzcd} S_F \arrow[r] & R_F\backslash G \arrow[d] \\ & G_F\backslash G \approx \Omega\ . \end{tikzcd}$$

This means in particular that we obtain a fixed decomposition of the tangent bundle into the corresponding horizontal and vertical parts

$$T(R_F \setminus G) = T^h(R_F \setminus G) \oplus T^v(R_F \setminus G).$$

In particular the Kirillov's symplectic form ω_Ω of the K-orbit Ω_F induces a non-degenerate closed G-invariant 2-form $\tilde{\omega}_\Omega$ on $T^h(R_F \setminus G)$, defined by the formula

$$\tilde{\omega}_\Omega(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y}) = \omega_\Omega(k_*\tilde{X}, k_*\tilde{Y}),$$

where $k : R_F \setminus G \twoheadrightarrow G_F \setminus G$ is the natural projection of the principal bundle

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S_F \arrow[r] & R_F\backslash G \arrow[d, "k"] \\
 & G_F\backslash G
\end{tikzcd}$$

and $k_*\tilde{X}, k_*\tilde{Y}$ are the lifted following the connection vector fields, which are just sections of the tangent distribution $T^h(R_F \setminus G)$.

Definition 1.1. A smooth tangent distribution $\tilde{L} \subseteq T(R_F\backslash G)$ is called a *solvable co-isotropic distribution* iff:

i) $\tilde{L}$ is integrable and G-invariant,

ii) $\tilde{L}$ is $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant,

iii) $\tilde{L}$ is horizontal, i.e. $\tilde{L} \subseteq T^h(R_F \setminus G)$,

iv) $\tilde{L}$ is co-isotropic at $f \in R_F \setminus G$ such that $k(f) = F$ with respect to the form $\tilde{\omega}_\Omega$, i.e.

$$(\tilde{L}_f)^f \subseteq \tilde{L}_f,$$

where $(\tilde{L}_f)^f$ is the set of all elements $\tilde{X} \in T^h(R_F \setminus G)$ such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_\Omega(f)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \equiv 0, \forall \tilde{Y} \in \tilde{L}_f \quad .$$

It follows from the definition that if $\tilde{L}$ is co-isotropic at one point $f \in R_F \setminus G$, then so is it at all the other points of $R_F \setminus G$.

Theorem 1.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between solvable co-isotropic distributions and* $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$*-invariant co-isotropic Lie sub-algebras of* $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{L} \subseteq T(R_F \setminus G)$ be a solvable co-isotropic distribution. according to the Frobenius theorem, $\tilde{L}$ is a sub-algebra of the tangent subspace $T^h_f(R_F \setminus G)$ and then $L_F = k_*\tilde{L}_f$ is a sub-algebra of $T_F\Omega \approx \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$. Thus the inverse image $\mathfrak{b}$ of the sub-algebra $\mathfrak{l} = L_F$ under the natural projection

$$p : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F \cong T_F\Omega$$

is an $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{b}^F$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{b}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the Kirillov form ω_F. Now we verify the co-isotropic property of $\mathfrak{b}$. Indeed, let $X \in \mathfrak{b}^F$. We have therefore

$$\omega_F(X, Y) = 0, \forall Y \in \mathfrak{b} = p^{-1}(\mathfrak{l}),$$

then

$$\omega_\Omega(F)(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = 0, \forall \bar{Y} \in \mathfrak{l} = L_F; \bar{X} \in T_F\Omega.$$

This means that

$$\omega_\Omega(F)(k_*\tilde{X}, k_*\tilde{Y}) = 0, \forall \tilde{Y} \in \tilde{L}_f, \tilde{X} \in T^h_f(R_F \setminus G).$$

Therefore, $\tilde{X} \in (\tilde{L}_f)^f \subseteq \tilde{L}_f$, since $\tilde{L}$ is co-isotropic. It follows that $\bar{X} = k_*\tilde{X} \in L_F = \mathfrak{l}$. Thus $X \in \mathfrak{b} = p^{-1}(\mathfrak{l})$ and $\mathfrak{b}^F \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{b}$ is a co-isotropic sub-algebra.

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is an $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant co-isotropic sub-algebra. We define a smooth distribution $L \subseteq T\Omega$ by the formula

$$L_F := p(\mathfrak{b}),$$

$$L_{F'} := K(g)L_F; \forall F' = K(g)F \in \Omega.$$

By definition L is integrable, G-invariant and $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant. Let us denote by $\tilde{L}$ the horizontal lift of L into the tangent bundle $T(R_F \setminus G)$, i.e. $\tilde{L} \subseteq T^h(R_F \setminus G)$ and $k_*(\tilde{L}) = L$. Then $\tilde{L}$ is G-invariant, integrable, horizontal and $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant. Now verify that $\tilde{L}$ is co-isotropic. Indeed, for every $\tilde{X} \in (\tilde{L}_f)^f$, we have

$$\tilde{\omega}_\Omega(F)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = 0, \forall \tilde{Y} \in \tilde{L}_f.$$

By definition, this means that

$$\omega_\Omega(F)(k_*\tilde{X}, k_*\tilde{Y}) \equiv 0, \forall k_*\tilde{Y} \in L_F$$

or

$$\langle F, [X, Y] \rangle \equiv 0, \forall Y \in \mathfrak{b}; \bar{Y} = k_*\tilde{Y}.$$

Hence, $X \in \mathfrak{b}^F \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$, since $\mathfrak{b}$ is co-isotropic. Thus $\bar{X} \in L_F = k_*\tilde{L}_f$. The last means that

$$\tilde{X} \in k_*^{-1}(L_F) \cap T_f^h(R_F \setminus G) = \tilde{L}_f.$$

Then we have $(\tilde{L}_f)^f \subseteq \tilde{L}_f$. The theorem is therefore proved. □

We can do the same for the unipotent radical in place of the solvable radical. For this aim suppose that G is an algebraic Lie group. Let $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Denote by U_F the unipotent radical of G_F and by $\mathfrak{u}_F$ its Lie algebra. Let Q_F be the reductive component of G_F in the Cartan-Levi's decomposition $G_F = Q_F \ltimes U_F$. Using the Q_F-principal bundle

$$\begin{tikzcd} Q_F \arrow[r] & U_F\backslash G \arrow[d] \\ & G_F\backslash G \approx \Omega, \end{tikzcd}$$

we can construct a non-degenerate closed G-invariant 2-form ${}^u\tilde{\omega}_\Omega$ on the horizontal component $T^h(U_F \setminus G)$ of $T(U_F \setminus G) = T^h(U_F \setminus G) = T^h(U_F \setminus G) \oplus T^v(U_F \setminus G)$

Definition 1.2. A smooth distribution $L \subseteq T(U_F \setminus G)$ is called a *unipotent co-isotropic distribution* if it is integrable, G-invariant, $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant, horizontal and co-isotropic at f with respect to ${}^u\tilde{\omega}_\Omega$.

The following theorem can be proved by the similar arguments.

THEOREM 1.2. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the unipotent co-isotropic distributions and the* $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$*invariant co-isotropic Lie subalgebras of the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$.

1.2. (σ, χ_F)-polarizations.

DEFINITION 1.3. A point F of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is said to be *r-admissible* (the prefix $r-$ is for *solvable radical*) iff there exists a character (i.e. a one -dimensional representation) χ_F of R_F such that its differential $D\chi_F$ is the restriction $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle F, .\rangle|_{\mathfrak{r}_F}$.

Denote by ${}^{r}X_G^{irr}(F)$ the set of all equivalent classes of irreducible unitary representations of G_F such that the restriction of each of them to R_F is a multiple of the character χ_F. Then there is one-to-one correspondence between ${}^{r}X_G^{irr}(F)$and the set of all equivalent classes of irreducible projective representations of the semi-simple Lie group $R_F \setminus G_F$.

We can do the same for the unipotent radical $\mathfrak{u}_F$ of $\mathfrak{g}_F$.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let G be an algebraic Lie group. We say that a functional $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is *u-admissible* iff there exists a character, the differential of which is equal to the restriction of $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle F, .\rangle$ to the unipotent radical $\mathfrak{u}_F$.

Note that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_F$ of the unipotent radical U_F is unipotent. So from the fact that in this case the exponential map is a diffeomorphism, it follows that there exists such a character, say χ_F of U_F with the differential equal to the restriction $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle F, .\rangle|_{\mathfrak{u}_F}$. Hence we can say that every point $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is u-admissible.

Denote by ${}^{u}X_G^{irr}(F)$ the set of all equivalent classes of irreducible unitary representation of G_F such that the restriction of each of them to U_F is a multiple of the character χ_F. Following the general theory of projective representations, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between ${}^{u}X_G^{irr}(F)$ and the set of equivalent classes or irreducible projective unitary representations of the reductive group $U_F \setminus G_F$.

Since the reductive component Q_F of G_F has only a trivial covering, we can identify ${}^{u}X_G^{irr}(F)$ with the subset of the set of all equivalent classes of irreducible unitary representations of Q_F.

With the aim to find out the irreducible unitary representations, as usually, we consider an important generalization of the notion of co-isotropic distribution by going over to the complex domain. This means that we define the co-isotropic distribution $\tilde{L}$ in such a way that $\tilde{L}_f$ is a complex subspace of the complexified horizontal part of the tangent bundle $T_f^h(R_F \setminus G)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then the Theorems 1.1, 1.2 rest true also for these complexes versions.

Let $\tilde{L} \subseteq T_f^h(R_F \setminus G)_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a co-isotropic distribution such that $\tilde{L} \cap \overline{\tilde{L}}$ and $\tilde{L} + \overline{\tilde{L}}$ are the complexifications of some real distributions. In this case, the corresponding, following Theorem A1.2 complex sub-algebra $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. satisfies the condition: $\mathfrak{p}^F \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}^F$ and $\mathfrak{p}^F + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}^F$ are the complexifications of the real Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}^F := \mathfrak{p}^F \cap \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^F := (\mathfrak{p}^F + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}^F) \cap \mathfrak{g}$. Denote by H^F and M^F the corresponding analytic subgroups in G.

Similarly, we can construct also the unipotent co-isotropic distribution $\tilde{L} \subseteq T^h(U_F \setminus G)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Now suppose that the sub-algebra $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ is algebraic co-isotropic.

Definition 1.5. A solvable (resp., unipotent) co-isotropic distribution $\tilde{L}$ is called *closed* , iff all the subgroups H^F, M^F, and the semi-direct products $H := G_F \ltimes H^F$, $M := G_F \ltimes M^F$ are closed in G.

Definition 1.6. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be some fixed irreducible unitary representation of G_F in some Hilbert space $\tilde{V}$ such that its restriction to the radical R_F (resp., unipotent radical U_F) is a multiple of the character χ_F. The triple $(\tilde{L}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ is called a $(\tilde{\sigma}, \chi_F)$*-solvable* (resp., $(\tilde{\sigma}, \chi_F)$*-unipotent*) polarization, and $\tilde{L}$ is called *weakly Lagrangian distribution* , iff:

(1) σ_0 is an irreducible representation of the group H^F in a Hilbert space V', such that: (a) The restrictions are equal, $\sigma_0|_{G_F \cap H^F} = \tilde{L}|_{G_F \cap H^F}$, (b) The point σ_0 in the dual $\hat{H^F}$ is fixed under the natural action of G_F.

(2) ρ is a representation of the complex Lie sub-algebra $\mathfrak{p}^F$ in V', which satisfies E. Nelson's conditions and $\rho|_{\mathfrak{h}^F} = D\sigma_0$, the differential of σ_0.

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose that* $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ *is r-admissible,* $\tilde{L}$ *is closed and* $(\tilde{L}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ *is either a* $(\tilde{\sigma}, \chi_F)$*-solvable or* $(\tilde{L}, \chi_F)$*-unipotent polarization. Then*

(1) There exists a structure of mixed manifold of type (k, l) *on the space* $H^F \setminus G$*, where* $k = \dim G - \dim M$, $l = \frac{1}{2}(\dim M - \dim H^F)$.

(2) There exists a unique irreducible representation σ *of the subgroup* $H := G_F \ltimes H^F$ *such that*

$$\sigma|_{G_F} = \tilde{\sigma}, \sigma|_{H^F} = \sigma_0$$

and

$$\rho|_{\mathfrak{p}^F} = D\sigma_0 \quad .$$

Proof. (1). The assertion follows from Theorem 1 in Kirillov's book.

(2). Note that $\mathfrak{p}^F$ is invariant under the action Ad of G_F and G_F acts naturally on the dual $\widehat{H^F}$ of the group H^F. From the assumptions, σ_0 is fixed under this action of G_F. *The formula*

$$(x, b) \overset{\tau}{\mapsto} \tilde{\sigma}(x)\sigma_0(b); \forall x \in G_F, \forall b \in H^F,$$

define a representation of the product $G_F \times H^F$ *in the space* $V = \tilde{V} \otimes V'$.

Indeed, since σ_0 is fixed under the natural action of G_F in $\widehat{H^F}$, we have on one hand that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(x,b)\tau(x',b') &= \tilde{\sigma}(x)\sigma_0(b)\tilde{\sigma}(x')\sigma_0(b')\\ &= \tilde{\sigma}(xx')[\tilde{\sigma}(x')^{-1}\sigma_0(b)\tilde{\sigma}(x')]\sigma_0(b')\\ &= \tilde{\sigma}(xx')[\sigma_0(b)\sigma_0(b')]\\ &= \tilde{\sigma}(xx')\sigma_0(bb').\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by definition, we have

$$\tau((x,b).(x',b')) = \tau(xx',bb') = \tilde{\sigma}(xx')\sigma_0(bb').$$

It is clear that the representation τ is trivial on the kernel (, which is by definition the inverse image of the identity element) of the surjection

$$G_F \times H^F \longrightarrow H = G_F \ltimes H^F,$$

$$(x,b) \mapsto x.b.$$

Thus, there exists a unique representation of the semi-direct product $H = G_F \ltimes H^F$. We denote this representation by σ. Obviously, σ is an irreducible representation and $\sigma|_{G_F} = \tilde{\sigma}$, $\sigma|_{H^F} = \sigma_0$. The proposition is proved. $\square$

1.3. Induced representations obtained from the solvable or unipotent polarizations. Suppose that σ is the representation obtained from Proposition 1.1 Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} := G \times_{H,\sigma} V$ the smooth G-bundle associated with the representation σ of $H = G_F \ltimes H^F$. Similarly, let us consider the G-bundles $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} := G \times_{G_F,\sigma|_{G_F}} V$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} := G \times_{R_F,\sigma|_{R_F}} V$ for solvable radical, or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} := G \times_{U_F,\sigma|_{U_F}}$ for unipotent radical.

Recall that to obtain the unitary representations, we apply as usually the construction of unitarization, considering the non-unitary character $\delta = \sqrt{\Delta_H/\Delta_G}$ of H, the half-density bundle $\mathcal{M}^{1/2} := G \times_{R_F,\delta|_{R_F}} \mathbb{C}$ and finally the tensor product $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma} := \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{1/2}$. The last is called the *unitarized induced bundle.*

According to the construction, the unitarized induced G-bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V.\delta\sigma}$ can be identified with the set of pairs $(g,v) \in G \times V$ factorized by the following equivalence relation: $(g,v) \sim (g',v')$ iff there exists an element $h \in R_F$, such that $g' = hg$ and $v' = \delta(h)\sigma(h)v$. Then we have a natural isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}) \cong C^\infty(G; R_F, \delta\sigma),$$

$$\tilde{s} \mapsto f_{\tilde{s}},$$

where $C^\infty(G; R_F, \delta\sigma)$ is the space of the V-valued smooth functions on G satisfying the following equations

$$f(hg) = \delta(h)\sigma(h)f(g), \forall h \in R_F, \forall g \in G.$$

Similarly, we can obtain the unitarized induced G-bundles $\mathcal{E}_{V,\delta\sigma}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}$.

Recall that a section $\tilde{s} \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma})$ is said to be S_F-*equivariant* iff the corresponding V-valued function $f_{\tilde{s}}$ satisfies the following equations:

$$f_{\tilde{s}}(hg) = \delta(h)\sigma(h)f_{\tilde{s}}(g), \forall h \in R_F, \forall g \in G.$$

Denote by $\Gamma_{S_F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma})$ and $\Gamma_{S_F.H^F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma})$ the vector spaces of V-valued S_F-equivariant or $S_F.H^F$-equivariant sections on the unitarized induced G-bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}$, respectively.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *There exist isomorphisms of vector spaces*

$$\Gamma_{S_F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{V,\delta\sigma})$$

and

$$\Gamma_{S_F.H^F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}) \cong \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}).$$

These assertions follow directly from the definition of S_F-equivariant section and the construction of unitarized induced G-bundles $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{V,\delta\sigma}$.

Fixing a connection $\overline{\Gamma}$ on the principal bundle

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B \arrow[r] & G \arrow[d] \\
& B\backslash G,
\end{tikzcd}$$

we obtain the corresponding connection $\overline{\nabla}$ on the unitarized induced G-bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}$. Using the natural projection $\pi : G_F \setminus G \to H \setminus G$ and the projection $k : R_F \setminus G \to G_F \setminus G$, we obtain the affine connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on the unitarized induced G-bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}$. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma};\overline{\nabla}) \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{E}_{V,\delta\sigma};\nabla) \arrow[d] & (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma};\tilde{\nabla}) \arrow[d] & (G \times V; d) \arrow[d] \\
B\backslash G & G_F\backslash G \arrow[l, "\pi"'] & R_F\backslash G \arrow[l, "k"'] & G \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}.$$

DEFINITION 1.7. A section $\tilde{s} \in \Gamma_{S_F.H^F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma})$ is called *partially invariant and partially holomorphic* , iff the corresponding function $f_{\tilde{s}}$ satisfies the following equations

$$[L_X + \rho(X) + D\delta(X)]f \equiv 0, \forall X \in \overline{\mathfrak{p}^F}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}) := L^2_V(G; \tilde{L}, H = G_F \ltimes H^F, \rho, \sigma_0)$ the completion of the space of all the partially invariant and partially holomorphic square-integrable sections of the unitarized induced G-bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}$. The natural unitary G-action on $\mathcal{H}$ is called the *partially invariant and holomorphly induced representation* of G and denote by $\mathrm{Ind}(G; \tilde{L}, H, \rho, \sigma_0)$.

Remark 1.1. Suppose that the Lie group G is algebraic and σ is the unitary representation obtained in Proposition A1.9. corresponding to a $(\tilde{\sigma}, \chi_F)$-unipotent polarization $(\tilde{L}, \rho, \sigma)$. We can then construct also the unitarized induced G-bundles $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma} := G \times_{H,\delta\sigma} V$, $\mathcal{E}_{V,\delta\sigma} := G \times_{G_F,(\delta\sigma)|_{G_F}} V$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma} := G \times_{U_F,(\delta\sigma)|_{U_F}} V$. We also denote the resulted representation by $\mathrm{Ind}(G; \tilde{L}, \rho, \sigma_0)$.

Theorem 1.3. *The representation* $\mathrm{Ind}(G; \tilde{L}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ *of the Lie group* G *in the space* $\mathcal{H}$ *is equivalent to the representation of this group by the right translations in the space* $L^2(G; \tilde{L}, H, \rho, \sigma_0)$ *of the* V*-valued square-integrable functions on* G*, satisfying the following equation in the sense of distributions*

$$f(hg) = \delta(h)\sigma(h)f(g); \forall h \in H := G_F \ltimes H^F, \forall g \in G, \tag{1}$$

$$[L_X + \rho(X) + D\delta(X)]f = 0, \forall X \in \overline{\mathfrak{p}}^F, \tag{2}$$

where L_X *is the Lie derivation along the vector field* ξ_X *on* G *corresponding to* X*.*

Proof. According to the definition, the partially invariant and partially holomorphic sections $\tilde{s}$ are identified with the V-valued functions $f_{\tilde{s}}$ on G satisfying the equations (1) and (2). Then the action of $g \in G$ on a section $\tilde{s}$ is identified with the action by right translation of the function $f_{\tilde{s}}$. □

1.4. Unitary representations arising in the reduction of the multidimensional quantization procedure. As the model of the quantum mechanical system, we choose the space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}) = L^2(G; \tilde{L}, H = G_F \ltimes H^F, \rho, \sigma_0)$. The (reduction of the) multidimensional quantization procedure proposes the same quantization correspondence

$$\begin{array}{rl} \hat{(.)} : C^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow & \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \\ f \mapsto & \hat{f} := f + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}} \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{\xi}_f} \end{array} ,$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{\xi}_f}$ is the covariant derivation associated with the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on the G-bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\delta\sigma}$. We recall that it is defined by the following formula

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{\xi}_f} = L_{\tilde{\xi}_f} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\alpha(|\tilde{\xi}_f),$$

where $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\alpha$ is the connection form of $\tilde{\nabla}$, $L_{\tilde{\xi}_f}$ is the Lie derivation along $\tilde{\xi}_f$, which is the horizontal lift of the strictly Hamiltonian field ξ_f and $|\tilde{\xi}_f$ is the vertical component of $\tilde{\xi}_f$.

We have also the following result

Theorem 1.4. *The following three conditions are equivalent:*

(i) The application $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ *is a procedure of quantization,*
(ii) $\operatorname{Curv} \tilde{\nabla}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\tilde{\omega}_\Omega(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta})Id$,
(iii)$d_{\tilde{\nabla}}\alpha(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = -\tilde{\omega}_\Omega(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta})Id$, *where* $\tilde{\xi}$, $\tilde{\eta}$ *are the horizontal lifts of the strictly Hamiltonian fields* ξ, η *and* $\tilde{\omega}_\Omega$ *is the lifted on* Ω *2-form.*

We obtain therefore a representation Λ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by the (perhaps unbounded) normal (i.e. self - commutative and admitting operator closure) operators,

$$\Lambda : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}),$$

$$X \mapsto \Lambda(X) = \frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{f}_X,$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $f_X \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ is the generating function of the Hamiltonian field ξ_X corresponding to X.

If G is connected and simply connected, we obtain a unitary representation T of G defined by the following formula

$$T(\exp X) := \exp\left(\Lambda(X)\right); X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

We say that it is the *representation arising from the reduction of the procedure of multidimensional quantization.*

2. Multidimensional Quantization and U(1)-Covering

As it was remarked before, to avoid the Mackey's obstructions, M. Duflo lifted every things to the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-covering by using the metaplectic group $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$. By using the technique of P.L. Robinson and J. H. Rawnsley [**RoR**], we shall lift all things to the $U(1)$-covering via the Mp^c-structure,i.e. the group extensions of type

$$1 \to U(1) \to \mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \to 1$$

in place of the metaplectic structure Mp. Our purpose is to eliminate the Mackey's obstructions of the arising projective representations to obtain linear ones for our induction procedure. As in the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ case, for the Bargman-Segal model we lift the character χ_F of the connected component of stabilizer G_F to an fundamental character $\chi_F^{U(1)}$ of $G_F^{U(1)}$, modify the notion of positive polarization and then construct the induced representation. A reduction of this construction is just proposed to construct representations, starting from the so called semi-simple or unipotent. This appendix is a revised exposition of the works [**Vui1**],[**Vui2**].

2.1. Positive polarizations. As usually, let G be a connected and simply connected Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie} G$ its Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ the dual vector space. The group G acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by the adjoint representation Ad and on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ by the co-adjoint representation $K(.) := \operatorname{Ad}((.)^{-1})^*$. Let $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ be an arbitrary point in a K-orbit $\Omega = \Omega_F$, G_F the stabilizer of this point, $\mathfrak{g}_F := \operatorname{Lie} G_F$ the Lie algebra of this stabilizer, $\omega_F(X,Y) := \langle F, [X,Y]\rangle$, which has its kernel $\operatorname{Ker} \omega_F = \mathfrak{g}_F$, $\tilde{\omega}_F$ the corresponding symplectic form on the quotient space $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$ and also on its complexification $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and finally ω_Ω the Kirillov 2-form on Ω. Denote by $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F, \tilde{\omega}_F)$ the symplectic group of this symplectic vector space.

Recall

Definition 2.1. A complex sub-algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a *positive polarization* iff:

1. $(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and it is invariant under the cation Ad of the stabilizer G_F,
2. the subspace $\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the symplectic vector space $(T_F\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies the following conditions:
   (α) $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{p} = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega$,
   (β) $\tilde{\omega}_F(X,Y) \equiv 0, \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{l}$,
   (γ) $\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\omega}_F(X,\bar{X}) \geq 0, \forall X \in \mathfrak{l}$, where $\bar{X}$ is the conjugation of X in the complex space $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}$.
3. $\mathfrak{p}$ is invariant in the sense that $\forall g \in G$, $\operatorname{Ad}(g^{-1})(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g}_{F'})_{\mathbb{C}})$ satisfies (γ) in the space $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_{F'})_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $F' := K(g)F$.

We say that $\mathfrak{p}$ is *strictly positive* if the inequality (γ) is strict for each nonzero element $X \in \mathfrak{l}$.

Let us recall the standard notations $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}}$, $\mathfrak{m} := (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$, $H := G_F \ltimes H_0$ and $M := G_F \ltimes M_0$, where H_0 and M_0 are the analytic subgroups corresponding to the Lie sub-algebras $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$, respectively.

Suppose that our orbit Ω is admissible, i.e. there exists a (perhaps projective) representation U, which is a multiple of the character χ_F in restricting to the connected component of the stabilizer , i.e.

$$U(\exp X) = \chi_F(\exp X) Id = \exp(\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle F, X\rangle) Id,$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_F$.

We shall now, following R. L. Robinson and J. H. Rawnsley construct the vector space of vacuum states on which the subgroup H acts. For this reason, let us consider a positive polarization $\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}$ in the complexified symplectic space $((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}, \tilde{\omega}_F)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{d} := \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{g}_F$, we have $\mathfrak{l} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{l}} = \mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathfrak{d}^\perp = \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{g}_F = ((\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g})/\mathfrak{g}_F$, where by definition,

$$\mathfrak{d}^\perp := \{\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F; \tilde{\omega}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \equiv 0, \forall \tilde{Y} \in \mathfrak{d}\}.$$

It is therefore true that $\mathfrak{d} \subseteq \mathfrak{d}^{\perp}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{d}$ is an co-isotropic subspace of the symplectic space $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F, \tilde{\omega}_F)$. By using the co-isotropic reduction then $\tilde{\omega}_F$ descends to a symplectic structure on the space $\mathfrak{d}^{\perp}/\mathfrak{d}$, denoted by $\tilde{\omega}_{F,\mathfrak{d}}$.We have

$$\mathfrak{d}^{\perp}/\mathfrak{d} \cong (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{g}_F)/(\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \cong \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h},$$

thus there exists the symplectic structure on the space $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h}$ with the strictly positive polarization $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}}$ of $(\mathfrak{d}^{\perp}/\mathfrak{d}, \tilde{\omega}_{F,\mathfrak{d}})$ in the sense of P. L. ROBINSON AND J. H. RAWNSLEY (see [**RoR**],§3]) as follows.

Consider the canonical projection

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{d}} : \mathfrak{d}^{\perp}_{\mathbb{C}} \to (\mathfrak{d}^{\perp}/\mathfrak{d})_{\mathbb{C}},$$

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}} = \pi_{\mathfrak{d}}(L) = \mathfrak{l}/\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}})/(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The subgroup H acts on the Bargman space $\mathbb{H}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h})$ and preserves the one dimensional subspace of the so called* vacuum states $\{\mathcal{E}v'(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h})\}^{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}}$.

PROOF. Since $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h}$ is a symplectic space, we have a rigged Hilbert space in the sense of I. M. Gel'fand as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}) \subseteq \mathbb{H}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$$

In this case we have the fixed point set

$$\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})^{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}} = \{f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}) | \dot{W}(v)f \equiv 0, \forall v \in \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}\},$$

where $\dot{W} : \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$, defined by

$$(\dot{W}(v_1 + \sqrt{-1}v_2)f)(z) := -df_z(v_1 + Jv_2) + \frac{1}{2\hbar}\langle z, v_1 - Jv_2\rangle f(z),$$

$\langle .,.\rangle$ is the scalar product in $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and J denote the multiplication by $i = \sqrt{-1}$ on $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h}$.

Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{d}} = \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is strictly positive polarization, $\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})^{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}}$ is a complex line with the basis vector $f_{\mathfrak{d}}$ (see [**RoR**],§4). The action of H on $\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})^{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}}$ given by

$$(U(h)f_{\mathfrak{d}})(v) = U(h)f_{\mathfrak{d}}(v), \forall v \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

In the neighborhood of the identity of subgroup H we have

$$\chi_F(\exp X)f_{\mathfrak{d}} = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle F, X\rangle\right)f_{\mathfrak{d}}.$$

We may therefore regard the action of H on $\mathbb{H}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ given by the formula

$$\exp X \mapsto \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle F, X\rangle\right)f_{\mathfrak{d}}Id.$$

Therefore H conserves the one-dimensional space $\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h})^{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}}$. The proposition is proved. □

PROPOSITION 2.2. *$\chi_F(X)$ can be considered as a unitary integral operator with L^2-kernel $u_X(z,w)$,*

$$u_X(z,w) = \exp\big(\frac{1}{\hbar}[\sqrt{-1}\langle F, X\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle z,w\rangle - \frac{1}{4}\langle w,w\rangle]\big),$$

where $z, w \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

PROOF. It is enough to remark that the identity operator Id in $\mathbb{H}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ has its L^2-kernel

$$I(z,w) = \exp\big(\frac{1}{2\hbar}\langle z,w\rangle - \frac{1}{4\hbar}\langle w,w\rangle\big).$$

□

REMARK 2.1. (1) If $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})$ is an irreducible unitary representation of G_F, then the tensor product $\tilde{\sigma}\otimes\chi_F$ is a representation of G_F in the Hilbert space of $\tilde{V}$- valued holomorphic functions on $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h}$, square-integrable with respect to the Gaussian measure. For every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_F$ the representation operator $\tilde{\sigma}(\exp X).\chi_F(\exp X)$ has its L^2-kernel $u(.,.)$,

$$u(z,w) = \tilde{\sigma}(\exp X)\exp\{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle F, X\rangle + \frac{1}{2\hbar}\langle z,w\rangle - \frac{1}{4\hbar}\langle w,w\rangle\}.$$

(2) From the principal H-bundle $H \rightarrowtail M \twoheadrightarrow H\setminus M$ and the representation U of $H := G_F \ltimes H_0$ in the one-dimensional space $\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})^{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}}$ with the basis vector $f_{\mathfrak{d}}$ and the scalar product

$$(f_{\mathfrak{d}}, f_{\mathfrak{d}}) = \int_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h}} |f_{\mathfrak{d}}|^2 d\mu,$$

where μ is the Gaussian measure on $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h}$ associated with the density function θ,

$$\theta(z) := (2\pi\hbar)^{-m}\exp\big(-\frac{|z|^2}{2\hbar}\big), \forall z \in \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h}; m := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h},$$

we can construct the induced unitary representation Ind_H^M in the space $\mathbb{H}_{\tilde{V}}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})^{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}}$ (see ([**RoR**],§13) for more detail).

2.2. Lifted characters. Recall that if $\mathfrak{g}_F \neq \mathfrak{g}$, the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$ is non trivial and has the well known $U(1)$-covering by the so called the Mp^c-structure group $\mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$, which is an extension

$$1 \to U(1) \to \mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \to 1$$

(see [**RoR**],§2 for more detail). Each element U of this group $\mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$ can be presented by two parameters of type $U = (\lambda, g)$, such that $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$,$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$; $|\lambda^2 \det C_g| = 1$; $C_g := \frac{1}{2}(g - \sqrt{-1}\circ g \circ \sqrt{-1})$. In this parameterization, the surjection π of the extension can be precised as the projection on the second factor

$$\pi : \mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F),$$
$$\pi(\lambda, g) = g$$

with kernel $\operatorname{Ker}\pi = U(1)$

It is clear from the definition of the stabilizer G_F of the point F in the K-orbit Ω_F under the co-adjoint action that the following assertion is true.

Proposition 2.3. *There exists a natural homomorphism* $j : G_F \to \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$.

Proposition 2.4. *There exists an extension* $G_F^{U(1)}$ *of* G_F *with help of* $U(1)$ *such that the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Id"] & G_F^{U(1)} \arrow[r, "\pi_j"] \arrow[d, "k"] & G_F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "j"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \arrow[r, "\pi"] & \mathrm{Sp}\,(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[d, "Id"] & & G_F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "j"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \arrow[r, "\pi"] & S\,(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then from the well-known five-homomorphism lemma, we can construct

$$G_F^{U(1)} := \{(U, g) | \pi(U) = j(g) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}\, g^{-1}}\},$$

where by definition, $U = (\lambda, f) \in \mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$ with $f \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$;

$$|\lambda^2 \det\{\frac{1}{2}(f - \sqrt{-1} \circ f \circ \sqrt{-1})\}| = 1,$$

such that the desired diagram is commutative. So we have $\pi(U) = \pi(\lambda, f) := f = j(g) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}\, g^{-1}}$ and the general form of the elements of the $U(1)$-covering $G_F^{U(1)}$ is $((\lambda, \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}\, g^{-1}}), g)$. □

Now we consider an K-orbit $\Omega = \Omega_F$ passing through $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. We do not assume that the orbit is integral. From the exact sequence of Lie group

$$1 \to U(1) \to G_F^{U(1)} \to G_F \to 1$$

we have the corresponding *split* exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 \to \mathfrak{u}(1) \to \mathfrak{g}_F = \mathrm{Lie}\, G_F \to \mathfrak{g}_F \to 0$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{g}_F^{U(1)} \cong \mathfrak{g}_F \ltimes \mathfrak{u}(1)$.

DEFINITION 2.2. The K-orbit Ω_F is called $U(1)$-*admissible* iff there exists a unitary character

$$\chi^{U(1)}_{F,k} : G^{U(1)}_F \to \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{T}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

such that its differential is

$$D\chi^{U(1)}_{F,k}(X,\varphi) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}(\langle F, X\rangle + k\varphi), \forall (X,\varphi) \in \mathfrak{g}^{U(1)}_F = \mathfrak{g}_F \ltimes \mathfrak{u}(1),$$

for some foxed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The character $\chi^{U(1)}_F := \chi^{U(1)}_{F,1}$ is called *fundamental* .

It is clear that if $k = 0$, the K-orbit is integral; some K-orbit could not be integral, but $U(1)$-admissible.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *In a neighborhood of identity of $G^{U(1)}_F$, we have*

$$\chi^{U(1)}_F((\lambda, \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}\, g^{-1}}), g) = \exp\{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}(\langle F, X\rangle + \varphi)\},$$

where $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi\hbar$ such that

$$\lambda^2 \det(\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}\, g^{-1}} - \sqrt{-1} \circ \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}\, g^{-1}} \circ \sqrt{-1}) = \exp(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\varphi).$$

As an operator, acting on the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}_{\tilde{V}}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$, it has the following integral L^2-kernel

$$u(z,w) = \exp\{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}(\langle F, X\rangle + \varphi) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\hbar}\langle z, w\rangle - \frac{1}{4\hbar}\langle w, w\rangle\},$$

for all $z, w \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

PROOF. This proposition is easy to check directly. Applying the operator $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}$ to the expression $\chi^{U(1)}_F((\lambda, \mathrm{Ad}\,\widetilde{\exp(-tX)}), \exp(-tX))$ in combining with the previous proposition, we have these formulae. $\square$

It is easily now to construct the $U(1)$-covering $H^{U(1)} = G^{U(1)}_F \ltimes H_0$ for the polarization group $H := G_F \ltimes H_0$, starting from the homomorphism

$$pr_1 : H := G_F \ltimes H_0 \to G_F$$

and the $U(1)$- covering

$$1 \to U(1) \to G^{U(1)}_F \xrightarrow{\pi_j} G_F \to 1,$$

such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Id"] & G^{U(1)}_F \ltimes H_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] & G_F \ltimes H_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[r] & G^{U(1)}_F \arrow[r, "\pi_j"] & G_F \arrow[r] & .
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark that the Lie algebra of $H^{U(1)}$ is just $\mathfrak{h}^{U(1)} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{u}(1)$.

Now assume that the K-orbit Ω_F is $U(1)$-admissible, $\mathfrak{p}$ a positive polarization in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

DEFINITION 2.3. A unitary representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ of G_F in a separable Hilbert space $\tilde{V}$, such that its restriction to the connected component $(G_F)_0^{U(1)}$ is a multiple of the character $\chi_F^{U(1)}$ is said to be *fundamental.*

Fix one of this type representation $\tilde{\sigma}$. The triple $(\mathfrak{p}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ is called a (positive) $(\tilde{\sigma}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$-*polarization* iff:

(1) $(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$,

(2) $\mathfrak{p}$ is $\operatorname{Ad} G_F$-invariant,

(3) $\mathfrak{h} := (\mathfrak{p} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{m} := (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$ are real Lie sub-algebras of $\mathfrak{g}$,

(4) H_0, M_0, H, M are closed subgroups in G, where H_0 and M_0 are the analytic subgroups, corresponding to the real Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}$, said above, $H := G_F \ltimes H_0$, $M := G_F \ltimes M_0$,

(5) $\langle F, [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \rangle \equiv 0$, $\operatorname{codim}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{h} = \frac{1}{2} \dim \Omega_F$ and $\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a positive polarization of the symplectic space $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}$,

(6) σ_0 is an irreducible unitary representation of the $U(1)$-covering $(H_0)^{U(1)}$ in a Hilbert space V such that

$$\sigma_0|_{G_F^{U(1)} \cap (H_0)^{U(1)}} = \tilde{\sigma}|_{G_F^{U(1)} \cap (H_0)^{U(1)}},$$

(7) ρ is a representation of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{u}(1)$ in V such that its restriction

$$\rho|_{\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{u}(1)} = D\sigma_0|_{\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{u}(1)}.$$

As in the previous consideration, the following result is easily to be checked.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let Ω_F to be $U(1)$-admissible . The set $\tilde{X}_G(F)$ of fundamental representations is then non-empty. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be an irreducible fundamental representation and let $(\mathfrak{p}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ is a $(\tilde{\sigma}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$-polarization. Then there exists a unique unitary irreducible representation σ of the $U(1)$-covering such that*

$$\sigma|_{G_F^{U(1)}} = \tilde{\sigma} \circ \pi_j \chi_F^{U(1)}$$

and

$$D\sigma = \rho|_{\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{u}(1)}.$$

2.3. Induced representations. We introduce the following convention: For the trivial functional $F_0 = 0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, the stabilizer is therefore the whole group $G_{F_0} = G$. By definition, $\mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_{F_0}, \omega_{F_0}) = U(1)$, we put $G^{U(1)} := G \times U(1)$

Proposition 2.7. *There are isomorphisms of the fibered products*

$$G \times_H H^{U(1)} \cong G \times_{G_F} G_F^{U(1)} \cong G^{U(1)}$$

in the category of principal bundles.

Proof. By fixing a connection $\overline{\Gamma}$ on the principal bundle $H \rightarrowtail G \twoheadrightarrow H \setminus G$ we have the projection map $pr_{\overline{\Gamma}} : G \to H$. Therefore by fixing a trivialization, we can construct the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Id"] & G^{U(1)} \arrow[r, "\pi_j"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\mathrm{pr}}_{\overline{\Gamma}}"] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_{\overline{\Gamma}}"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[r] & H^{U(1)} \arrow[r] & H \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

. The rest of the proposition is trivial. ☐

Remark 2.2. From two previous isomorphisms, we have a principal $H^{U(1)}$-bundle over $H \setminus G$ and a principal $G_F^{U(1)}$-bundle over $G_F \setminus G$ and a projection between two bases

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{U(1)} \arrow[r] & G^{U(1)} \arrow[d] & G_F^{U(1)} \arrow[r] & G^{U(1)} \arrow[d] \\
 & H \setminus G & & G_F \setminus G, \arrow[ll, "\pi"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π is the natural projection from $G_F \setminus G$ onto $H \setminus G$.

It is therefore natural to consider the bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho} := G^{U(1)} \times_{H^{U(1)},\sigma} V$ over $H \setminus G$,associated with the representation σ of $H^{U(1)}$ from Proposition A2.10. The inverse image of this bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}$ is a vector bundle over the orbit $\Omega = \Omega_F = G_F \setminus G$. It is easy to see that in the category of vector bundles, $\pi^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}$ and $G^{U(1)} \times_{G_F^{U(1)},\sigma|_{G_F^{U(1)}}} V$ are equivalent. Denote by $\Gamma(\pi^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,rho})$ the space of smooth sections of the bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma}$. The connection $\overline{\Gamma}$ on the principal $H^{U(1)}$-bundle $H^{U(1)} \rightarrowtail G^{U(1)} \twoheadrightarrow H\setminus G$ induces a connection Γ on the principal $G_F^{U(1)}$-bundle $G_F^{U(1)} \rightarrowtail G^{U(1)} \twoheadrightarrow \Omega \approx G_F \setminus G$, we then obtain an affine connection ∇^Γ on the associated bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho} = G^{U(1)} \times_{G_F^{U(1)},\sigma|_{G_F^{U(1)}}} V$.

Recall that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the $(\tilde{\sigma}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$-polarizations of type $(\mathfrak{p}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ and the integrable $\mathrm{Ad}\, G_F$-invariant closed weakly Lagrangian tangent distributions $\mathfrak{l}$. We put by definition

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{l}}(\pi^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma}) := \{s \in \Gamma(\pi^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}) | \nabla^\Gamma_\xi s \equiv 0, \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{l}\}.$$

The natural G-action on this subspace of sections is denoted by $\mathrm{Ind}(G; \mathfrak{p}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ and will be called also as the *partially invariant and holomorphly induced representation* .

REMARK 2.3. Now we show that with the technique developed in [**RoR**] one can obtain also these induced representations.

First we construct a canonical representation of the metaplectic group $\mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$.

For every $v \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$, consider the unitary operator $W(v)$ defined by

$$(W(v)f)(z) = \exp\{\frac{1}{4\hbar}\langle 2z, w\rangle\} f(z-v), \forall f \in \mathbb{H}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}), \forall z \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

The map

$$W : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbb{H}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}})$$

is an irreducible projective unitary representation of the vector group $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$ with multiplier $\exp(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}\hbar}\tilde{\omega}_F)$. This is therefore the canonical projective representation of the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$ and gives the corresponding unitary representation μ of the $U(1)$-covering group $\mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$, called the *(complex) metaplectic representation.*

Using the rigged structure

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset \mathbb{H}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}})$$

we can compute the corresponding representation $\dot{W}$ of $(\mathfrak{g}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}$ on the space $\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}})$ as follows

$$(\dot{W}(v_1+\sqrt{-1}v_2)f)(z) = -df_z(v_1+Jv_2)+\frac{1}{2\hbar}\langle z, v_1-Jv_2\rangle f(z), \forall z, v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}})$.

For convenience in what follows, we write $\mathfrak{P}$ for the principal $G_F^{U(1)}$-bundle $G_F^{U(1)} \rightarrowtail G^{U(1)} \twoheadrightarrow G_F \setminus G$. By using the homomorphism $k : G_F^{U(1)} \to \mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F)$ and the metaplectic representation

$$\mu : \mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F) \to \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

we have the associated with the representation $\mu \circ k$ vector bundle with the typical fiber $\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}})$. For a fundamental representation (σ, V) of $G_F^{U(1)}$ the tensor product $\sigma \otimes (\mu \circ k)$ defines a representation of $G_F^{U(1)}$ in the space $V \otimes \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}})$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})$ the vector bundle associated with the representation $\sigma \otimes (\mu \circ k)$ of the typical fiber $G_F^{U(1)}$ of the principal bundle $\mathfrak{P}$.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *For each $F' \in \Omega_F$ there exists a canonical linear map*

$$\dot{W}_{F'} : (T_{F'}\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P}))_{F'},$$

such that

$$[\dot{W}_{F'}(\tilde{X}), \dot{W}_{F'}(\tilde{Y})] = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\tilde{\omega}_{F'}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \forall \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in (T_{F'}\Omega)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Proof. It enough to prove the commutation relation at one point F because at the other points it is transported in changing by conjugations, what do not change the first. Because the representation σ is fundamental , its restriction to $(G_F)_0^{U(1)}$ is just a multiple of the fundamental character $\chi_F^{U(1)}$. The commutation relation at F is therefore deduced from a direct computation. $\square$

Remark 2.4. We assume that our K-orbit is of dimension $\dim \Omega_F = 2m$. Recall (see [**RoR**]) that the line bundle $\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}} := \wedge^m(\tilde{L}^\perp)$ is a complex line sub-bundle of the bundle $\wedge^m(T\Omega_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ with the basis vector section $K_{\tilde{L}}$.

Put

$$\mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}_{F'} := \{f \in \mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})_{F'} | \dot{W}_{F'}(X)f \equiv 0, \forall \tilde{X} \in \tilde{L}_{F'}\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}$ is a tensor product of the complex line bundle $\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}$ with the bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})$, associated with the fundamental representation σ of the fiber $G_F^{U(1)}$ of $\mathfrak{P}$. It is not hard to prove the following result.

Proposition 2.9. *There is a canonical isomorphism of the complex bundles*

$$\mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}} \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P}).$$

Remark 2.5. In our multidimensional situation, by putting

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} := \mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}}$$

we have then

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} = \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P}) \otimes \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}}.$$

Hence ,

$$(\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}})^2 = \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P}) \otimes \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}}.$$

Taking Proposition A2.16 into account, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}})^2 &= \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P}) \otimes \mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma,\varrho}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}} \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}}.\end{aligned}$$

As in the above section, on $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})$ there is a connection ∇^Γ and $\nabla^\Gamma \otimes I + I \otimes \mathrm{Lie}$ provides a connection on $[\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})]^{\otimes 2}$, and therefore defines uniquely a connection $\nabla^{\tilde{L}}$ in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}$. Denote by $\Gamma_{\tilde{L}}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}})$ the space of all the sections of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}$, the covariant derivatives of which vanish along the directions of $\tilde{L}$,

$$\nabla^{\tilde{L}}_\xi s \equiv 0, \forall \xi \in \tilde{L}.$$

We consider its subspace of sections ,say s with compact support square module $\langle s, s\rangle_{\tilde{L}}$.Then in this subspace, we can consider the scalar product of type $\langle s,t\rangle_{\tilde{L}}$. The completion of this subspace with respect to this scalar product is a Hilbert space, denoted $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}$.

We summarize the obtained result as follows

THEOREM 2.1. *With any* $(\tilde{\sigma},\chi_F^{U(1)})$*-polarization* $(\mathfrak{p},\rho,\sigma_0)$, *there exists a natural unitary representation of* G *in* $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}$*, denoted by* $\operatorname{Ind}(G;\mathfrak{p},\rho,\sigma_0)$.

2.4. Multidimensional quantization. As a model of the quantum system, we choose the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}$ as in the previous subsection. We shall use the bundle $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}=\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})\otimes\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}\otimes\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}}$ to construct the procedure of quantization,

$$\begin{array}{rl}\tilde{V}\otimes\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}})^{\tilde{L}}\otimes\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}}\to & \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma,\rho}(\mathfrak{P})\otimes\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}\otimes\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}}\\ & \downarrow\nabla^{\tilde{L}}\\ & \Omega\ \approx\ G_F\setminus G.\end{array}$$

We define the quantization procedure on this $U(1)$-covered situation as follows

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\hat{.}:C^\infty(\Omega) & \to & \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}})\\ f & \to & \hat{f}:=f+\frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\nabla^{\tilde{L}}_{\xi_f},\end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}})$ is the space of (perhaps unbounded) Hermitian operators , admitting operator closure on $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}$ and $\nabla^{\tilde{L}}_{\xi_f}$ is the covariant derivation associated with the connection $\nabla^{\tilde{L}}$ on the bundle $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}$. Recall that

$$\nabla^{\tilde{L}}_{\xi_f}=L_{\xi_f}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\alpha(|(\xi_f)).$$

The first summand is the well-known Lie derivation and the second summand is the connection form.

By a similar argument as in previous section, we have the following result

PROPOSITION 2.10. *The following three conditions are equivalent:*
(i) $d_{\nabla^{\tilde{L}}}\alpha(\xi,\eta)=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\omega_\Omega(\xi,\eta)Id.$
(ii) $\operatorname{Curv}(\nabla^{\tilde{L}})(\xi,\eta)=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\omega_\Omega(\xi,\eta)Id.$
(iii) The correspondence $f\mapsto\hat{f}$ *is a procedure of quantization.*

REMARK 2.6. Having this procedure of quantization, we obtain also the corresponding representation Λ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\Lambda:\mathfrak{g} & \to & \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}})\\ X & \mapsto & \Lambda(X)=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\hat{f}_X,\end{array}$$

where $X\in\mathfrak{g}$ and $f_X\in C^\infty(\Omega)$ is the generating function of the corresponding Hamiltonian field ξ_X corresponding to X. If G is connected and simply connected, we obtain the corresponding representation T of G,

$$T(\exp X) = \exp(\Lambda(X)), \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

It can be also prove that

$$\mathrm{Lie}_X \operatorname{Ind}(G; \mathfrak{P}, \sigma, \rho) = \Lambda(X), \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

2.5. U(1)-covering of the radicals and the semi-simple or reductive data. We shall now reduce the procedure of multidimensional quantization on $U(1)$-coverings of the stabilizers to the one with the semi-simple or reductive data, following an idea of M. Duflo and another one of P. L. Robinson and J. H. Rawnsley.

As usually, let us denote $\mathfrak{r}_F$ the solvable radical and $\mathfrak{u}_F$ the unipotent radical of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_F$ of stabilizer G_F, respectively. Denote by $\mathcal{AP}^{U(1)}(G)$ the set of all F in $\mathfrak{g}^*$, which are $U(1)$-admissible and positive well-polarizable, $X_{irr}^{U(1)}(F)$ the set of all equivalent classes of *fundamental* irreducible unitary representations of $G_F^{U(1)}$ such that the restriction of each of them to $(G_F)_0^{U(1)}$ is a multiple of the fundamental character $\chi_F^{U(1)}$. Then the elements $\tilde{\sigma} \in X_{irr}^{U(1)}(F)$ are in a one-to-one correspondence with the projective representations of the discrete group $(G_F)_0 \setminus G_F$. Recall that the set of the $U(1)$-data is defined as

$$\mathcal{X}^{U(1)}(G) = \{(F, \tilde{\sigma}) | F \in \mathcal{AP}^{U(1)}(G), \tilde{\sigma} \in X_{irr}^{U(1)}(F)\}.$$

For every $U(1)$-data $(F, \tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{X}^{U(1)}(G)$, we can choose a $(\tilde{\sigma}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$-polarization $(\mathfrak{p}, \rho, \sigma)$. Then we can construct the corresponding representation σ of the $U(1)$-covering $H^{U(1)} := G_F^{U(1)} \ltimes H_0$ and finally induce to obtain a unitary representation of G. We reduce here the consideration to the case of semi-simple or reductive groups. For this aim, let us denote S_F the semi-simple component of G_F in its Cartan-Levi-Mal'tsev's decomposition., $G_F = S_F \ltimes R_F$. Due to the fact that the principal S_F-bundle $S_F \rightarrowtail R_F \setminus G \xrightarrow{k} G_F \setminus G$, is locally trivial, there exists a connection (i.e. a trivialization) on it. Therefore the tangent vector fields on the base $\Omega \approx G_F \setminus G$ can be uniquely lifted to the corresponding horizontal vector fields on $R_F \setminus G$. Then the Kirillov 2-form ω_Ω of our orbit $\Omega \approx G_F \setminus G$ can be lifted a non-degenerate closed G-invariant 2-form $\tilde{\omega}_\Omega$ on the horizontal component $T^h(R_F \setminus G)$ defined by the formula

$$\tilde{\omega}_\Omega(f)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) := \omega_\Omega(F)(k_*\tilde{X}, k_*\tilde{Y}),$$

for all the horizontally lifted vector fields $\tilde{X}$,$\tilde{Y}$, where $f \in R_F \setminus G$, $F = k(f) \in \Omega$.

The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(T^h_f(R_F \setminus G), \tilde{\omega}_\Omega(f))$ has also a $U(1)$-covering $\mathrm{Mp}^c(T^h_f(R_F \setminus G), \tilde{\omega}_\Omega(f))$,

$$1 \to U(1) \to \mathrm{Mp}^c(T^h_f(R_F \setminus G)) \xrightarrow{k} \mathrm{Sp}(T^h_f(R_F \setminus G)) \to 1.$$

Proposition 2.11. *There is a natural group homomorphism j from the solvable radical R_F and a natural group homomorphism from the unipotent radical U_F of G_F to $\mathrm{Sp}(T^h_f(R_F \setminus G))$, and therefore a metaplectic representation of R_F and one of U_F.*

Proof. To prove it is enough to remark that due to lifting we have

$$k_* : T^h_f(R_F \setminus G) \xrightarrow{\approx} T_{k(f)}\Omega \approx \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$$

and every element $g \in R_F \subseteq G_F$ acts on the tangent space $T_{k(f)}\Omega \approx \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$ by the Adjoint action $K(g) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}\, g^{-1}}$. The same argument is true for the unipotent radical U_F. □

Corollary 2.1. *There are natural $U(1)$-coverings $R_F^{U(1)}$ and $U_F^{U(1)}$ of R_F and U_F,respectively.*

Proof. It's enough to use the well-known five-homomorphisms lemma from homological algebra,

$$R_F^{U(1)} := \{((\lambda, \phi), g) | \delta(\lambda, \phi) = j(g) := k_*^{-1}\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}\, g^{-1}} k_*\},$$

where $\phi \in \mathrm{Sp}(T^h_f(R_F \setminus G))$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$;

$$|\lambda^2 \det\{\frac{1}{2}(\phi - \sqrt{-1} \circ \phi \circ \sqrt{-1})\}| = 1.$$

Therefore, every element of $R_F^{U(1)}$ is of type $((\lambda, \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}\, g^{-1}}), g)$. □

Return now to the situation of the K-orbit Ω. We have a short exact sequence of Lie groups

$$1 \to U(1) \to R_F^{U(1)} \to R_F \to 1$$

, and therefore a short exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 \to \mathfrak{u}(1) \to \mathfrak{r}_F^{U(1)} := \mathrm{Lie}\, R_F^{U(1)} \to \mathfrak{r}_F \to 0.$$

Thus as vector space, $\mathfrak{r}_F^{U(1)} \cong \mathfrak{r}_F \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$. Moreover in ([**RoR**],§5) it was shown that it is the direct sum of Lie algebras.

Definition 2.4. A point $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is called $(r, U(1))$- (for solvable radical case, or $(u, U(1))$- for unipotent radical case)*admissible* iff there exists a unitary character

$$\chi_{F,k}^{U(1)} : R_F^{U(1)} \to \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{T}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

with the differential

$$D\chi^{U(1)}_{F,k}(X,\varphi) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}(\langle F, X\rangle + k\varphi),$$

where $(X,\varphi) \in \mathfrak{r}^{U(1)}_F$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is some fixed integral number. If $k = 0$, $(r, U(1))$-admissibility is coincided with the previously defined r-admissibility and $(u, U(1))$-admissibility is coincided with u-admissibility. If $k = 1$, we say that the lifted character $\chi^{U(1)}_F = \chi^{U(1)}_{F,1}$ is *fundamental.*

Denote by ${}^rX^{U(1)}_{irr}(F)$ (resp., ${}^uX^{U(1)}_{irr}(F)$) the set of all equivalent classes of the so called fundamental irreducible unitary representations of $G^{U(1)}_F$ such that the restriction of each of them to $R^{U(1)}_F$ (resp., $U^{U(1)}_F$) is a multiple of the fundamental character $\chi^{U(1)}_F$.

Definition 2.5. A smooth complex tangent distribution $\tilde{L} \subset T(R_F \setminus G)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a *positive solvable (tangent) distribution* iff:

(i) $\tilde{L}$ is an integrable and G-invariant sub-bundle of $T^h(R_F \setminus G)_{\mathbb{C}}$,

(ii) $\tilde{L}$ is invariant under the action Ad of G_F,

(iii) For each $f \in R_F \setminus G$, the fiber $\tilde{L}_f$ is a positive polarization of the symplectic vector space $(T^h(R_F \setminus G)_{\mathbb{C}}, \tilde{\omega}_\Omega(f))$, i.e.

$$\dim \tilde{L}_f = \frac{1}{2}\dim T^h_f(R_F \setminus G), \tag{α}$$

$$\tilde{\omega}_\Omega(f)(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y}) \equiv 0, \forall \tilde{X},\tilde{Y} \in T^h_f(R_F \setminus G)_{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{β}$$

$$\sqrt{-1}\tilde{\omega}_\Omega(f)(\tilde{X},\overline{\tilde{X}}) \geq 0, \forall \tilde{X} \in T^h_f(R_F \setminus G)_{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{γ}$$

We say that the distribution $\tilde{L}$ is *strictly positive* iff the inequality (γ) is strict for every non-zero $\tilde{X} \in \tilde{L}_f$.

It is easily to see that *if $\tilde{L}$ is a positive solvable distribution, then the inverse image $\mathfrak{p} := p^{-1}(L_F)$, where $L_F := k_*\tilde{L}_f$, for $F := k(f)$, under the natural projection*

$$p : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/(\mathfrak{g}_F)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is a positive (solvable) polarization in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ at F.

Suppose that $\tilde{L} \subseteq T^h(R_F \setminus G)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a positive solvable distribution such that $\tilde{L} \cap \overline{\tilde{L}}$ and $\tilde{L} + \overline{\tilde{L}}$ are the complexifications of some real distributions. In this case, the corresponding complex sub-algebra $\mathfrak{p} := p^{-1}(k_*\tilde{L}_f)$ satisfies the following conditions: $\mathfrak{p} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ are the complexifications of the real Lie sub-algebras $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{m} := (p + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$. Denote by H_0 and M_0 the corresponding analytic subgroups.

Definition 2.6. A positive (solvable) distribution $\tilde{L}$ is called *closed* iff the subgroups H_0, M_0 and the semi-direct product $H := R_F \ltimes H_0$ and $M := R_F \ltimes M_0$ are closed in G.

In what follows we assume that $\tilde{L}$ is closed. It is also easy to prove the following.

Proposition 2.12. *In a neighborhood of identity of $R_F^{U(1)}$, we have*

$$\chi_F^{U(1)}((\lambda, \widetilde{\operatorname{Ad} g^{-1}}), g) = \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}(\langle F, X\rangle + \varphi)\right),$$

where $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi\hbar$ such that

$$\lambda^2 \det\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{Ad} g^{-1}} - \sqrt{-1} \circ \widetilde{\operatorname{Ad} g^{-1}} \circ \sqrt{-1}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\varphi\right).$$

As an operator, acting on the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}_{\tilde{V}}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$, it has the following integral L^2- kernel

$$u(z, w) = \exp\left\{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}(\langle F, X\rangle + \varphi) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\hbar}\langle z, w\rangle - \frac{1}{4\hbar}\langle w, w\rangle\right\}, \forall z, w \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

According to the definitions, H_0 is a normal subgroup in H and there is the adjoint action of R_F on H_0. Moreover, we have the projection epimorphism

$$\pi_j : R_F^{U(1)} \to R_F,$$

Thus $R_F^{U(1)}$ acts on H_0. Hence we can define the semi-direct product $R_F^{U(1)} \ltimes H_0$ and the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Id"] & R_F^{U(1)} \ltimes H_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] & R_F \ltimes H_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_1"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & U(1) \arrow[r] & R_F^{U(1)} \arrow[r] & R_F \arrow[r] & 1,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where by pr_1 be denote the projection map on the first factor. Then $H^{U(1)} := R_F^{U(1)} \ltimes H_0$ is the $U(1)$-covering of $H := R_F \ltimes H_0$. It has $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ the corresponding Lie algebra. Denote as usually by $H_0^{U(1)}$ the inverse image of H_0 in $H^{U(1)}$ under the $U(1)$-covering projection map.

Let us say that a fixed irreducible unitary representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $G_F^{U(1)}$ in a separable Hilbert space $\tilde{V}$ is *fundamental* iff its restriction to $R_F^{U(1)}$ is a multiple of the fundamental character $\chi_F^{U(1)}$.

Definition 2.7. The triple $(\tilde{L}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ is said to be a *solvable* $(\tilde{\sigma}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$-*polarization* and $\tilde{L}$ is a *weakly Lagrangian distribution* iff

(i) σ_0 is an irreducible representation of the subgroup $H_0^{U(1)}$ in a Hilbert space $V = \tilde{V} \otimes V'$ such that

$$\sigma_0|_{R_F^{U(1)} \cap H_0^{U(1)}} = \tilde{\sigma},$$

(ii) ρ is a representation of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ in V such that

$$\rho|_{\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{u}(1)} = D\sigma_0,$$

the corresponding infinitesimal representation of the Lie algebra.

It is easy to prove also an analogue of the Proposition A2.10 as follows

PROPOSITION 2.13. *If Ω_F is $(r, U(1))$-admissible, $(\tilde{L}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ is a$(\tilde{\sigma}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$-solvable polarization, then there exists a unique irreducible unitary representation σ of $H^{U(1)}$ in V such that*

$$\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}} = \tilde{\sigma}$$

and

$$D\sigma = \rho|_{\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{u}(1)}.$$

It is reasonable to remark that the same can be done for the unipotent radical in place of the solvable radical. We have an analogous reduction of the procedure of quantization on the $U(1)$-coverings.

2.6. Induction from semi-simple data. Let $(F, \tilde{\sigma})$ is a semi-simple $U(1)$-data, i.e. F is a $(r, U(1))$-admissible, therefore there exists the fundamental character $\chi_F^{U(1)}$ of the $U(1)$-covering $R_F^{U(1)}$ of R_F, and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a fundamental representation of $G_F^{U(1)}$ in the sense that its restriction to the $U(1)$-covering $R_F^{U(1)}$ of the radical R_F of G_F is a multiple of the fundamental character $\chi_F^{U(1)}$.

Recall that for the element $F_0 = 0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, the stabilizer is the whole group, $G_F = G$. It is reasonable to put $\mathrm{Mp}^c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_{F_0}, \tilde{\omega}_{F_0}) = U(1)$ and $G^{U(1)} = G \times U(1)$. Fixing a connection $\overline{\Gamma}$ on $H \rightarrowtail G \twoheadrightarrow H \setminus G$ we have the corresponding ones Γ, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ on $G_F \rightarrowtail G \twoheadrightarrow G_F \setminus G$ and $R_F \rightarrowtail G \twoheadrightarrow R_F \setminus G$, respectively. Passing to the $U(1)$-covering of the leaves i.e. the structural group are lifted to the corresponding $U(1)$-covering, we have the following isomorphisms between the total spaces of principal bundles

$$G^{U(1)} \cong G_{\Gamma}^{U(1)} \cong G_{\overline{\Gamma}}^{U(1)} \cong G_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{U(1)},$$

we have a principal $H^{U(1)}$-bundle on $H \setminus G$, a principal $G_F^{U(1)}$-bundle on the orbit Ω, a principal $R_F^{U(1)}$-bundle on on $R_F \setminus G$ and two homomorphisms between them as follows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{U(1)} \arrow[r] & G^{U(1)}_{\overline{\Gamma}} \arrow[d] & G_F^{U(1)} \arrow[r] & G^{\;U(1)}_{\;\Gamma} \arrow[d] & R_F^{U(1)} \arrow[r] & G^{\;U(1)}_{\;\tilde{\Gamma}} \arrow[d] \\
 & B\backslash G & & G_F\backslash G \arrow[ll, "\pi"'] & & R_F\backslash G, \arrow[ll, "k"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π and k are the natural projections.

With the fundamental representation σ of $H^{U(1)}$ we can construct the induced bundles $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma,\rho}$, $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma|_{G_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}$ over the bases $H\backslash G$, $G_F\backslash G$, $R_F \setminus G$, respectively. In the category of smooth vector bundles $k^*\pi^*\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma,\rho}$, $k^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma|_{G_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}$ are equivalent. The fixed connection $\overline{\Gamma}$ induces a connection Γ on the principal bundle $G_F^{U(1)} \to G^{U(1)} \to \Omega \approx G_F\backslash G$, and a (affine) connection ∇^Γ on the associated bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma|_{G_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}$. By analogy, we obtain also an affine connection $\widetilde{\nabla^\Gamma}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}$. We put

$$\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{L},S_F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}) := \{s \in \mathcal{S}_{S_F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}) | \widetilde{\nabla^\Gamma}_{\tilde{\xi}} s \equiv 0, \forall \tilde{\xi} \in \tilde{L}\},$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{S_F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.})$ is the vector space of S_F-equivariant sections of the bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}$. The natural action of G on this space of sections is denoted by $\mathrm{Ind}(G;\tilde{L},\rho,\sigma_0)$ and is called the *(reduction of) the partially invariant and holomorphly induced representation.* It is easily to unitarize, and do, this representation to the unitary one on the completion $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{S_F}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.})$

As above, for our convenience in what follows we write $\mathfrak{P}$ for the principal bundle $R_F^{U(1)} \rightarrowtail G^{U(1)} \twoheadrightarrow R_F \setminus G$. By using the well-defined homomorphism

$$l : R_F^{U(1)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mp}^c(T^h_f(R_F \setminus G))$$

and the complex metaplectic representation

$$\mu : \mathrm{Mp}^c(T^h_f(R_F \setminus G)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}\,\mathcal{E}'(T^h_f(R_F \setminus G)),$$

we have the bundle associated with the principal bundle $\mathfrak{P}$ via the homomorphism $\mu \circ l$. Consider now the tensor product representation $\sigma.\chi_F^{U(1)}$ of the fiber $R_F^{U(1)}$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{p})$ the corresponding associated bundle.

Theorem 2.2. *With every solvable $(\tilde{\sigma},\chi_F)$-polarization $(\tilde{L},\rho,\sigma_0)$, there exists a natural unitary representation of G in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}$.*

PROOF. According to Proposition A2.14, and since k_* is the linear lifting isomorphism, there exists for each $f' \in R_F \setminus G$ a canonical linear map

$$W_{f'} : T^h_{f'}(R_F \setminus G)_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(p)_{f'}),$$

such that

$$[W_{f'}(\tilde{X}), W_{f'}(\tilde{Y})] = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\tilde{\omega}_\Omega(f')(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y}), \forall \tilde{X},\tilde{Y} \in T^h_{f'}(R_F \setminus G)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

We assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} T^h_f(R_F \setminus G) = 2m$, the top exterior power $\mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}}$ is a complex line bundle in $\wedge^m T^h_f(FF \setminus G)$ with the basis vector $K_{\tilde{L}}$. Putting

$$\mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}_{f'} := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P})_{f'} | W_{f'}(\tilde{X})\varphi = 0, \forall \tilde{X} \in \tilde{L}_{f'}\},$$

then

$$\mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} := \bigcup_{f'} \mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}_{f'}$$

is the tensor product of the complex line bundle $\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}$ with the associated bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P})$. As in Proposition A2.16 , there is a canonical isomorphism of complex bundles

$$\mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}} \quad \overset{\cong}{\to} \quad \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P}) \quad .$$

Putting $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} := \mathcal{E}'_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}}$, we have as in the previous subsection

$$[\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}]^{\otimes 2} = [\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P})]^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}}.$$

We have also the affine connection $\tilde{\nabla}^\Gamma$ on the associated bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P})$, the affine connection $\tilde{\nabla}^{\tilde{L}}$ on the associated bundle $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}})$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{L},S_F}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}})$ the space of the S_F-equivariant sections of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}$ such that

$$\tilde{\nabla}^{\tilde{L}} s \equiv 0, \forall \xi \in \tilde{L}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}} := \{s \in \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{L},S_F}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}) | \langle s, s\rangle_{\tilde{L}} \in C_0^\infty(G_F \setminus G)\}$$

and denote also by $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}$ the completion with respect to this norm. The natural unitary representation of G in the Hilbert space is the required representation. $\square$

2.7. A reduction of the multidimensional quantization procedure on U(1)-covering. We use the associated bundle

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} = \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P}) \otimes \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{L}}$$

to realize our reduction of the quantization procedure on $U(1)$-covering. Define

$$\begin{array}{l} \hat{.} : C^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}), \\ \quad f \quad \mapsto \hat{f} = f + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\tilde{\nabla}^{\tilde{L}}_{\tilde{\xi}_f} \quad , \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}})$ is the space of all (perhaps unbounded) Hermitian operators admitting operator closure on the space $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}^{\tilde{L}}_{\tilde{\xi}_f}$ is the covariant derivation associated with the connection $\tilde{\nabla}^{\tilde{L}}$ on the G-bundle $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{P})^{\tilde{L}}$. Recall that by definition,

$$\tilde{\nabla}^{\tilde{L}}_{\tilde{\xi}_f} = L_{\tilde{\xi}_f} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\alpha(\tilde{\xi}_f),$$

where $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\alpha$ is the form of connection and $L_{\tilde{\xi}_f}$ is the Lie derivation along $\tilde{\xi}_f$ which is the horizontal lift of the strictly Hamiltonian vector field ξ_f corresponding to f.

It is easy also to check the following results

Proposition 2.14. *The following three conditions are equivalent:*
(i) $d_{\tilde{\nabla}^{\tilde{L}}}\alpha(\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\eta}) = -\tilde{\omega}_\Omega(\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\eta})Id.$
(ii) $\operatorname{Curv}\tilde{\nabla}^{\tilde{L}}(\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\eta}) = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\tilde{\omega}_\Omega(\tilde{\xi},\tilde{\eta})Id.$ *v (iii) The application* $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ *is a procedure of multidimensional quantization.*

Theorem 2.3. *If one of the previous condition holds, with every solvable* $(\tilde{\sigma}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$*-polarization* $(\tilde{L}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ *there is a natural representation, denoted* $\operatorname{Ind}(G; \tilde{L}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ *of* G *in the Hilbert space of quantum states* $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}$*, which is the completion of the space of of partially invariant partially holomorphic* S_F*-invariant sections of the induced bundle* $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma|_{R_F^{U(1)}},\rho|.}(\mathfrak{P})$ *with respect to the indicated scalar product* $\langle .,.\rangle_{\tilde{L}}$ *of sections. The Lie derivation of this representation is just the representation of Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *associated with the reduction of the reduction of quantization procedure on* $U(1)$*-covering,*

$$\operatorname{Lie}_X \operatorname{Ind}(G; \tilde{L}, \rho, \sigma_0) = \Lambda(X) := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\hat{f}_X, \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

3. Globalization over U(1)-Coverings

In the previous sections, we have realized representations in section spaces of the induced bundles. It is well-known that this is not enough in some situations, say the discrete series representations of semi-simple

Lie groups is better to be realized in L^2-cohomologies. W. Schmid and J.A. Wolf [**ScW**] proposed an algebraic model of the geometric multidimensional quantization to realize the discrete series representations of semi-simple Lie groups, using the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-covering of the stabilizers of K-orbits. Using the $U(1)$-covering, we can also give an algebraic version of the multidimensional quantization procedure. In this section we expose a revised version of the work [**Do1**],[**Do2**].

3.1. Classical constructions and three geometric complexes. Let G be a connected linear semi-simple Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie} G$ its Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ its dual space. Recall that G acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ via the adjoint representation Ad, and on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ by the co-adjoint (i.e. contragradient) representation . Let $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and G_F be the stabilizer of this point. Suppose that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_F$ is a *Cartan sub-algebra* . . This is the case, for example for the discrete series representations. In this case the functional $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is admissible and well-polarizable. Then the stabilizer group $H = G_F$ at this point F is a Cartan subgroup of G. It is reasonable to suppose that it is $U(1)$-admissible, i.e. there exists a unitary character, said to be fundamental $\chi_F^{U(1)}$, such that

$$D\chi_F^{U(1)} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}(\langle F, X\rangle + \varphi), \forall (X, \varphi) \in \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let b be a closed positive polarization in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. It is well-known that $\mathfrak{b}$ is therefore a Borel sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, containing the Cartan sub-algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be some fixed fundamental irreducible unitary representation of $H^{U(1)} = G_F^{U(1)}$ such that its restriction to $H_0^{U(1)}$ is a multiple of the fundamental character $\chi_F^{U(1)}$. We can then consider the associated bundle

$$\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}} := G_\Gamma^{U(1)} \times_{H^{U(1)},\tilde{\sigma}} \tilde{V} \twoheadrightarrow H \setminus G \approx \Omega_F.$$

Suppose that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega_F = m$. Recall that in the orbit Ω_F there is a natural complex structure related with the polarization $\mathfrak{b}$.Let $\mathcal{C}^q(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}})$ denote the sheaf of differential forms of type (o,q) on $\Omega_F \approx H \setminus G$ with coefficients in the induced bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}$. Each differential form of this type is a section of the bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}} \otimes \wedge^q \mathcal{N}^*$ over the base $H \setminus G$, where by definition $\mathcal{N} \twoheadrightarrow H \setminus G$ is the homogeneous vector bundle with the fiber $\mathfrak{n} \cong \mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathcal{N}^*$ is its dual. Denote by $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}})$ the sheaf of germs of partially holomorphic C^∞ sections of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}$ that are annihilated by the action of $\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then we have a sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}) \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}) \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}}} \dots \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}}} \mathcal{C}^m(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}) \to 0,$$

where the map i is induced by the inclusion of the space of partially invariant and partially holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}$ into the space of smooth sections, and the maps $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}}$ are induced by the usual differential operator, mapping a $(0,q)$-form into a $(0,q+1)$-form. Therefore we have the corresponding sequence of global section spaces

$$0 \to C^\infty(H\setminus G;\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}})) \to C^\infty(H\setminus G;\mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}})) \to \dots$$

$$\dots \to C^\infty(H\setminus G;\mathcal{C}^m(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}})) \to 0$$

and this sequence of abelian groups form a cochain complex, denoted by $(C^\infty(H\setminus G;\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V}.\tilde{\sigma}}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*),\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}})$. For the cohomology groups of this cochain complex it is easy to prove the following analogue of the well-known Dolbault's theorem

Proposition 3.1. *There are canonical isomorphisms of between this type cohomology groups*

$$H^p(C^\infty(H\setminus G;\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*)) \cong H^p(H\setminus G;\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}})), \forall p \geq 0,$$

where the right handside is the sheaf cohomology group of the space $H\setminus G$ *of degree* p *with coefficients in* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}})$.

We refer to this complex as *the first.*

Let us now consider *the second complex.* It is easy to see that the differential $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}}$ can be extended to the *hyperfunctions* section of the sheaves. So we have the second complex $(C^{-\omega}(H\setminus G;\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*),\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}}})$. Let us denote by X the *flag variety* of the Borel sub-algebras of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. It is a well-defined complex manifold. The G-orbit $G.\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathfrak{b}$ is a G-invariant analytic submanifold of the complex manifold X. Therefore S has also the structure of CR manifold (i.e. Cauchy-Riemann structure). Since the subgroup $H = G_F$ normalizes $\mathfrak{b}$, we have a natural G-invariant fibration

$$H\setminus G \twoheadrightarrow S := G.\mathfrak{b} \approx B\setminus G \subseteq X.$$

Recall that there is a unique fundamental irreducible representation (σ,V) of the $U(1)$-covering $B^{U(1)} = H^{U(1)} \ltimes B_0$ of $B := H \ltimes B_0$ such that $\sigma|_{H^{U(1)}} = \tilde{\sigma}$ Then the bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{V},\tilde{\sigma}} \twoheadrightarrow H\setminus G$ can be considered as the push up of the bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S \approx B\setminus G$, or equivalently the first one push down to the section one. we obtain as in [**SuW**] the *Cauchy-Riemann complex* $(C^{-\omega}(S;\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}_S),\overline{\partial}_S)$, where $\mathcal{N}_S := T^{0,1}(S)$, the second component of the decomposition of the tangent bundle into holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts, with respect to the complex structure defined by $\mathfrak{n}/(\mathfrak{n}\cap\overline{\mathfrak{n}})$

Denote by $X^{U(1)}$ the flag variety of $U(1)$-invariant Borel sub-algebras of $\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}$. We obtain the natural projection

$$\pi_X : X^{U(1)} \to X.$$

By using the Gauss decomposition $G = K.B$, for some maximal compact subgroup of G, we have $B \setminus G \cong B^{U(1)} \setminus K.B^{U(1)}$. Note also that $K.B^{U(1)}$ acts on the flag variety $X^{U(1)}$. Let us denote

$$S^{U(1)} = (K.B^{U(1)}).(\mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}) \approx B^{U(1)} \setminus K.B^{U(1)}$$

the orbit passing through $\mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $X^{U(1)}$. Then there exists a diffeomorphism of $S^{U(1)}$ onto S. By projection $\pi_X : X^{U(1)} \twoheadrightarrow X$, we can make the homogeneous induced bundle

$$\pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)},$$

and we have the complex

$$(C^{-\omega}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}), \overline{\partial}_{S^{U(1)}}),$$

where by definition, $\mathcal{N}_{S^{U(1)}} := \pi_X^* \mathcal{N}_S$, and $\overline{\partial}_{S^{U(1)}}$ is induced from the CR operator $\overline{\partial}_S$.

The bundle $\pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p \mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}} \longrightarrow S^{U(1)} \approx B \setminus G$ pull back to the trivial bundle on G, so we have an isomorphism of the complexes

$$(C^{-\omega}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{N}^*_{D^{U(1)}}), \overline{\partial}_{S^{U(1)}}) \cong$$

$$\cong (\{C^{-\omega}(G) \otimes V \otimes \wedge^* (\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}})^*\}^{\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}\cap\mathfrak{n}, B^{U(1)}}, \partial_{\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}\cap\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}),$$

for relative $B^{U(1)}$-equivariant cohomology of the pair $(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}})$ with the hyperfunctions coefficients.

A section $\tilde{s} \in C^{-\omega}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p \mathcal{N}_{S^{U(1)}})$ is said to be $H^{U(1)}$-*invariant* iff

$$\tilde{s}(hx) = \tilde{\sigma}(h)\tilde{s}(x), \forall h \in H^{U(1)}, \forall x \in S^{U(1)} \cong B \setminus G.$$

Let us denote by $C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p \mathcal{N}_{S^{U(1)}})$ the space of $H^{U(1)}$-invariant partially holomorphic C^∞ sections of $C^{-\omega}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p \mathcal{N}_{S^{U(1)}})$, we have a canonical isomorphism between the vector spaces

$$C^\infty_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p \mathcal{N}_{S^{U(1)}}) \cong C^{-\omega}(S; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p \mathcal{N}_S^*).$$

We see that the fibration $H \setminus G \to S^{U(1)}$ has Euclidean space fibers. By applying the well-known Poincaré Lemma to those fibers, we see that the inclusion of the complex $(\{C^{-\omega}(G) \otimes V \otimes \wedge^* (\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}})^*\}^{\mathfrak{n}\cap\overline{\mathfrak{n}}, B^{U(1)}}, \partial_{\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}\cap\overline{\mathfrak{n}}})$ into the complex $(C^{-\omega}(H \setminus G; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{N}^*), \partial_{\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}})$ induces an isomorphism of the corresponding cohomology theories The following result is therefore proved

Proposition 3.2. *There are canonical isomorphisms between the cohomology theories*

$$H^p(C^{-\omega}(H \setminus G; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^{\cdot} \mathcal{N}^*)) \cong H^p(C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)}; \pi^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^{\cdot} \mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}))$$

$$\cong H^p(\{C^{-\omega}(G) \otimes \wedge^{\cdot} (\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}})^*\}^{\mathfrak{n}\cap\overline{\mathfrak{n}}, B^{U(1)}}).$$

Now we consider *the third complex.* Let us denote by $\tilde{S}$ the germ of S in X. Then the bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S$ has a unique holomorphic $\mathfrak{g}$-equivalent extension $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{S} \subset X$ and we obtain *an analogue of the Dolbeault complex* $(C^{-\omega}(\tilde{S}; \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} \otimes T^{0,1}_X), \overline{\partial})$, with coefficients that are hyperfunctions on $\tilde{S}$ with support in S.

Similarly, we have also the vector bundle $\pi_X^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} \to \tilde{S}^{U(1)} \subset X^{U(1)}$ over the $U(1)$-covering and then obtain the complex $(C^{-\omega}(\tilde{S}^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} \otimes T^{0,1}_{X^{U(1)}}), \overline{\partial}_{U(1)})$. By using the canonical isomorphism

$$C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(\tilde{S}^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^{\cdot} T^{0,1}_{X^{U(1)}}) \cong C^{-\omega}(S; \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} \otimes T^{0,1}_X),$$

we havec also the following result about cohomology of the third complex

PROPOSITION 3.3. *There is a natural isomorphism of cohomology groups*

$$H^p(C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(\tilde{S}^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^* T^{0,1}_{X^{U(1)}})) \cong H^p_S(\tilde{S}; \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma})),$$

where the right hand side is the well-known local cohomology along S.

3.2. Isomorphisms of cohomologies. We fix a basic datum $(H, \mathfrak{b}, \tilde{\sigma})$ as in the previous subsection. Denote by Y the variety of ordered Cartan sub-algebras. As homogeneous $G_{\mathbb{C}}$-space, we have $Y \approx H_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the connected subgroup corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ normalizes $\mathfrak{b}$, there is a natural projection

$$p : Y \longrightarrow X$$

with fiber $p^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) = \exp \mathfrak{n}$. Let $S_Y = G.\mathfrak{h} \subset Y$ be the G-orbit of the base point in Y, we have

$$p : S_Y \longrightarrow S$$

with fibers of type $\exp(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{g}) = \exp(\mathfrak{n} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{g})$. Remark that by definition $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ for some fixed inclusion, related with the connection on the principal bundle. Then S_Y is a real form of the complex manifold Y and $u := \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{R}}(S)$ is the dimension of fibers of the projection $p : S_Y \to S$.

Pushing up to the $U(1)$-covering of the map $p : Y \to X$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y^{U(1)} \arrow[r, "p^{U(1)}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_X"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\circlearrowleft"] & X^{U(1)} \arrow[d, "\pi_X"] \\
Y \arrow[r, "p"] & X,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π_X and π_Y are the natural projections. Let us denote by $T_{Y|X}$ the complexified relative tangent bundle of the fibration $p : Y \to X$ and $T_{Y|X} = T^{0,1}_{Y|X} \oplus T^{0,1}_{Y|X}$ its decomposition into the subbundles of holomorphic and antiholomorphic directions. We have also an $G_{\mathbb{C}}$-invariant isomorphisms

$$p^* T_X \oplus T_{Y|X} \cong T_Y,$$

which is compatible with the comple structure and the Lie bracket.

We obtain also the complex

$$(C^{-\omega}(S_Y; p^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} \otimes (T^{1,0}_{Y|X})^*), \overline{\partial}).$$

Let $S^{U(1)}_Y = (K.B^{U(1)}).(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset Y^{U(1)}$ be the orbit passing through $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}$. We see that $S^{U(1)}_Y \approx S_Y$. By using the previous diagram, we have the complex

$$(C^{-\omega}(S^{U(1)}_Y; (p^{U(1)})^*\pi_X^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^*((T^{1,0}_{Y|X})^{U(1)})^*), \overline{\partial}_{U(1)}),$$

where by definition, $(T^{1,0}_{Y|X})^{U(1)} = (\pi_X)_*T^{1,0}_{Y|X}$, and $\overline{\partial}_{U(1)} = (\pi_X)_*\partial$.

We see that $T_{Y|X}$ is a bundle with typical fiber $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(\pi_X)_*\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma}$ is also a bundle with $H^{U(1)}$-module V as the typical fiber. It is not hard to see that the complex

$$(C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)}; (p^{U(1)})^*(\pi_X)^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^*((T^{1,0}_{Y|X})^{U(1)})^*), \overline{\partial}_{U(1)})$$

coincides with the complex

$$(C^{-\omega}(H \setminus G; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^*\setminus^*), \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}}).$$

We obtain therefore

Proposition 3.4. *There are canonical isomorphisms between algebraic G-modules*

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(C^{-\omega}(H \setminus G; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*)) &\cong H^p(C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_V^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})) \\ &\cong H^{p+u}_S(\tilde{S}; \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma})). \end{aligned}$$

We fix a Cartan involution θ of G such that $\theta K = K$. Then the cartan subgroup $H = G_F$ can be decomposed into the direct product $H = T \times A$, such that the corresponding Lie algebra decomposition $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ is the root decomposition of $\mathfrak{h}$ into the ± 1-eigenspaces of the corresponding endomorphism $\theta|_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Put $A := \exp(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g})$. Consider the orbit $S = G, \mathfrak{b} \subset X$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is as usually a fixed Borel sub-algebra, containing $\mathfrak{h}$.

We can suppose for instance that $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_{max}$ is maximally real . This condition will be removed by the so called *change of polarizations* . We have then $S_{max} = G.\mathfrak{b}_{max}$, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b}_{max}$.

Consider a parabolic subgroup $P = MA.N_H := (M \times A) \ltimes N_H$, where $\theta M = M$, i.e. M is a maximal compact semi-simple subgroup of P and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_{max} \subset \mathfrak{p} := \operatorname{Lie} P$. The fibrations $S \twoheadrightarrow S_{max}$ and $S_{max} \twoheadrightarrow P \setminus G$ induce a fibration $S \twoheadrightarrow P \setminus G$. Since $S^{U(1)} \approx S$, we obtain therefore a fiberation $S^{U(1)} \twoheadrightarrow P \setminus G$. Let $\mathcal{C}^{-\omega}_{P\setminus G}(S^{U(1)})$ be the sheaf of germs of hyperfunctions on $S^{U(1)}$, that are C^∞ along the fibers of $S^{U(1)} \twoheadrightarrow P \setminus G$. Then $\mathcal{C}^{-\omega}_{P\setminus G}(S^{U(1)})$ defines a complex of sheaves $\mathcal{C}^{-\omega}_{P\setminus G}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$ of germs of $H^{U(1)}$-equivariant sections of the bundle $\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}$

with coefficients in $C^{-\omega}_{P\backslash G}(S^{U(1)})$. Taking global sections, we have a subcomplex $(C^{-\omega}_{P\backslash G}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}),\overline{\partial}_{S^{U(1)}})$ of $(C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}),\overline{\partial}_{S^{U(1)}})$.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *The inclusion*

$$(C^{-\omega}_{P\backslash G}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}),\overline{\partial}_{S^{U(1)}})\hookrightarrow(C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}),\overline{\partial}_{S^{U(1)}})$$

induces isomorphisms of cohomology groups.

PROOF. Applying the usual Dolbeault Lemma and the standard argument on hyperfunctions, we see that the sheaves $\mathcal{C}^{-\omega}_{P\backslash G}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$ and $\mathcal{C}^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$ are soft and the inclusion of $\mathcal{C}^{-\omega}_{P\backslash G}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$ into $\mathcal{C}^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$ induces isomorphisms of cohomology sheaves.

On the other hand, it follows easily that the inclusion of sheaves induces an isomorphism of hyperfunction coefficient cohomology. Since both complexes consist of soft sheaves, the hyperfunction coefficient cohomology is just the cohomology of the associated complexes of global sections. The proposition is therefore proved. ☐

Remark that the theory of hyperfunctions with values in a reflexive Banach space is developed exactly in the same way as the one for complex valued hyperfunctions. By a similar argument as ([**ScW**],§7) we obtain the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *The vector spaces $C^{-\omega}_{P\backslash G}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^p\mathcal{N}_{S^{U(1)}})$ have natural Fréchet topologies. On those topologies $\overline{\partial}_{S^{U(1)}}$ is continuous and the natural actions of G are Fréchet representations.*

3.3. Maximal real polarizations and change of polarizations.

Recall now some notions from [**RoR**]:

DEFINITION 3.1. An admissible Fréchet G-module is said *to have property (MG)* iff it is the maximal globalization of its underlying Harish-Chandra module. *A complex of Fréchet G-modules has property (MG)* iff its differential d has closed range and each cohomology group $H^p(C^\cdot,dB)$ is admissible, of finite length as G-module, and has property (MG).

Given a basic datum $(H,\mathfrak{b},\tilde{\sigma})$, we say that *the corresponding homogeneous vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}$ has the property (MG)* iff the associated partially smooth Cauchy-Riemann complex $(C^{-\omega}_{P\backslash G}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}_{S^{U(1)}}),\overline{\partial}_{S^{U(1)}})$ has property (MG).

Let us denote $H^p(S^{U(1)};\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}):=H^p(C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}))$. Proposition A3.5 shows that $H^p(S^{U(1)};\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma})$ is calculated by a Fréchet complex , then we can consider the Fréchet subcomplex $H^p(S^{U(1)};\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma})_{(K)}$ of

K-finite forms in this Fréchet complex. In particular, we can define morphisms

$$H^p(S^{U(1)}; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma})_{(K)} \longrightarrow A^p(G, H, \mathfrak{b}, \tilde{\sigma}),$$

where

$$A^p(G, H, \mathfrak{b}, \tilde{\sigma}) \cong H^p(C^{for}(H \setminus G; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})_{(K)})$$

are the well-known Harish-Chandra modules for G . Then $H^p(S^{U(1)}; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma})$ *will be the globalization of* $A^p(G, H, \mathfrak{b}, \tilde{\sigma})$ *if the homomorphism*

$$H^p(S^{U(1)}; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma})_{(K)} \longrightarrow A^p(G, H, \mathfrak{b}, \tilde{\sigma}),$$

are really isomorphisms.

Recall another notion from [**RoR**]:

Definition 3.2. The bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}$ is said *to have property (Z)* if the homomorphisms

$$H^p(S^{U(1)}; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma})_{(K)} \longrightarrow A^p(G, H, \mathfrak{b}, \tilde{\sigma}),$$

are isomorphisms, i.e. we have a globalization.

Note that the functional $f \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ can be identified with the functional $F \in (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}})^*$ such that $F|_{\mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}} \equiv 0$, i.e. we have an inclusion $\mathfrak{h}^* \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}})^*$.

Condition A3.9. *There exists a positive root system* Φ^+ *and a number* $C > 0$*, such that: If the bundle* $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}$ *is irreducible,* $\lambda = D\chi_F^{U(1)}|_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$*,* $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}} := \lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}}$*, the restriction of* λ *to the real form* $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ *on which roots take real values, and* $\langle \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}, \alpha \rangle > C$*, for all* $\alpha \in \Phi^+$*, then the bundle* $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}$ *has both properties (MG) and (Z).*

Proposition 3.7. *Fix a pair* $(H, \mathfrak{b})$*. If the condition A3.9 holds, then for every datum* $(H, \mathfrak{b}, \tilde{\sigma})$*, the associated bundle* $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}$ *has both properties (MG) and (Z).*

Proof. We can always reduce the argumentation to the case where the fiber bundle is irreducible because if the assertion of the property is not true, it's must be for some irreducible component. Choose r_0 as in [**RoR**], we see that λ satisfies the condition A3.9: Suppose $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$; $\langle \lambda_0, \alpha \rangle > 0, \forall \alpha \in \Phi^+$. Thus the bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}$ has both properties (MG) and (Z). Fix such a λ_0 and put

$$s_1 := \sup\{r > 0 | \parallel \lambda_{\mathbb{R}} - \lambda_0 \parallel < r \ implies\ (MG)\ and\ (Z)\ for\ \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}\}.$$

We see that $s_1 \geq r_0$. This number s_1 must be infinite, because in other case, we could choose $s_2 > s_1$ with the same properties, see [**RoR**] for more detail. □

Recall the notation $S := G.\mathfrak{b} \subset X$, and $u := \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} S$.

Proposition 3.8. *The properties (MG) and (Z) satisfy for every maximally real polarization* $\mathfrak{b}$.

Proof. *Property (Z).*

Recal that $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, for some cuspidal parabolic subgroup $P = (M \times A) \ltimes N_H$, $M \subseteq K$, $H = T \times A$, with $T = H \cap K$, $A = \exp(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g})$. Then $S(U(1) \cong (H.N_H) \setminus G$ and $S^{U(1)}$ is a fibration over $P \setminus G$ with holomorphic fibers $T \setminus M$. Let us suppose, what we can always do, that the fiber bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}$ is irreducible, $\lambda = D\chi_F^{U(1)}|_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\chi_T^{U(1)} := \chi_F^{U(1)}|_{T^{U(1)}}$, where $T^{U(1)}$ is the $U(1)$-covering, i.e. the inverse image of T in $H^{U(1)}$. We see that $D\chi_T^{U(1)}|_{\mathfrak{t}} = r|_{\mathfrak{t}}$ Suppose that the scalar curvature of the bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}|_{T\setminus M}$ is sufficiently negative, i.e. less than some negative number. Then it is not hard to check the isomorphism

$$H^p(T \setminus M; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}|_{T\setminus M})_{(K\cap M)} \xrightarrow{\cong} A^p(M; T, \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{m}, \chi_T^{U(1)}).$$

These $(\mathfrak{m}, K \cap M)$-modules are non zero just for

$$p = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T \setminus (K \cap M).$$

Let Z^p and B^p denote the corresponding spaces of closed and exact, respectively $(K \cap M)$-finite $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}$-valued $(0,p)$-forms om $T \setminus M$, and ${}^\circ Z^p$ and ${}^\circ B^p$ denote the corresponding spaces with "smooth" replaced by "formal power series" for the coefficients. It is also not hard to check by using the Taylor series decomposition, that as $(\mathfrak{m}, K \cap M)$-modules,

$$B^p \setminus Z^p \cong {}^\circ B^p \setminus {}^\circ Z^p.$$

Applying the Poincaré Lemma to the fibers of the fibration $N_H \rightarrowtail H \setminus G \twoheadrightarrow (H.N_H) \setminus G \approx S^{U(1)}$ we see that $A^p(G, H, \mathfrak{b}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$ can be computed from the complex of left K-finite, righ$K \cap M$-invariant functions from K to the Zuckerman complex for $T \setminus M$, i.e. it is induced from $A^p(M, T, \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{m}, \chi_T^{U(1)})$. Thus applying the functor Ind to both the sides of

$$H^p(T \setminus M; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}|_{T\setminus M})_{(K\cap M)} \xrightarrow{\cong} A^p(M; T, \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{m}, \chi_T^{U(1)}).$$

we have isomorphism

$$H^p(S^{U(1)}; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma})_{(K)} \xrightarrow{\cong} A^p(G, H, \mathfrak{b}, \chi_F^{U(1)}).$$

Property (MG). We can also here suppose that the fiber bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}$ is irreducible, $\lambda = D\chi_F^{U(1)}|_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let $\lambda = \nu + \sqrt{-1}\sigma$, $\nu \in \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{g})^*$ is in the interior of the negative Weyl chamber of the root system $\Phi(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{t})$. We see that

$$H^p(S^{U(1)}; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}) = H^p(C^{-\omega}_{P\setminus G}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{N}_{S^{U(1)}}))$$

vanishe except in degree $p_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(T \setminus K \cap M)$ and in this dimension,

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{MAN_H}^{G}(\eta \otimes e^{\sqrt{-1}\sigma}) := H^{p_0}(S^{U(1)}; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}).$$

The induced module $H^{p_0}(S^{U(1)}; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma})$ has finite length because η is irreducible and its restriction to A is a multiple of $\chi_{\nu}^{U(1)}$. As in ([**RoR**], Lemma 9.8) we see that this induced module $H^{p_0}(S^{U(1)}; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma})$ satisfies the property (MG), and the operator $\overline{\partial}_{S^{U(1)}}$ has closed range. In particular it inherits a Fréchet topology from the space $C^{-\omega}_{P\setminus G}(S^{U(1)}; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^{p_0}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

It is therefore proved for every maximally real polarization the following result

THEOREM 3.1. *For any maximally real polarization* $\mathfrak{b}$ *and any basic datum* $(H, \mathfrak{b}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$*, there are topological isomorphisms between Fréchet G-modules*

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(C^{-\omega}(H \setminus G; \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*)) &\cong H^p(C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})) \\ &\cong H^{p+u}(\tilde{S}; \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{V,\sigma})), \end{aligned}$$

which are canonically and topologically isomorphic to the action of G on the maximal globalization of $A^p(G, H, \mathfrak{b}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$.

Now we consider arbitrary polarization, not only the maximally real. The final result is

THEOREM 3.2. *Fix the Cartan sub-algebra H and consider an arbitrary, not necessarily maximally real polarization* $\mathfrak{b}$*. Then for a basic datum* $(H, \mathfrak{b}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$*, the associated bundle* $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}$ *has both the properties (MG) and (Z). In other words, the theorem A3.12 holds for arbitrary basic data* $(H, \mathfrak{b}, \chi_F^{U(1)})$.

PROOF. Suppose that $H = G_F$ is fixed, $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a polarization such that $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ and that $\mathfrak{b}$ isn't maximally real. It is easy to see that there exists a complex simple root α such that $\overline{\alpha} \notin \Phi^+$. Denote $\Phi_0^+ := s_\alpha\Phi^+$, $\mathfrak{b}_0 := s_\alpha\mathfrak{b}$ and $S_0 := G.\mathfrak{b}_0$.

Given $\gamma \in \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h})$, we can view γ as an element of $(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mathbb{C}})^*$. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Cartan sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we obtain a representation $\chi_\gamma := e^\gamma : H^{U(1)} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then we have a vector bundle $\mathcal{L}_\gamma^{U(1)} \twoheadrightarrow S_0^{U(1)}$ and a vector bundle $\mathcal{L}_\gamma^{U(1)} \twoheadrightarrow S^{U(1)}$. Applying Lemma 10.6 from [**RoR**], we can obtain G-equivariant morphisms of complexes

$$C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S_0^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p\mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}}) \to C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^{p+1}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$$

and this morphism restricts to a morphism of subcomplexes

$$C^{-\omega}_{P\backslash G}(S_0^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^p\mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}})\to C^{-\omega}_{P\backslash G}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\mathcal{L}_\gamma^{U(1)}\otimes\wedge^{p+1}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}).$$

Let $C^{-\omega}_{S_0^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}^{U(1)}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$ be the subcomplex of the complex $C^{-\omega}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}^{U(1)}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$, consisting of forms ω such that $\overline{\partial}_{S^{U(1)}}\omega$ vanish on $(0,1)$ vectors tangent to the fibers of $S^{U(1)}\twoheadrightarrow S_0^{U(1)}$. Applying the Dolbeault Lemma, we see that the inclusion

$$C^{-\omega}_{S_0^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}^{U(1)}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})\hookrightarrow C^{-\omega}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}^{U(1)}\otimes\wedge^*\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$$

induces isomorphisms on cohomology.

On the other hand we have a morphism of complexes

$$C^{-\omega}(S_0^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^p\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})\to C^{-\omega}_{S_0^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}^{U(1)}\otimes\wedge^{p+1}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}).$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{b}_\alpha=\mathfrak{b}\oplus\mathfrak{g}_\alpha=\mathfrak{b}_0\oplus\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}.$$

Denote by X_α the flag manifold of parabolic sub-algebras of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ which are $Int(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to $\mathfrak{b}_\alpha$ and consider the orbit $S_\alpha:=G.\mathfrak{b}_\alpha\subset X$. The natural projection $p_\alpha:X\twoheadrightarrow X_\alpha$ is holomorphic and there is a $U(1)$-covering homomorphism $p_\alpha^{U(1)}:X^{U(1)}\to X_\alpha^{U(1)}$ such that

$$p_\alpha\circ\pi_X=\pi_{X_\alpha}\circ p_\alpha^{U(1)},$$

where

$$\pi_{X_\alpha}:X_\alpha^{U(1)}\to X_\alpha$$

is the natural projection.

Let $U_\alpha\subset S_\alpha$ be an open subset, whose $\overline{U_\alpha}$ is compact and has an X_α-open neighborhood over which $p_\alpha:X\to X_\alpha$ is holomorphly trivial. Let

$$U_0^{U(1)}:=S_0^{U(1)}\cap(p_\alpha U(1))^{-1}\pi_{X_\alpha}^{-1}(U_\alpha)$$

and

$$U^{U(1)}:=S^{U(1)}\cap(p_\alpha^{U(1)})^{-1}\pi_{X_\alpha}^{-1}(U_\alpha),$$

we see that

$$C^{-\omega}(S_0^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^p\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})\to C^{-\omega}_{S_0^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}^{U(1)}\otimes\wedge^{p+1}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$$

localizes to maps

$$C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(U_0^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\wedge^p\mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}})\to C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)},S_0^{U(1)}}(U^{U(1)};\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}^{U(1)}\otimes\wedge^{p+1}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}).$$

Let $Cl(U_0^{U(1)})^{\sim}$, the closure, and $Bd(U_0^{U(1)})^{\sim}$, the boundary, denote germs of neighborhood of $Cl(U_0^{U(1)})$ and $Bd(U_0^{U(1)})$ in $S^{U(1)} \cup S_0^{U(1)}$. From the theory of hyperfunctions, it is not hard to see that

$$C^{-\omega}(U_0^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}}) = \frac{C^{\omega}(Cl(U_0^{U(1)}); \mathcal{F}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^{c-p}\mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}})'}{C^{\omega}(Bd(U_0^{U(1)}); \mathcal{F}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^{c-p}\mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}})'},$$

where $c := \dim_{CR} S_0$, the complex dimension with respect to the complex structure given by the indicate CR-structure, and $\mathcal{F}^{U(1)} := \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{U(1)}_{-2\rho+2\alpha}$.

Similarly, we have

$$C^{-\omega}_{S_0^{U(1)}}(U^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{U(1)}_{-\alpha} \otimes \wedge^{p+1}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}) = \frac{C^{\omega}_{S_0^{U(1)}}(Cl(U_0^{U(1)})^{\sim}; \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^{c-p}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})'}{C^{\omega}_{S_0^{U(1)}}(Bd(U_0^{U(1)})^{\sim}; \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^{c-p}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})'},$$

where $c+1 = \dim_{CR} S_\alpha$, $\mathcal{F}^{U(1)} = (\pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{U(1)}_{-\alpha}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{U(1)}_{-2\rho+\alpha}$. Thus by a similar argument, we obtain the dual statement, as follows: The restriction maps

$$C^{\omega}_{H^{U(1)}, S_0^{U(1)}}(Cl(U_0^{U(1)})^{\sim}; \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}) \to C^{\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(Cl(U_0^{U(1)}); \mathcal{F}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}}),$$

$$C^{\omega}_{H^{U(1)}, S_0^{U(1)}}(Bd(U_0^{U(1)})^{\sim}; \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}) \to C^{\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(Bd(U_0^{U(1)}); \mathcal{F}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}})$$

induce isomorphisms in cohomology.

We know that these restriction maps are continuous and surjective, and are dual via

$$C^{-\omega}(U_0^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^* \mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}}) = \frac{C^{\omega}(Cl(U_0^{U(1)}); \mathcal{F}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^{c-p}\mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}})'}{C^{\omega}(Bd(U_0^{U(1)}); \mathcal{F}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^{c-p}\mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}})'},$$

and

$$C^{-\omega}_{S_0^{U(1)}}(U^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{U(1)}_{-\alpha} \otimes \wedge^{p+1}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}) = \frac{C^{\omega}_{S_0^{U(1)}}(Cl(U_0^{U(1)})^{\sim}; \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^{c-p}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})'}{C^{\omega}_{S_0^{U(1)}}(Bd(U_0^{U(1)})^{\sim}; \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^{c-p}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})'},$$

to the maps

$$C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(U_0^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p \mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}}) \to C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}, S_0^{U(1)}}(U^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{U(1)}_{-\alpha} \otimes \wedge^{p+1}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}}).$$

Thus we obtain the following statement: *Suppose that* $\tilde{\sigma} \in X^{U(1)}_{irr}$*,* $\lambda = D\chi_F^{U(1)}|_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$*, and suppose that* $2\frac{\langle \lambda+\rho-\alpha, \alpha\rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha\rangle}$ *is not a positive integer. Then*

$$C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S_0^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p \mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}}) \to C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^*\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{U(1)}_{-\alpha} \otimes \wedge^{p+1}\mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$$

induces an isomorphism of the corresponding cohomology groups.

One rests only to apply Proposition A3.11 and we may assume by induction on $\dim S^{U(1)} - \dim S^{U(1)}_{max}$ that every $\mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \twoheadrightarrow S_0^{U(1)}$ admits both the properties (MG) and (Z). Since the cohomologies and the maps that occur in theorem A3.12 all are compatible with coherent continuation, we may assume that $2\langle \lambda + \rho - \alpha, \alpha\rangle / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ is not a positive integer, where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is irreducible, $\lambda = D\chi_F^{U(1)}|_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. From the last assertion *Suppose that* $\tilde{\sigma} \in X_{irr}^{U(1)}$, $\lambda = D\chi_F^{U(1)}|_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, *and suppose that* $2\frac{\langle\lambda+\rho-\alpha,\alpha\rangle}{\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle}$ *is not a positive integer. Then*

$$C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S_0^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \wedge^p \mathcal{N}^*_{S_0^{U(1)}}) \to C^{-\omega}_{H^{U(1)}}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^{p+1} \mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$$

induces an isomorphism of the corresponding cohomolohy groups , one follows that

$$C^{-\omega}_{P\backslash G}(S^{U(1)}; \pi_X^* \mathcal{E}_{V,\sigma} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}^{U(1)} \otimes \wedge^{p+1} \mathcal{N}^*_{S^{U(1)}})$$

has both the properties (MG) and (Z). This completes the proof of the theorem. ☐

4. Quantization of Mechanical Systems with Supersymetry

" Graded Lie algebras have recently become a topic of interest in Physics in the context of "supersymmetry" relating particles of different statistics " , as it is pointed out in the survey [**CNS**] . In the physical systems where the Bose-Einstein particles and Fermi-Dirac particles interact together symmetry must be replaced by supersymmetry. Lie superalgebras and Lie supergroups are therefore important mathematical tools of physics and they must be studied seriously.

B. Kostant has developed in his work [**Kos2**] the representation theory of Lie supergroups along the line of the Kirillov-Kostant orbit method for the ordinary Lie groups. His theory is founded in differential geometry and uses symplectic structures, Hamiltonian formalism, integrality condition, line bundles with connection and prequantization for the supergroup case. But polarizations and unitarity are lacking by himself in general. Perhaps the main difficulty is the fact that the Lie's theorem is not true in in the general case of solvable Lie superalgebras: They have also irreducible finite-dimensional representations rather than the (one-dimensional) characters. This means that we must work with multidimensional induced bundles in place of line bundles.

Using the new notion of polarization, exposed in the previous chapters, one can develop also a theory of quantization for Lie supergroups, see [**D22**].

4.1. Hilbert superbundles with connection.

DEFINITION 4.1. As usual, let us denote by $\mathbb{C}$ the ground field of complex numbers, by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ the residue field consisting of two elements $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{1}$. Recalll that a *(complex) vector superspace* V is by definition a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$. The elements of $V_{\bar{0}}$ are called *even* , i.e. their graded degree is 0, $|x| = 0$; those of $V_{\bar{1}}$ are called *odd* , i.e. their graded degree is 1, $|x| = 1$.

Throughout what follows if for some X expression $|x|$ occurs, then it is assumed that X is homogeneous, and that the expression extends to other elements by linearity.

Suppose that the vector superspace $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ admits a complex sesquilinear form $b : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$, a so called *scalar product* which is linear relative to the first variable, and:

- *superhermitian* , i.e. for all homogeneous x, y in V,

$$b(x, y) = (-1)^{|x|.|y|}\overline{b(y, x)},$$

- *consistent* , i.e. for all x and y of different graded degrees,

$$b(x, y) \equiv 0,$$

  and
- *nondegenerate* , i.e. if $b(x, y) \equiv 0, \forall y \in V$ then $x = 0$.

It is easy to deduce the following

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let (V, b) be a vector superspace with scalar product.*

1. *(i) The restriction of b on $V_{\bar{0}}$ is a scalar product, and the restriction of b to $V_{\bar{1}}$ is a nondegenerate skew-symmetric form.*
2. *(ii) The correspondence $z \mapsto b(., z)$ establishes a monomorphism $V \hookrightarrow V^*$.*

REMARK 4.1. In the category of superspaces there is a special *functor of changing the graduation degrees* Π,

$$(\Pi V)_{\bar{0}} := V_{\bar{1}}, (\Pi V)_{\bar{1}} := V_{\bar{0}}.$$

Suppose that there is also a scalar product b^{Π} on ΠV and that (V, b) and $(\Pi V, b^{\Pi})$ are the Hilbert spaces. In this case we say that (V, b, b^{Π}) is a Hilbert superspace. It must be remarked that in work [**D22**] it was not true that the Hilbert superspace structure can be deduced from a single scalar product $b(.,)$. Indeed it must be defined by a pair, consisting of two scalar product $b(.,)$ and $b^{\Pi}(., .)$,with respect to which the space V is complete.

DEFINITION 4.2. For an operator $u \in \mathrm{Aut}(V, b, b^{\Pi})$, we define $u^{\Pi} := \Pi \circ u \circ \Pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(b, b^{\Pi})$. We have therefore a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} V \arrow[r, "u"] \arrow[d, "\Pi"] & V \arrow[d, "\Pi"] \\ \Pi V \arrow[r, "u^{\Pi}"] & \Pi V. \end{tikzcd}$$

COROLLARY 4.2. *u is symplectic in $V_{\bar{1}}$ if and only if u^{Π} is unitary on the Hilbert space $W := \Pi V_{\bar{1}}$.*

Let us consider a Hilbert superspace (V, b, b^{Π}). Denote by q and q^{Π} the corresponding quadratic forms on V and ΠV respectively.

COROLLARY 4.3. (i) *q is nondegenerate on $V_{\bar{0}}$, but identically 0 on $V_{\bar{1}}$.*
(ii) *q^{Π} is nondegenerate on $\Pi V_{\bar{1}}$, but identically 0 on $\Pi V_{\bar{0}}$*

DEFINITION 4.3. We now define the norm of supervectors on V by the formula

$$\| v \| = \sqrt{q(x) + q^{\Pi}(x)},$$

forall $x \in V \cong \Pi V$ as complex vector spaces, and as usually the associated norm of operators,

$$\| A \| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \| Ax \|.$$

Now it is easy to see that the vector superspace $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ with scalar product b on V and b^{Π} on $\Pi V = (\Pi V)_{\bar{0}} \oplus (\Pi V)_{\bar{1}} := V_{\bar{1}} \oplus V_{\bar{0}} \cong V$ (as complex vector spaces) becomes a Hilbert superspace iff it is complete with respect to this norm of supervectors.

By an *(even) unitary-symplectic operator* $u \in \mathrm{USp}(V)$ we mean an even automorphism $u \in \mathrm{Aut}(V, b, b^{\Pi})_{\bar{0}}$, i.e. $uV_{\bar{i}} \subseteq V_{\bar{i}}, i = \bar{0}, \bar{1}$.

COROLLARY 4.4. *The set of all unitary-symplectic operators forms a group denoted also* $\mathrm{USp}(V)$. *On this group the strong topology is equivalent to the weak topology.*

The proof is the same as in the classical case by using the well-known Cauchy-Bounjakowski-Schwarz inequality for scalar product.

LEMMA 4.1. *The topological group* $\mathrm{USp}(V)$ *is isomorphic to the direct product of the unitary groups of $V_{\bar{0}}$ and $(\Pi V)_{\bar{1}}$.*

PROOF. By definition we have $b(ux, uy) = b(x, y)$ and $b^{\Pi}(ux, uy) = b^{\Pi}(x, y)$, i.e.

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} u|_{V_{\bar{0}}} & 0 \\ 0 & u|_{V_{\bar{1}}} \end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix} u|_{V_{\bar{0}}} & 0 \\ 0 & u|_{(\Pi V)_{\bar{0}}}. \end{pmatrix}$$

We have therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Aut}(V, b, b^{\Pi})_{\bar{0}} &= \mathrm{Aut}(V_{\bar{0}}, b) \times \mathrm{Aut}(V_{\bar{1}}, b)\\ &\cong \mathrm{Aut}(V_{\bar{0}}, b) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\Pi V_{\bar{1}}, b^{\Pi}).\end{aligned}$$

□

DEFINITION 4.4. A linear superoperator $A : V \to V$ is said to be *antisupersymmetric* iff for every homogeneous element $x, y \in V$,

$$b(Ax, y) = -(-1)^{|A|.|x|} b(x, Ay),$$

$$b^{\Pi}(Ax, y) = -(-1)^{|A|.|x|} b^{\Pi}(x, Ay).$$

LEMMA 4.2. *Antisupersymmetric superoperators form a Lie superalgebra, the even part of which is a Lie algebra consisting of all pairs of antisymmmetric (unbounded) operator on the direct product of Hilbert spaces* $V_{\bar{0}} \times \Pi V_{\bar{1}}$.

PROOF. It is enough to verify that the vector superspace of antisupersymmetric superoperators is stable under the brackets

$$[A, B] := AB - (-1)^{|A|.|B|} BA.$$

But this is clear from the definition; see also ([**Ka**],§5.3.4(b)). □

From the well-known Stone theorem for Hilbert superspaces, it is easy to deduce the corresponding superversion.

THEOREM 4.1 (**Stone Theorem**). *Every one (real) parameter continuous subgroup* $\{u(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *of even unitary-symplectic superoperators on a Hilbert superspace* V *admits a generator* $\sqrt{-1}A$ *, which is antisymmetric continuous (perhaps, unbounded) superoperator.*

DEFINITION 4.5. Let (G, A) be a Lie supergroup and let $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G, A)$ be the Lie superalgebra, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ the enveloping superalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathbb{R}(G)$ the function algebra on G and $A(G)^* := E(G, \mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{R}(G)Ⓢ U(\mathfrak{g})$ the Lie-Hopf coalgebra, where by Ⓢ we denote the so called *smash product* , see also [**Kos2**]. Let (V, b, b^{Π}) be a Hilbert superspace, and let $\mathrm{End}\, V$ be the superalgebra of continuous superoperators on V. By a *smooth unitary representation* of (G, A) on V, we mean a homomorphism of superalgebras

$$r : A(G)^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}\, V,$$

such that:

(1) The restriction $r|_G$ is a continuous representation of the Lie group G in the group of even unitary-symplectic automorphisms

$$r|_G : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{USp}(V).$$

(2) Each vector $v \in V$ is smooth, i.e. the map $G \to V$, $g \mapsto r(g)v$ is of class C^∞.
(3) $r(X)v = \frac{d}{dt}(r(\exp tX)v)|_{t=0}, \forall v \in V, \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$.

The rest of this section is in large similar to the same of ([**Kos2**],§4), where the theory is developed purely for line superbundles. Our need is essentially in the multidimensional case. So we are trying to modify these results and notions for the multidimensional situation.

Notation Let (X, A) be a supermanifold and let $U \subseteq X$ be an open set, $\operatorname{Der} A(U)$the Lie superalgebra of all superderivations in $A(U)$, which is also a $A(U)$-module, $T(U)$ the tensor algebra of $\operatorname{Der} A(U)$ over $A(U)$,

$$T(U) = \bigoplus_{b=0}^{\infty} T^b(U) = \bigoplus_{b=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\operatorname{Der} A(U) \otimes_{A(U)} \cdots \otimes_{A(U)} \operatorname{Der} A(U)}_{b \text{ times}},$$

which is $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-(bi)graded.

Now let $J(U)$ be the two-side $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded ideal in $T(U)$ generated by the elements in $T^2(U)$ of the form $\xi \otimes \eta + (-1)^{|\xi|.|\eta|}\eta \otimes \xi$, where $\xi, \eta \in \operatorname{Der} A(U)$ are homogeneous. Denote also $J^b(U) = T^b(U) \cap J(U)$.

Let us denote by E a projective (locally free) A-module sheaf on (X, A). Then for every $b \in \mathbb{N}$, $Hom_{A(U)}(T^b(U), E(U))$ can be considered as the set of all bilinear maps on $\operatorname{Der} A(U)$ with values in $E(U)$ which satisfy the conditions

$$\langle \xi_1, \dots, f\xi_l, \dots, \xi_b | \beta \rangle = (-1)^{|f| \sum_{i=1}^{l} |\xi_i|} f \langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_b | \beta \rangle.$$

Now let $\Omega^b(U; E)$ the set of all $\beta \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A(U)}(T^b(U), E(U))$ which vanish on $J^b(U)$. It is easy to see that the elements β in $\Omega^b(U; E)$ are characterized by the additional condition

$$\langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_j, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_b | \beta \rangle = (-1)^{1+|\xi_j|.|\xi_{j+1}|} \langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j+1}, \xi_j, \dots, \xi_b | \beta \rangle.$$

COROLLARY 4.5. $\Omega^b(U, E) = \Omega^b(U, A) \otimes E(U)$.

PROOF. It is enough to mension that for the locally free sheaf $E(U)$,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{A(U)}(T^b(U), E(U)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A(U)}(T^b(U), A(U)) \otimes_{A(U)} E(U).$$

□

DEFINITION 4.6. Let us denote by $\operatorname{End} E$ the projective (locally free) A-module sheaf of all endomorphisms of the superbundle E. Then it is easy to see that $\Omega^b(U, E)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{A(U)}(T^b(U), E(U))$ are $\operatorname{End} E(U)$-modules,

$$\langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_b | \beta f \rangle = \langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_b | \beta \rangle f, \forall f \in \operatorname{End} E(U)$$

and

$$\langle \xi_1, \dots, f\xi_l, \dots, \xi_b | \beta \rangle = (-1)^{|f| \sum_{i=l}^{b} |\xi_i|} \langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_b | f\beta \rangle, \forall f \in A(U).$$

Also $\Omega^b(U,E)$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded:

$$\langle \xi_1,\ldots,\xi_b|\beta\rangle \in E(U)_k,$$

$$k := |\beta| + \sum_{i=1}^{b} |\xi_i|.$$

$$\Omega^0(U,E) := E(U),$$

$$\Omega(U,E) = \oplus_{b=0}^{\infty}\Omega^b(U,E).$$

Let $\beta \in \Omega^b(U,E)$, $\alpha \in \Omega^a(U,E')$, $\langle .,.\rangle$be the pairing of E and E' with values in F, then one defines $\Omega^{a+b}(U,F)$ in an usual way; for example, $\langle .,.\rangle = \mathrm{Hom}(E\otimes E',F)$ is an important case.

Remark that if $V \subseteq U$ is an open subset, one has a restriction map

$$\rho_{U,V} : \Omega(U,E) \to \Omega(V,E),$$

such that if $\xi_i \in \mathrm{Der}\, A(U)$, $\beta \in \Omega^b(U,E)$ then $\rho_{U,V}\beta \in \Omega^b(V,E)$ is characterized by

$$\langle \rho_{U,V}\xi_1,\ldots,\rho_{U,V}\xi_b|\rho_{U,V}\beta\rangle = \rho_{U,V}\langle \xi_1,\ldots,\xi_b|\beta\rangle.$$

It is clear that the correspondence $U \mapsto \Omega(U,E)$ defines the sheaf of differential superforms with values in a projective (locally free) A-module sheaf E.

Assume that $\dim(X,A) = (m,n)$. An open set U is called *A-parallelizable* if there exist $\eta_l \in \mathrm{Der}\, A(U)$, $l = 1,\ldots,m+n$, such that $\eta_l \in \mathrm{Der}\, A(U)_0$, if $l \leq m$ and $\eta_i \in \mathrm{Der}\, A(U)_1$ if $l > m$, and such that every $\xi \in \mathrm{Der}\, A(U)$ can be uniquely decomposed as

$$\xi = \sum_{l=1}^{m+n} f_l\eta_l,$$

where $f_l \in A(U)$.

Remark that every A-coordinate neighborhood is parallelizable.

Now, if the open U is A-parallelizable, one defines $\alpha_l \in \Omega^1(U,E)$ by the condition that

$$\langle \xi|\alpha_l\rangle = f_l,$$

if

$$\xi = \sum_{l=1}^{m+n} f_l\eta_l \in \mathrm{Der}\, A(U).$$

Thus

$$\langle \eta_k,\alpha_l\rangle = \delta_{kl}.Id_U.$$

Put

$$\beta_l = \alpha_l, l \leq m$$

and

$$\gamma_l = \alpha_{l+m}, 1 \leq l \leq n,$$

then $\beta_l \in \Omega^1(U, A)_0$ and $\gamma_l \in \Omega^1(U, A)_1$ and

$$\beta_l \alpha_i = -\alpha_i \beta_l,$$

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j = \gamma_j \gamma_i.$$

We introduce the usual multiindex notation (see also ([**Kos2**], §4.2)),

$$\beta_\mu \gamma^\nu := \beta_{\mu_1} \dots \beta_{\mu_k} \gamma_1^{\nu_1} \dots \gamma_n^{\nu_n}.$$

we see that in the A-parallelizable open set U, $\Omega(U, E)$ is a free $A(U)$-module such that every differential superform ω can be written in form

$$\omega = \sum_{\mu,\nu} \beta_\mu \gamma^\nu f_{\mu\nu}, f_{\mu\nu} \in E(U).$$

Now assume that U is an A-splitting coordinate neighborhood with an coordinate system $\{r_i, s_j\}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\operatorname{Der} A(U)$ is a free $A(U)$-module with the corresponding basis $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial r_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s_j}\}$. We can choose just $\{\beta_i, \gamma_j\} = \{dr_i, ds_j\}$. So we have

$$dr_\mu ds^\nu \in \Omega^{k(\mu)+|\nu|}(U, A) \hookrightarrow \Omega^{k(\mu)+|\nu|}(U, E)$$

and every $\beta \in \Omega(U, E)$ can be written as

$$\beta = \sum \mu, \nu dr_\mu ds^\nu f_{\mu\nu},$$

where $f_{\mu\nu} \in E(U)$.

Recall that, as in the line super-bundle case, we can also construct the map

$$\sigma^* : \Omega(Y, E') \longrightarrow \Omega(X, E),$$

which is associated to the super-bundle sheaf morphism

$$\sigma : E \longrightarrow E'.$$

Finally, by a *super-bundle* we means a projective (locally free) A-module sheaf E, such that there exists a covering by opens which are *principal* for E in the sense that: *$E(U)$ is a free $A(U)$-module with a basal system of even generators $t_i \in E(U)_0$, $i = 1, \dots, rank_{A(U)} E(U)$.*

DEFINITION 4.7. Let E be a vector super-bundle over the super-manifold (X, A). By a *connection* ∇ on E we mean a covariant super-derivation such that for any open set $U \subseteq X$ and any vector super-field $\xi \in \operatorname{Der} A(U)$, one has a linear map

$$\nabla_\xi : E(U) \to E(U),$$

where $|\nabla_\xi| = |\xi|$, which is compatible with the restriction maps to smaller open sets and is such that

(1) $\nabla_\xi(ft) = (\xi f)t + (-1)^{|f|.|\xi|} f\nabla_\xi(t)$, for $f \in A(U)$ and $t \in E(U)$,
and

(2) the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Der} A(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{End}\, E(U), \\ \xi & \mapsto & \nabla_\xi \end{array}$$

is $A(U)$- linear.

The complexification of $\mathrm{Der}\, A(U)$ may be taken to be the complex Lie super-algebra $\mathrm{Der}\, A_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$ of super-fields of vectors. By linearity we may take ξ and f in $\mathrm{Der}\, A_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$ and $A_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$, respectively and $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}(U, E)$ will denote the complexification of $\Omega(U, E)$.

Now assume that (E, ∇) is a complexified vector super-bundle with connection, $U \subseteq X$ is principal for E and t_i, $i = 1, \ldots, rk_A E$. Then for every $\xi \in \mathrm{Der}\, A_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$, there exists $g = g(\xi) \in \mathrm{End}\, E_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$, such that $\nabla_\xi t = g(\xi)t$. The correspondence $\xi \mapsto g(\xi)$ defines an $A_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$ -linear map $\mathrm{Der}\, A_{\mathbb{C}}(U) \to \mathrm{End}\, E(U)$. Therefore, there exists a unique element $\alpha(t) \in \Omega^1_{\mathbb{C}}(U, \mathrm{End}\, E)$ such that

$$\nabla_\xi t = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \xi | \alpha(t)\rangle t,$$

for all $\xi \in \mathrm{Der}\, A_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$ and $|\alpha(t)| = |\alpha| = 0$, i.e. $|\langle \xi | \alpha(t)\rangle| = |\xi|$. Now if $s_i \in E(U)_0$, then clearly $s_i, i = 1, \ldots, rank_{A(U)} E(U)$ is a basal system of generators iff $s = tf$ for some $f \in \mathrm{Aut}\, E(U)$. In this case we have

Lemma 4.3. $\alpha(s) - f\alpha(t)t^{-1} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}} df.f^{-1}$.

Proof. Because $s = ft$, we have by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\xi s &= \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \xi | \alpha(s)\rangle s = \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \xi | \alpha(ft)\rangle ft \\ &= \nabla_\xi(ft) = \xi(f)t + (-1)^{|\xi||f|} f\nabla_\xi t \\ &= \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \xi | \tfrac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}} df\rangle t + (-1)^{|\xi|.|f|} f.\tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \xi | \alpha(t)\rangle t \\ &= \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \xi | \tfrac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}} df.f^{-1}\rangle ft + \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \xi | f\alpha(t)\rangle f^{-1}.ft. \end{aligned}$$

From this the lemma is deduced. □

Notation. The classical formulas for the differential of a vector valued function holds also in the super-context. However we must be careful to use the right or the left $A(U)$-module structure in $\Omega^1(U, E)$, for example if $f \in E(U)$ is arbitrary, one has

$$df = \sum_{i=1}^{m} dr_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} ds_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_j}.$$

So we have in an arbitrary open set $U \subseteq X$ the map

$$d : \Omega^0(U, E) \to \Omega^1(U, E)$$

has zero $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded degree.

Remark that $\Omega(U,E)$ is $\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded and $\operatorname{End}\Omega(U,E)$ is also $\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded . Thus an element $u\in\operatorname{End}\Omega(u,E)$ is of bidegree (c,j) iff

$$u(\Omega^b(U,E)_i)\subseteq\Omega^{b+c}(U,E)_{i+j},$$

for any $(b,i)\in\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We will say about u as a derivation of bidegree (c,j) iff for each $\alpha\in\Omega^b(U,E)_i$ and $\beta\in\Omega^{b'}(U,E')_j$, and if E and E' are paired with values in F, we have

$$u(\alpha\beta)=u(\alpha)\beta+(-1)^{bc+ij}\alpha u(\beta).$$

Remark that if a derivation is defined, it has also functorial property.

Let us now denote by ∇ the affine connection of our super-bundle E , and by $\alpha=\alpha_\nabla$ its connection form. Let $\beta\in\Omega^b(U,E)$, then we define the differential d_∇, and the inner product $\imath(\xi)$ and Lie derivative $\theta(\xi)$ for any vector super-field $\xi\in\operatorname{Der}A(U,E)$ by the following formulae

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\xi,\dots,\xi_{b+1}|d_\nabla\beta\rangle\ &=\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{b+1}(-1)^{i-1+j_{i-1}|\xi_i|}\nabla_{\xi_i}\langle\xi_1,\dots,\check{\xi}_i,\dots,\xi_{b+1}|\beta\rangle\\ &\quad+\textstyle\sum_{k\langle l}(-1)^{d_{k,l}}\langle[\xi_k,\xi_l],\xi_1,\dots,\check{\xi}_k,\dots,\check{\xi}_l,\dots,\xi_{b+1}|\beta\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$j_i:=\sum_{k=1}^{i}|\xi_k|,d_{k,l}:=|\xi_k|j_{k-1}+|\xi_l|j_{l-1}+|\xi_k||\xi_l|+k+l,$$

$$\langle\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{b-1}|\imath(\xi)\beta\rangle=(-1)^{|\xi|\sum_{i=1}^{b}|\xi_i|}\langle\xi,\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{b-1}|\beta\rangle,$$

and finally we define

$$\theta(\xi)=\theta_\nabla(\xi):=d_\nabla\circ\imath(\xi)+\imath(\xi)\circ d_\nabla.$$

It is easy to see that d_∇, $\imath(\xi)$, and θ_∇ are the derivations of degrees $(1,0)$, $(-1,|\xi|)$, $(0,|\xi|)$, respectively. As in the ordinary case, we have the superb-racket relations

$$\imath(\xi)\imath(\eta)+(-1)^{|\xi||\eta|}\imath(\eta)\imath(\xi)=[\imath(\xi),\imath(\eta)]=0,\tag{1}$$

$$\theta(\xi)\imath(\eta)-(-1)^{|\xi|.|\eta|}\imath(\eta)\theta(\xi)=[\theta(\xi),\imath(\eta)]=\imath([\xi,\eta]),\tag{2}$$

$$\theta(\xi)\theta(\eta)-(-1)^{|\xi|.|\eta|}\theta(\eta)\theta(\xi)=[\theta(\xi),\theta(\eta)]=\theta([\xi,\eta]),\tag{3}$$

and the relationship between contraction and Lie derivation

$$\begin{aligned}\xi\langle\xi_1,\dots,\xi_b|\beta\rangle\ &=\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{b}(-1)^{|\xi|\sum_{k=1}^{i-1}|\xi_k|}\langle\xi_1,\dots,[\xi,\xi_i],\dots,\xi_b|\beta\rangle\\ &\quad+(-1)^{|\xi|\sum_{k=1}^{b}|\xi_k|}\langle\xi_1,\dots,\xi_b|\theta(\xi)\beta\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

So we have a de Rham complex of global sections of E,

$$\Omega^*(X,E):\qquad\qquad\cdots\to\omega^b(X,E)\xrightarrow{d_\nabla}\Omega^{b+1}(X,E)\to\dots$$

if the connection ∇ is flat, i.e. for all $\xi, \eta \in \operatorname{Der} A(U)$,

$$\operatorname{Curv}(\nabla)(\xi,\eta) = [\nabla_\xi, \nabla_\eta] - \nabla_{[\xi,\eta]} \equiv 0.$$

Let $p \in U \subseteq X$, $T_p(X,A)$, the tangent super-space at p , $\Omega^b_E(p)$ be the linear super-space of all $E(U)$-valued b-linear forms z on $T_p(X,A) = T_p(X) \oplus T_p(X,A)_1$ such that

$$\langle v_1, \dots, v_j, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_b | z\rangle = (-1)^{1+|v_j||v_{j+1}|}\langle v_1, \dots, v_{j+1}, v_j, \dots, v_b | z\rangle.$$

Note that $\Omega^b_E(p)$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded such that if z is homogeneous, the expression $\langle v_1, \dots, v_b | z\rangle$ vanishes unless the case

$$|z| = \sum_{i=1}^{b} |v_i|.$$

So $z|_{T_p(X)}$ is an $E(U)_0$-valued form on $T_p(X)$ and $z|_{T_p(X,A)_1}$ is a symplectic b-form on $T_p(X,A)_1$. We define

$$\Omega^0_E(p) := E(U)_0,$$

$$\Omega_E(p) := \bigoplus_{b=0}^{\infty} \Omega^b_E(p).$$

We observe that the map $A(U) \to C^\infty(U); f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ extends to a homomorphism $\Omega(U,E) \to \Omega_E(U); \beta \mapsto \tilde{\beta}$,

$$\langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_b | \beta\rangle^\sim = \langle \tilde{\xi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\xi}_b | \tilde{\beta}\rangle.$$

Now let $\Omega_E(X) \to \Omega_{E_0}(X) = \operatorname{Hom}(T_p(X), E(U)_0)$ be the restriction map from the complex $\Omega_E(X)$ to the ordinary $E(U)_0$-valued de Rham complex, then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega(X,E) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr, "\sim"'] & & \Omega_{E_0}(X) \\
& \Omega_E(X) \arrow[ur, "res"'] &
\end{tikzcd}.$$

Remark that

$$k : \Omega(X,E) \longrightarrow \Omega_{E_0}(X)$$

commute with d_∇ and suppose that the connection is flat, we have then really a commutative diagram of complexes and complex homomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\Omega(X,E), d_\nabla) \arrow[rr, "k"] \arrow[dr] & & (\Omega_{E_0}(X), d_\nabla) \\
& (\Omega_E(X), d_\nabla) \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}.$$

LEMMA 4.4 (**Poincaré Lemma**). *Suppose that* $f \in E(U) = \Omega^0(U, E)$*. Then* $d_\nabla f = 0$ *in the connected open set* U *iff* $f = \lambda.1_U$*, where* λ *is a constant super-function with a single value in* $\operatorname{End} E(pt)$*. If, in addition,* U *is contractible* A*-coordinate neighborhood and* $\beta \in \Omega^b(U, E)$*,* $d_\nabla \beta = 0$*, then there exists* $\omega \in \Omega^{b-1}(U, E)$ *such that* $\beta = d_\nabla \omega$*.*

PROOF. By assumption, our connection is flat. Then locally we can consider its trivial form of connection. So, $d_\nabla = d$,

$$df = \sum_{i=1}^{m} dr_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} ds_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_j}$$

and because

$$f = \sum_{\mu} f_\mu s_\mu$$

for every scalar super-function the first assertion is trivial.

Locally, the map

$$(\Omega(U, E), d_\nabla) \xrightarrow{k} (\Omega_{E_0}(X), d_\nabla)$$

is an complex isomorphism. So for a contractible open U, the acyclicity of the usual de Rham complex deduces the acyclicity of our graded de Rham complex, the lemma is therefore proved. □

THEOREM 4.2. *There is a commutative diagram of complexes and their isomorphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Coh}(\Omega(X, E), d_\nabla) \arrow[rr, "\bar{k}"] \arrow[dr, "\cong"'] & & \operatorname{Coh}(\Omega_{E_0}(X), d_\nabla) \\
& H(X; \operatorname{End} E(X)_0). \arrow[ur, "\cong"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

PROOF. For the complex $\Omega(X, A)$, B. Kostant ([**Kos2**],§4.7) has constructed a flasque resolution of the constant sheaf. Our complex is its tensor product with $\operatorname{End} E(pt)$. Thus we have a flasque resolution by our complex for the constant sheaf. □

DEFINITION 4.8. Let (E, ∇) be a vector super-bundle with connection form $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\alpha_\nabla$ on super-manifold (X, A). Then there exists a unique differential 2-super-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(X, \operatorname{End} E)$, such that $-\omega = d_\nabla \alpha$, i.e.

$$-\langle \xi, \eta | \omega \rangle = \langle \eta | \xi \alpha \rangle - (-1)^{|\xi|.|\eta|} \langle \xi | \eta \alpha \rangle - \langle [\xi, \eta] | \alpha \rangle +$$

$$+ \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar} [\langle \xi | \alpha \rangle, \langle \eta | \alpha \rangle].$$

This 2-super-form is called the *curvature form* of the connection ∇.

As in the classical case, it is easy to deduce the following result

Proposition 4.1.

$$\langle \xi, \eta | \operatorname{Curv}(E, \nabla)\rangle = [\nabla_\xi, \nabla_\eta] - \nabla_{[\xi,\eta]} = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \xi, \eta | \omega\rangle.$$

Definition 4.9. Let (E, ∇) be a super-bundle with connection over (X, A) and $\{(U_i, t_{ij})\}$, $i \in I$, $j = 1, \ldots, \operatorname{rank}_A E$, is a local system for E. We denote $t_i = (t_{i1}, \ldots, t_{i,\operatorname{rank}_A E})$ and $c_{ij} \in \operatorname{End} E(U)$ the transition functions defined by $t_j c_{ij} = t_j$, and we will then refer to the set (c_{ij}, α_i);

$$\alpha_j - c_{ij}\alpha_i c_{ij}^{-1} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}} dc_{ij}.c_{ij}^{-1}$$

as *local datum* for (E, ∇).

If (c_{ij}, α'_i) is an another local data of some vector super-bundle (E', ∇'), then (E, ∇) is equivalent to (E', ∇') if and only if there exist $\lambda_i \in Iso(E, E')$ such that

$$\lambda_i c_{ij} \lambda_j^{-1} = c'_{ij}$$

and

$$\alpha'_i - \lambda_i \alpha_i \lambda_i^{-1} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}} d\lambda_i.\lambda_i^{-1}.$$

Since every vector super-bundle with connection admits at least one local datum with respect to a contractible covering, it follows that the notion of curvature is an equivalence invariant and hence $\operatorname{Curv}[(E, \nabla)] := [\operatorname{Curv}(E, \nabla)]$ is well defined. Note that the set $\mathcal{L}_c(X, A)$ of all equivalent classes of vector super-bundles with connection has the structure of an Abelian group.

$$[(E, \nabla)] = [(E', \nabla')] + [(E'', \nabla'')],$$

iff

$$c_{ij} = c'_{ij} c''_{ij},$$
$$\alpha_i = \alpha'_i + \alpha''_i,$$

and

$$\operatorname{Curv}[(E, \nabla)] = \operatorname{Curv}[(E', \nabla')] + \operatorname{Curv}[(E'', \nabla'')].$$

Now for any closed 2-super-form $\omega \in \Omega^2_c(X, \operatorname{End} E(U)_0)$, let $\mathcal{L}_\omega(X, A)$ be the set of all classes $[(E, \nabla)] \in \mathcal{L}_c(X, A)$ such that $\omega = \operatorname{Curv}[(E, \nabla)]$,

$$\mathcal{L}_c(X, A) = \cup_\omega \mathcal{L}_\omega(X, A)$$

is a disjoint union over the set of all closed 2-super-forms $\omega \in \Omega^2(X, \operatorname{End} E(U)_0)$.

Now given a closed 2-super-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(X, \operatorname{End} E(U))$ the question is to decide whether $\mathcal{L}_\omega(X, A)$ is nonempty. Observe that one has the same answer as in the ungraded case.

If $\operatorname{Aut} E(pt)$ is the automorphism group of fiber transformations. Then the cohomology group $H^1(X; \operatorname{Aut} E(X)_0)$ operates on $\mathcal{L}_c(X, A)$.

Let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the contractible covering of X and assume that (E, ∇) is a vector super-bundle with connection over (X, A). Let (c_{ij}, α_i) be the corresponding local datum for (E, ∇). Let z_{ij} be the cocycle for the constant sheaf $\operatorname{Aut} E(pt)_0$.

Lemma 4.5. *The cohomology group $H^1(X, \operatorname{Aut} E(X)_0)$ operates as follows:*

$$[z_{ij}].[(E, \nabla)] = [(E', \nabla')],$$

where (E', ∇') has the local datum $(c_{ij}, z_{ij}, \alpha'_i)$ with respect to the covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$, $\alpha'_i = z_{ij}\alpha_i z_{ij}^{-1}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $(c_{ij}z_{ij}, z_{ij}\alpha_i z_{ij}^{-1})$ is a local datum of some super-bundle with connection (E', ∇'). We must prove that $\operatorname{Curv}(E', \nabla') = \omega$. We see that $(z_{ij}, 0)$ is also a local datum, then there exists a flat super-bundle with connection (E_z, ∇_0) such that $(z_{ij}, 0)$ is its local datum. By the Abelian group structure on $\mathcal{L}_c(X, A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Curv}(E', \nabla') &= \operatorname{Curv}(E, \nabla) + \operatorname{Curv}(E_z, \nabla_0) \\ &= \omega + 0 \\ &= \omega. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.6. $\mathcal{L}_\omega(X, A) \cong H^1(X; \operatorname{Aut} E(X)_0)$.

Remark 4.2. Let us denote by exp the exponential map

$$f \in \operatorname{End} E(U)_0 \mapsto \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar} f\right) \in \operatorname{Aut} E(U),$$

where as usually

$$\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar} f\right) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar} f)^n}{n!}.$$

The exponential series converges absolutely on the operator norm topology, as usually.

Remark that elements of the form $I + \operatorname{End} E^1(U)_0$, the unipotents, have the unique logarithms in $\operatorname{End} E^1(U)_0$, hence there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{End} E^1(U)_0 \cong I_U + \operatorname{End} E^1(U)_0.$$

Let us denote by Γ the kernel of the exponential map, one has an exact sequence of group sheaves

$$0 \to (\operatorname{End} E)_0 \xrightarrow{exp} \operatorname{Aut} E_0 \to 1.$$

So by the long exact cohomology sequence we have

COROLLARY 4.7. *For a fixed super-manifold* (X, A) *there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{L}_c(X, A) \cong H^2(X; \Gamma).$$

REMARK 4.3. By the well-known Kuiper theorem, $\operatorname{Aut} E(U)$ is homotopically trivial in the infinite-dimensional case. We are interesting in the multidimensional quantization theory, to the classification of all infinite-dimensional Hilbert super-bundles, associated with principal super-bundles with finite dimensional Lie super-groups as structural groups .

LEMMA 4.6. *Let* (X, A) *be a super-manifold and let* $\omega \in \Omega^2_{BbbC}(X, \operatorname{End} E)_0$ *be a closed 2-form. Then* $\mathcal{L}_\omega(X, A)$ *is nonempty if and only if the cohomology class* $[\omega]$ *belong to the cohomology group* $H^2(X; \Gamma) \hookrightarrow H^2(X, \operatorname{Aut} E(X)_0)$.

PROOF. Assume that the class $[\omega]$ is Γ-valued. Let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a contractible covering of X . By the Poincaré lemma, there exists $\alpha_i \in \Omega^1(U_i, E)$ such that $d\alpha_i = \omega|_{U_i}$. Hence in the intersection $U_i \cap U_j$, $d(\alpha_i - \alpha_j) \equiv 0$. Thus there exists $f_{ij} \in \operatorname{Aut} E(U_i \cap U_j)$ such that $\alpha_i - \alpha_j = df_{ij}$. Then , in the intersection $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$, $d(f_{ij} + f_{jk} - f_{ik}) \equiv 0$. So there is some $z_{ijk} \in \operatorname{Aut} E(X)_0$ such that

$$f_{ij} + f_{jk} - f_{ik} = z_{ijk} Id_{U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k}.$$

Because ω is Γ-valued, we can choose $z_{ijk} \in \Gamma$. So,

$$c_{ij} := \exp{(f_{ij})} \in \operatorname{Aut} E(U)_0.$$

It is easy to see that (c_{ij}, α_i) is a local datum of some super-bundle with connection , say (E, ∇). Clearly, $\omega = \operatorname{Curv}(E, \nabla)$. This means that $\mathcal{L}_\omega(X, A)$ is nonempty.

The inverse assertion is proved in the same way as in the classical case. □

4.2. Quantization super-operators. Notation Let $(M, A; \omega)$ be a symplectic super-manifold of dimension (m, n). recall from 4.4 the map $k : (\Omega(X, E), d_\nabla) \to (\Omega_{E_0}(X), d_\nabla)$. Then $(X, k\omega)$ is a symplectic manifold and the dimension m must be even, $m = 2m_0$ and there is at every point a Darboux coordinate system (p_i, q_i) in coordinate neighborhood U. In other hand, because the restriction of ω to the odd part of the tangent super-spaces is a non-degenerate symmetric form, there exists (see also [**Kos2**],§5.3) a Morse canonical system of coordinates $s_j, j = 1, \ldots, n$. Together, there exists at every point a so called *local A-Darboux coordinate system* $(\{(p_i, q_i)\}_{i=1,\ldots,m_0}, \{s_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,n})$, where $p_i, q_i \in A(U)_0$, and $s_j \in A(U)_1$, and in which

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} dp_i \wedge dq_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon_j}{2}(ds_j)^2,$$

where $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$.

It is easy to see that in this A-Darboux coordinate system, the Hamiltonian vector super-field ξ_f corresponding to a super-function f; $\imath(\xi_f)\omega = df$ now becomes

$$\xi_f = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\varepsilon_j\frac{\partial f}{\partial s_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial s_j}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\xi_{p_k} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial q_k}, \xi_{q_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k},$$

$$\xi_{s_j} = \varepsilon_j\frac{\partial}{\partial s_j}.$$

In such a A-Darboux coordinate system the Poisson brackets have the form

$$\begin{aligned} f, g \in A(U) \mapsto \{f,g\} &= \xi_f(g) = -(-1)^{|f|\cdot|g|}\xi_g(f) = \langle \xi_f, \xi_g | \omega\rangle \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m_0}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{|f|}\varepsilon_j\frac{\partial f}{\partial s_j}\frac{\partial g}{\partial s_j}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular we have the Poisson brackets between the generators as the canonical super-commutator relations

$$\{p_i, p_k\} = \{q_i, q_l\} = \{s_j, p_k\} = \{s_j, q_l\} = 0,$$

$$\{q_k, p_l\} = \delta_{kl} I_U,$$

$$\{s_i, s_j\} = \varepsilon_j \delta_{ij} I_U.$$

Now we consider the quantization problem. As in the classical case, by definition, a *quantization procedure* is a correspondence associating to each super-function f a super-symmetric super-operator $\hat{f}$, which is anti-auto-adjoint super-operator if f is a real super-function, in some fixed Hilbert super-space, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\{f_1, f_2\}} &= \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}[\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2] \\ \hat{1} &= Id, \end{aligned}$$

where as usually $\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}$ is the normed Planck's constant.

Definition 4.10. Let us denote by (E, ∇) a vector super-bundle with connection and Hilbert super-space fibers, such that the connection conserves the Hilbert structure. This means that the corresponding parallel transpose conserves the scalar product. Let us denote also by $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\alpha(.)$ the connection super-form of ∇ . Then the values of the super-form α are the anti-super-symmetric super-operators for any complex vector super-fields and are anti-auto-adjoint for real super-fields.

We define now for each super-function $f \in A(M)$ the corresponding *quantized super-operator* $\hat{f}$,

$$\hat{f} = f + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\nabla_{\xi_f} = f + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\theta_{\xi_f} + \alpha(|(\xi_f)).$$

The same as in the classical case, we have also the following quantization condition.

THEOREM 4.3. *The following three conditions are equivalent.*

(1) The super-operator-valued differential 2-super-form $-\omega$ is the differential of α with respect to d_∇, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \xi, \eta | d_\nabla \alpha \rangle &= \langle \eta | \xi\alpha \rangle - (-1)^{|\xi|.|\alpha|}\langle \xi | \eta\alpha \rangle - \langle [\xi,\eta] | \alpha \rangle + \\ &\quad + \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}[\langle \xi|\alpha\rangle, \langle \eta|\alpha\rangle] \\ &= -\langle \xi, \eta | \omega \rangle Id, \forall \xi, \eta \in \operatorname{Der} A(M).\end{aligned}$$

(2) The curvature of ∇ is symplectic, more precisely

$$\langle \xi, \eta | \operatorname{Curv}(E, \nabla) \rangle := [\nabla_\xi, \nabla_\eta] - \nabla_{[\xi,\eta]} = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \xi, \eta | \omega \rangle.$$

(3) The correspondence $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ is a quantization correspondence.

PROOF. (1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (2). As in the classical case, we have

$$\nabla_\xi = \theta_\xi + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \xi | \alpha \rangle$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}[\nabla_\xi, \nabla_\eta] - \nabla_{[\xi,\eta]} &= [\theta(\xi) + \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \xi|\alpha\rangle, \theta(\eta) + \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle \eta|\alpha\rangle] - \theta([\xi,\eta]) \\ &\quad - \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle [\xi,\eta]|\alpha\rangle \\ &= [\theta(\xi), \theta(\eta)] + \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}[\theta(\xi), \langle \eta|\alpha\rangle] - \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}(-1)^{|\xi|.|\eta|}[\theta(\eta), \langle \xi|\alpha\rangle] \\ &\quad + (\tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar})^2[\langle \xi|\alpha\rangle, \langle \eta|\alpha\rangle] - \theta([\xi,\eta]) - \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle [\xi,\eta]|\alpha\rangle \\ &= [\theta(\xi), \theta(\eta)] - \theta([\xi,\eta]) + \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\{[\theta(\xi), \langle \eta|\alpha\rangle] - \\ &\quad -(-1)^{|\xi|.|\eta|}[\theta(\eta), \langle \xi|\alpha\rangle] \quad - \langle [\xi,\eta]|\alpha\rangle + \tfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}[\langle \xi|\alpha\rangle, \langle \eta|\alpha\rangle]\}.\end{aligned}$$

In virtue of the relation

$$[\theta(\xi), \theta(\eta)] = \theta([\xi,\eta])$$

the rest of the proof of this part is □

LEMMA 4.7.

$$[\theta(\xi), \langle \eta|\alpha\rangle] = \langle \eta|\xi\alpha\rangle.$$

PROOF. For any super-section $f \in E(U)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}[]
[\theta(\xi), \langle\eta|\alpha\rangle]f &= \theta(\xi)(\langle\eta|\alpha\rangle f) + (-1)^{|\xi|.|\eta|}\langle\eta|\alpha\rangle\theta(\xi)f - \\
&\quad -(-1)^{|\xi|.|\eta|}\langle\eta|\alpha\rangle\theta(\xi)f \\
&= \theta(\xi)\langle\eta|\alpha\rangle f.
\end{aligned}$$

□

(2) $\iff$ (3). We have directly from the definition

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}[\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2] &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}[f_1 + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\nabla_{\xi_{f_1}}, f_2 + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}] \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\{\frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}[f_1, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}] + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}[\nabla_{xi_{f_1}}, f_2] + (\frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}})^2[\nabla_{xi_{f_1}}, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}]\} \\
&= [f_1, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}] + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\nabla_{\xi_{\{f_1,f_2\}}} - \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}\nabla_{\xi_{\{f_1,f_2\}}} + [\nabla_{\xi_{f_1}}, f_2] + \\
&\quad + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{-1}}[\nabla_{\xi_{f_1}}, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}].
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\xi_{\{f_1,f_2\}} = [\xi_{f_1}.\xi_{f_2}].$$

So the proof of the theorem shall be completed by proving the following

LEMMA 4.8.

$$\begin{aligned}[]
[f_1, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}] = [\nabla_{\xi_{f_1}}, f_2] = \theta(\xi_{f_1})f_2 = -(-1)^{|\xi_{f_1}|.|\xi_{f_2}|}\theta(\xi_{f_2})(f_1) = \\
= \langle\xi_{f_1}, \xi_{f_2}|\omega\rangle = \{f_1, f_2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The multiplication by a super-function is super-commuting with the multiplication by any super-operator-valued super-function. So we have

$$[f_1, \nabla_{\xi_{f_2}}] = [f_1, \theta(\xi_{f_2})].$$

For every section $s \in E(U)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}[]
[f_1, \theta(\xi_{f_2})]s &= f_1(\theta(\xi_{f_2})s) - (-1)^{|f_1|.|f_2|}\theta(\xi_{f_2})(f_1 s) \\
&= f_1(\theta(\xi_{f_2})s) - (-1)^{|\xi_{f_2}|.|f_1|}(\theta(\xi_{f_2})f_1)s - (-1)^{2|\xi_{f_2}.|f_1|}f_1(\theta(\xi_{f_2})s) \\
&= -(-1)^{|\xi_{f_2}|.|f_1|}(\theta(\xi_{f_2})f_1)s \\
&= \langle\xi_{f_1}, \xi_{f_2}|\omega\rangle s.
\end{aligned}$$

By analogy, we have also

$$[\nabla_{\xi_{f_1}}, f_2] = \theta(\xi_{f_1})f_2 = \langle\xi_{f_1}, \xi_{f_2}|\omega\rangle.$$

□

REMARK 4.4. Here we talk about a prequantization procedure, because as usually the covariant derivation $\nabla_\xi, \xi \in \operatorname{Der} A(U)$ operates as a element of $\operatorname{End} E(U)$ for every open U. Only with the help of some super-polarization, we can construct the corresponding Hilbert super-space of the quantum states of the system under consideration. We shall do this as super-polarization in what follows.

Remark 4.5. Remember that the expression

$$d_\nabla \alpha = d\alpha + \frac{\hbar}{2\sqrt{-1}}\alpha \wedge \alpha$$

is non linear with respect to α. Thus in the condition (1) of the theorem we have a nonlinear differential equation

$$-\langle \xi, \eta | d_\nabla \alpha \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta | \omega \rangle Id$$

and in the condition (2) of the theorem, we have an equivalent nonlinear differential equation

$$\mathrm{Curv}(E, \nabla) = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle ., . | \omega \rangle id.$$

In the temporary physics there are some sorts of this equation, related with the curvature of some connections. An interesting example of this type is the well-known Yang-Mills equation

$$^*\,\mathrm{Curv}(E, \nabla) = \pm\,\mathrm{Curv}(E, \nabla),$$

where the star sign is the Hodge star. By Radon-Penrose transform this equation becomes the Cauchy-Riemann condition for the corresponding bundle in the space of light rays of the compactified Minkowski space.

In the work [**D22**] it was posed the following

Conjecture. Our quantization conditions could be also transformed by the Radon-Penrose transformation to some algebraic condition of the corresponding super-bundle.

We discuss now about application of this (pre)quantization procedure to the representation theory of Lie super-groups.

Notations Recall that if G is any group and K is a fixed ground field ($\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$), the group algebra $K(G)$ is a commutative Hopf algebra with antipode over K,

$$\Delta : K(G) \to K(G) \otimes K(G),$$

so that

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g, s(g) = g^{-1}, 1_E(g) = 1, \forall g \in G.$$

Now assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie super-algebra and one has a representation

$$\Pi : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathfrak{g}.$$

Then Π extends uniquely to a representation of G by automorphisms of the universal enveloping super-algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ Now the *smash product* $K(G)$Ⓢ$U(\mathfrak{g})$

with respect to Π, or simply *smash product* if Π is understood, is by definition a co-commutative Hopf super-algebra with antipode such that:

(1) as a vector super-space, it is the graded tensor product $K(G)\hat{\otimes}U(\mathfrak{g})$,

(2) as an algebra $K(G)$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ are sub-algebras with the relations

$$gug^{-1} = \Pi(g)u, \forall g \in G, \forall u \in U(\mathfrak{g}),$$

(3) with respect to the diagonal map Δ the elements of G are *group-like* and the elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ are *primitive* and finally

(4) one has $s(g) = g^{-1}$, $s(X) = -X$ and $1_E(g) = 1$, $1_E(X) = 0$, $\forall g \in G$, $\forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Conversely, Let E be any commutative Hopf super-algebra with antipode over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic zero, G the group of all group-like elements in E and $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie super-algebra of all primitive elements in E. Then there is a representation say $\Pi : G \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$gXg^{-1} = \Pi(g)X, \forall g \in G, \forall X \in U(\mathfrak{g})$$

and E is isomorphic to the smash product of these parts with respect to the representation Π, $E \cong K(G)\circledS U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Notations Now assume that G is a group, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie super-algebra over the ground field K and E is the Hopf super-algebra with respect to some representation $\Pi : G \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathfrak{g}$. Following B. Kostant [**Kos2**],§3.4, we will say that E has the structure of a *Lie-Hopf super-algebra* iff:

(1) G has a structure of (not necessarily connected) Lie group,

(2) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} + \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is a finite dimensional Lie super-algebra such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \cong \operatorname{Lie} G$ is the Lie algebra of G,

(3) $Ad_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined on the identity component G_0 of G and $\Pi|_{G_0} \cong Ad_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Denote in this case $E := E(G, \mathfrak{g})$ this Lie-Hopf super-algebra.

If $E(G, \mathfrak{g})$ is a Lie-Hopf super-algebra, denote $E(G, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})$ the Lie-Hopf algebra obtained by replacing $\mathfrak{g}$ by its even part $\mathfrak{g}_0$. As Hopf algebras one knows that

$$E(G, \mathfrak{g}_0) \cong C^\infty(G)^*,$$

where $C^\infty(G)^*$ is the set of distributions with finite support.

The Lie-Hopf algebras form a category in which a morphism

$$E(G, \mathfrak{g}) \to E(H, \mathfrak{h})$$

is a morphism of Hopf super-algebras such that the restriction to the even parts is a Hopf algebra morphism

$$E(G, \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}) \to E(H, \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}),$$

induced by a Lie group morphism $G \to H$.

Notations Let us consider a super-manifold (Y, B) with the sheaf $B(Y)$ of super-functions on (Y, B). Consider the full dual $B(Y)'$ of $B(Y)$. One has certainly an injection

$$0 \to B(Y)' \otimes B(Y)' \to (B(Y) \otimes B(Y))'.$$

One has also the diagonal map

$$\Delta : B(Y)' \to (B(Y) \otimes B(Y))'$$

defined by the relation

$$v(f \otimes g) = v(fg), \forall f, g \in B(Y),$$

and $v \in B(Y)'$.

We consider the subspace $B(Y)^*$ defined as the super-subspace of $B(Y)'$, consisting of all $v \in B(Y)'$, which vanishes on some ideal of finite co-dimension of $B(Y)$. One knows that if $v \in B(Y)'$, $\Delta v \in B(Y)^* \otimes B(Y)^*$, iff $v \in B(Y)^*$. So there is a natural morphism

$$\Delta : B(Y)^* \to B(Y)^* \otimes B(Y)^*,$$

which induces on $B(Y)^*$ a co-commutative super-algebra structure.

Recall that if

$$\Delta : C \to C \otimes C$$

is a super-algebra co-multiplication, an element $\delta \in C$ is called *group-like* iff it is non zero even element and

$$\Delta\delta = \delta \otimes \delta.$$

An element v is called *primitive* with respect to a group-like element δ iff

$$\Delta v = \delta \otimes v + v \otimes \delta.$$

Remark that $B(Y)^*$ is just the set of all distributions with finite support on (Y, B).

Recall also that a morphism

$$\tau : B(Y)^* \longrightarrow C(Z)^*$$

of super-co-algebras is said to be *smooth* iff it is an induced morphism $\tau = \sigma_*$ for some morphism of super-manifolds

$$\sigma : (Y, B) \to (Z, C).$$

Now let (G, A) be a super-manifold of dimension (m, n),

$$\Delta : A(G)^* \to A(G)^* \otimes A(G)^*$$

be the diagonal map with respect to which $A(G)^*$ is cocommmutative super-algebra. The co unit is given by the identity element $1_G \in A(G), 1_G(v) = v(1_G), \forall v \in A(G)^*$.

Recall that (G, A) has the structure of a Lie super-group if $A(G)$ has also the structure of an algebra such that:

(1) $(A(G)^*, 1_G, \Delta)$ is a Hopf super-algebra with antipode s,

(2) the map

$$A(G)^* \otimes A(G)^* \longrightarrow A(G)^*$$

given by the multiplication and the map

$$s : A(G)^* \longrightarrow A(G)^*$$

given by the antipode are *smooth.*

It is well-known (see [**Kos2**],§3.5) that if (G, A) is a Lie group, then G is a Lie group with respect to the underlying manifold structure , with Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}\, G \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, the even part of the Lie super-algebra of primitive elements of $A(G)^*$ and $A(G)^* = E(G, \mathfrak{g})$ with respect to the representation

$$\Pi : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}\, \mathfrak{g},$$

$$\Pi(g)x \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} gxg^{-1}, \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, \forall g \in G$$

and $\Pi|_{G_0} \cong \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, the restriction to the connected component of identity.

DEFINITION 4.11. Let (G, A) be a Lie super-group and let (Y, B) be a super-manifold. We will say that (G, A) *acts* on (Y, B) or (Y, B) is a (G, A)-*space* iff the following map is smooth

$$\Delta : A(G)^* \times B(Y)^* \to B(Y)^*,$$

$$\Delta(vw) = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|w'_j| \cdot |u''_i|} u'_i w'_j \otimes u''_i w''_j,$$

if

$$\Delta(u) = \sum_i u'_i \otimes u''_i,$$

and

$$\Delta(w) = \sum_j w'_j \otimes w''_j,$$

for each $u \in A(G)^*$ and $w \in B(Y)^*$.

In this case $B(Y)^*$ becomes an $A(G)^*$-module. By duality, the commutative Lie super-algebra $B(Y)$ becomes also some $A(G)^*$-module:

$$\langle w, u.f \rangle = (-1)^{|u| \cdot |w|} \langle s(u)w, f \rangle.$$

Observe that if $f, g \in B(Y)$ and

$$\Delta(u) = \sum_i u'_i \otimes u''_i,$$

then

$$u.(fg) = \sum_i (-1)^{|f| \cdot |u''_i|} (u'_i.f)(u''_i.g).$$

Recall that a Lie super-subgroup (H, B) in (G, A) will be called *closed* if H is a closed subgroup in G. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho :& G \to H \setminus G, \\ & g \mapsto Hg \end{aligned}$$

be the coset projection map. Put $V = \rho^{-1}(U)$ if U is some open subset in $H \setminus G$. Then $(V, A(V))$ is some (H, B)-super-space and the restriction map

$$\rho : A(G) \to A(V)$$

is some (H, B)-module map. Now put

$$(B \setminus A)(U) = \{f \in A(V); R^V_W f = (-1)^{|w|.|f|} fs(w) = \langle w, 1_H \rangle f\}.$$

It is easy to see that $(B \setminus A)(U)$ is a commutative super-algebra containing in $A(V)$ and the correspondence

$$U \mapsto (B \setminus A)(U)$$

form a sheaf of commutative super-algebras. As it is pointed out in ([**Kos2**],§3.9), the sheaf $B \setminus A$ on $H \setminus G$ together with the homomorphism

$$(B \setminus A)(U) \to C^\infty(U)$$

defines a super-manifold structure $(H\backslash G, B\backslash A)$ of dimension $(m-m', n-n')$, if $\dim (G, A) = (m, n)$ and $\dim (H, B) = (m', n')$. Furthermore, we have also the local triviality of the projection map: *For the sufficiently small open sets U, one has an isomorphism*

$$\theta : (U \times H, (B \setminus A) \times B) \xrightarrow{\cong} (G, A).$$

In other words, we have also a principal super-bundle associated with each closed Lie super-subgroup.

It is not hard to show (see also [**Kos2**],§3.10.3) that *if (H, B) is a closed Lie super-subgroup of a Lie super-group (G, A), then with respect to the action of (G, A) on $(H \setminus G, B \setminus A)$, $(H \setminus G, B \setminus A)$ is a homogeneous (G, A)-super-space. Conversely , if (X', A') is a homogeneous (G, A)-super-space, then $(X', A') \cong (H \setminus G, B \setminus A)$, where (H, B) is the stabilizer of a point ,say $p \in X'$.*

Definition 4.12. Suppose that our Lie super-group (G, A) acts on a symplectic super-manifold $(M, B; \omega)$ by a representation

$$\Pi(.) : A(G)^* \to \operatorname{End} B(M),$$

such that its restriction to the Lie super-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a representation of the Lie super-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by the canonical transformations

$$X \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \xi_X \in \operatorname{Ham} B(M) \subseteq \operatorname{Der} B(M).$$

Denote by L_X the Lie derivation along the vector super-field ξ_X, i.e. $L_X := \theta(\xi_X)$.

We have also a natural exact sequence of Lie super-algebras

$$0 \to \mathbb{R}1_M \to B(M) \to \operatorname{Ham} B(M) \to 0.$$

Hence , for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, there exists a super-function, the so called *generating function* , $f_X \in B(M)$;

$$\imath(\xi_X)\omega + df_X = 0.$$

By the calculus on super-manifolds, we have also

$$[L_X, L_Y] = L_{[X,Y]}$$

and

$$L_X f = \{f_X, f\}.$$

Now suppose that f_X depends linearly on X, we have then a 2-cocycle of Lie super-algebra

$$c(X,Y) = \{f_X, f_Y\} - f_{[X,Y]}.$$

By the quantization conditions, we have

$$[\Lambda(X), \Lambda(Y)] = \Lambda([X,Y]) + c(X,Y),$$

where

$$\Lambda(X) := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\hat{f}_X = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}f_X + \nabla_{\xi_X}.$$

Definition 4.13. We will say that the action of (G,A) by the canonical transformations on the symplectic super-manifold $(M,B;\omega)$ is *flat* iff the 2-cocycle $c(.,.)$ is zero.

Remark that if the (G,A)-action on (M,B) is flat, the Lie super-algebra homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g} \to \operatorname{Ham}_{loc}(M,B); X \mapsto \xi_X$$

can be lifted to a super-algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \to B(Y)$. So we return to the Kirillov's notion of *strictly Hamiltonian action* in the Lie group situation.

In this case, we have a Lie super-algebra representation

$$\mathfrak{g} \to EndB(Y); X \mapsto \{f_X, .\},$$

which is an *integrable Poisson representation* in the Kostant's sense ([**Kos2**],§5.4).

In the Lie super-group flat action case, we have a representation of our Lie super-algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ by super-functions, the so called *classical (physical) quantities* , and some representation $\Lambda(.)$ of our Lie super-algebra by the quantum quantities $\hat{f_X}$, the antisymmetric super-operators. If the E. Nelson conditions are satisfied, we will have a Lie super-group representation

$$\exp X \in G \mapsto \exp\,(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\hat{f}_X)$$

of the universal covering group $(\tilde{G}, A)$ of (G, A).

Definition 4.14. By a *mechanical system with super-symmetry* we mean a symplectic super-manifold together with a flat homogeneous action of some Lie super-group (say also, of symmetry).

So starting from a mechanical system with super-symmetry we can obtain some representations, i.e. the corresponding quantum systems, by using the quantization procedure also as in ungraded cases.

4.3. Super-polarizations and induced representations. We see that to construct the quantum system, we must take in account a prequantization procedure and a Hilbert super-bundle with connection $(E, \nabla) \in \mathcal{L}_\omega(M, B)$ for the quantum model, the sections of which are the quantum states (with internal super-symmetry). The question is: Whether this set is nonempty ? As usually, the integral condition guarantees a positive solution of this question.

Definition 4.15. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional real Lie super-algebra, (G, A) the corresponding simply connected Lie super-group, (M, B) an arbitrary homogeneous (G, A)-super-space with a flat action of (G, A), $m \in M$ a fixed point and (G_m, A_m) the isotropy subgroup at this point, and finally $\mathfrak{g}_m := \mathrm{Lie}(G_m, A_m) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ the corresponding Lie super-algebra. The point m can be considered as a super-functional $\delta_m \in A(G)^*$ on g. Therefore we can define

$$\langle m, X\rangle = D\chi_m(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}f_X) := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}f_X(m).$$

In the flat action case there is some character χ_m of the connected component of identity $((G_m)_0, A_m)$. Then as usually, The point m is said to be *admissible (resp., integral)* iff there is an extension of this super-character χ_m from the connected component of identity $((G_m)_0, A_m)$ to an irreducible representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ (resp., to the same character) of the whole stabilizer group (G_m, A_m). It is not hard to check an super-analogous result

Proposition 4.2. *If (M, B) is a homogeneous flat action (G, A)-super-space, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) Some point $m \in M$ is admissible (resp.,integral).
(2) Every point of M is admissible (resp., integral).
(3) The set $\mathcal{L}_\omega(M,B)$ is nonempty.

Suppose now (M,B) is admissible, i.e. there exists a representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ of (G_m, A_m) in some Hilbert super-space $\tilde{V}$. Taking a fixed connection on the principal super-bundle

$$(G_m, A_m) \rightarrowtail (G,A) \twoheadrightarrow (M,B)$$

, we obtain some affine connection on the associated super-bundle. As in the classical cases the set of these possible quantum associated bundles can be parameterized by the first cohomology group $H^1(M; \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{V})_0)$. Therefore we have also in the super-case a result analogous to the same one in the classical ungraded case.

Let us now consider a symplectic flat action (G,A)-manifold , $(\Omega, C; \omega_\Omega \in \mathcal{O}(M,B) := (M,B;\omega)/(G,A)$ some (G,A)- orbit. It can be also proved an analogue of the classification theorem of homogeneous flat symplectic manifolds. So that locally we can consider our symplectic super-manifold as some Kirillov's co-adjoint orbit. Let us fix a point x in Ω, its stabilizer (G_x, A_x), the connected component of identity $((G_x)_0, A_x)$, a fixed representation $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})$ of (G_x, A_x),etc....

Let $T(\Omega, C)$ be the complexified tangent super-bundle of the orbit $(\Omega, C; \omega_\Omega)$. Consider some complex tangent distribution $L \subseteq T(\Omega, C)$, which is (G,A)-invariant and integrable, i.e. there is some sub-super-bundle, the tangent bundle of which is just L. It is not hard also to prove an super-version of the *Frobenius Theorem: The tangent distribution L is integrable iff the set of all global sections form a Lie super-algebra with respect to the superb-rackets of super-sections.* It is also easy to check that *The Lie super-algebra of invariant global sections of an integrable invariant distribution is isomorphic to the quotient of some complex Lie super-subalgebra by the complexified Lie super-algebra of the stabilizer.* It is therefore easy to construct the complex super-subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $L_x \cong \mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{g}_x)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and

$$L_x \oplus \overline{L}_x \cong (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}})/(\mathfrak{g}_x)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

It is clear that the invariance guarantees the inclusion $[\mathfrak{g}_x, L_x] \subseteq L_x$.

Definition 4.16. We will say that the distribution L is *closed* iff the connected super-subgroup $(H_0, \tilde{F})$, $(H_0, \tilde{I})$ corresponding to the Lie super-subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{m} := (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$, and the super-subgroup $(H,F) := (G_x, A_x) \ltimes (H_0, \tilde{F})$, $(M,I) := (G_x, A_x) \ltimes (M_0, \tilde{I})$ are closed.

Definition 4.17. Fix a representation $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{V})$ of the stabilizer (G_x, A_x), which is a multiple of χ_x in restricting to the connected component $((G_x)_0, A_x)$

of the admissible orbit $(\Omega, C; \omega_\Omega)$. We say that (L, ρ, σ_0) is a $(\chi_x, \tilde{\sigma})$-*polarization and* L *is weakly Lagrangian* iff: (a) σ_0 is an irreducible unitary representation of the super-group (H_0, F) in some Hilbert super-space V such that:

(1) The restriction

$$\sigma_0|_{(G_x,A_x)\cap(H_0,\tilde{F})} \simeq mult\tilde{\sigma}|_{(G_x,A_x)\cap(H_0,\tilde{F})},$$

(2) The point σ_0 is fixed under the contragradient action of the Lie super-group (G_x, A_x) in the dual $\widehat{(H_0, \tilde{F})}$,

(b) ρ is a representation of the complex Lie super-algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ in the Hilbert super-space V such that its restriction to the real part h is the Lie derivative of the representation σ_0 and the E. Nelson conditions are supposed to be satisfied.

The notion of polarization can be also formulated in terms of Lie super-subalgebra. That is the so called $(\tilde{\sigma}, x)$-polarization.

Definition 4.18. We say that $(\mathfrak{p}, \rho, \sigma_0)$ is a $(\tilde{\sigma}, x)$-polarization iff:

(a) $\mathfrak{p}$ is some complex Lie super-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$, containing $\mathfrak{g}_x$,

(b) The super-subalgebra p is $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}}(G_x)$-invariant ,

(c) The vector super-space $\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the complexification of some real super-algebra $\mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{p} + \overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cap \mathfrak{g}$,

(d) The super-subgroups $(M_0, \tilde{I})$, $(H_0, \tilde{F})$, (M, I), (H, F) are closed, where $(M_0, \tilde{I})$ (resp., $(H_0, \tilde{F})$) is the connected super-subgroup of (G, A) with the Lie super-subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}$) and $(M, I) := (G_x, A_x) \ltimes (M_0, \tilde{I})$, $(H, F) := (G_x, A_x) \ltimes (H_0, \tilde{F})$,

(e) σ_0 is an irreducible unitary representation of the super-group $(H_0, \tilde{F})$ such that

(1) The restriction $\sigma_0|_{(G_x,A_x)\cap(H_0,\tilde{F})}$ is a multiple of the restriction $\tilde{\sigma}|_{(G_x,A_x)\cap(H_0,\tilde{F})}$, where by definition

$$\chi_x(\exp X) = \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar}\langle x, X\rangle\right),$$

and by the definition

$$\tilde{\sigma}|_{((G_x)_0,A_x)} = mult\chi_x$$

and

(2) The point σ_0 is fixed under (G_x, A_x)-action on the dual $\widehat{(H_0, \tilde{F})}$,

(f) ρ is some representation of the complex Lie super-algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ in Hilbert super-space V such that its restriction to the real part $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ is equivalent to the derivative $D\sigma_0$ of the representation σ_0.

THEOREM 4.4. *(L, ρ, σ_0) is a $(\chi_x, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization iff $(\mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma_0)$ is a $(\tilde{\sigma}, x)$-polarization.*

PROOF. If (L, ρ, σ_0) is a $(\chi_x, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization, L is weakly Lagrangian, invariant, integrable tangent super-distribution of the tangent super-bundle $T(\Omega, A_x \setminus A)$. In the notations before we have remarked that we could reconstruct the Lie super-subalgebra p form the distribution L, $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{g}_x)_{\mathbb{C}} \ltimes L_x$. It is easy to verify that we have in this case a $(\tilde{\sigma}, x)$-polarization. Conversely, it is easy to reconstruct a $(\chi_x, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization (L, ρ, σ_0) from some $(\tilde{\sigma}, x)$-polarization $(\mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma_0)$. ☐

With the same reason as in the ordinary Lie group case, we can easily prove

COROLLARY 4.8. *Suppose that $(\Omega, C; \omega_\Omega)$ is an admissible (oder integral) orbit of a mechanical system with super-symmetry $(M, B; G, A, \omega)$, (L, ρ, σ_0) a $(\chi_x, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization, where as above $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a representation of (G_x, A_x), the restriction of which to the connected component $((G_x)_0, A_x)$ is a multiple of the character χ_x. Then*

(1) The homogeneous super-space Ω admits the structure of a mixed manifold of type (k, l, m) in the sense of [**D4**],[**D13**].

(2) There exists a unique irreducible unitary representation σ of the super-group (H, F) such that its restriction to the stable super-subgroup (G_x, A_x) is a multiple of the representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ and its derivative is the restriction of the representation ρ to the real part $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}$.

Construction (see also ([**Kos2**], §6.1) for the line super-bundle case). Assume that (L, ρ, σ_0) is a $(\chi_x, \tilde{\sigma})$-polarization of our orbit Ω. From the preceding theorem, we can obtain some representation σ of the polarization (closed) super-subgroup (H, F) in some Hilbert super-space V . Let us denote by τ_G the natural projection $(G, A) \twoheadrightarrow (H \setminus G, F \setminus A)$. Let $U \subseteq H \setminus G$ be an open set and let $V = \tau_G^{-1}(U) \subseteq G$. one has therefore $A(V)^* B(H)^* \subseteq A(V)^*$.

Denote by $A(V, \sigma)$ the super-space of all V-valued super-space $f \in E_V(U)$ such that

$$\langle wv, f \rangle = \sigma(w) \langle v, f \rangle, \forall v \in A(V)^*, w \in B(H)^*.$$

It is easy to see that $(F \setminus A)(U)$ can be embedded into $A(V)$ as a sub-super-space of super-functions such that

$$\langle wv, g \rangle = \langle w, 1_H \rangle \langle v, g \rangle, \forall v \in A(V)^*, w \in B(H)^*.$$

So, the correspondence

$$U \subseteq H \setminus G \mapsto E_V(U) = E_V(\tau_G^{-1}(U), \sigma)$$

is a sheaf on $H \setminus G$. Let $\hat{\sigma}$ be the element of $E_V(\tau_G^{-1}(U))$ such that for any $w \in B(H)^*$,

$$\langle w, \hat{\sigma} \rangle = \sigma(w) t_0,$$

and for a fixed t_0 in $E_V(\tau_G^{-1}(U))$. Because the representation is irreducible, we see that the open U is principal for the sheaf E_V. Thus we have some sheaf.

It is easy to see also that $E_V(G, A, \sigma)$ is a closed sub-super-space of V-valued super-functions on (G, A) and it is stable under the action of our super-group (G, A) on the right. In particular, the elements of the Lie super-algebra g acts via the Hamiltonian super-fields on the right. Hence for each open set U in $H \setminus G$ the super-subspace $E_V(\tau_G^{-1}(U), \sigma, \rho)$ consisting of the sections the covariant derivative of which vanishes along the vector super-fields from $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ for the polarization $\mathfrak{p}$, form a sub-sheaf, which gives us also an invariant closed super-subspace of global sections of our quantum super-bundle $\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma}$. We refer to this invariant super-subspace of global sections as the *induced representation* $\operatorname{Ind}(G, A; \mathfrak{p}, H, \rho, \sigma_0)$.

Corollary 4.9. *The natural representation called* partially invariant holomorphly induced representation *and denoted by* $\operatorname{Ind}(G, A; L, x, \rho, \sigma_0)$ *of the Lie super-group* (G, A) *in the super-space of so called* partially invariant and partially holomorphic sections *of the induced super-bundle* $\mathcal{E}_{V,\rho,\sigma_0}$ *is equivalent to the natural right regular representation by right translations on the super-space* $A(G, A; L, x, \rho, \sigma_0)$ *of smooth super-functions on* G *with values in* V *such that*

$$\langle wv, f \rangle = \sigma(w) \langle v, f \rangle, \forall w \in B(H)^*, \forall v \in A(V)^*,$$

$$\nabla_{\xi_X} f \equiv 0, \forall X \in \overline{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where by definition,

$$\nabla_{\xi_X} = \theta(\xi_X) + \langle X, \rho \rangle.$$

Finally by the same computation as in the ordinary Lie group case, we can compute the Lie derivative of the introduced partially invariant and holomorphly induced representation.

Theorem 4.5. *The Lie derivative of the partially invariant and holomorphly induced representation* $\operatorname{Ind}(G, A; \mathfrak{p}, (H, F), \rho, \sigma_0)$ *is equivalent to the Lie super-algebra representation*

$$X \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\hbar} \hat{f}_X$$

of the Lie super-algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie}(G, A)$ *via the multidimensional quantization procedure.*

5. Bibliographical Remarks

The main ideas of these reductions, modifications and super-version were due to the author of this book. The author posed problems for former Ph.D. students Tran Vui and Tran Dao Dong. Tran Vui has completed and presented there Dissertations in 1994 and Tran Dao Dong - in 1995. In a revised version, this chapter reproduces the works [**DV**], [**Do1**], [**Do2**], [**Vui1**]-[**Vui3**] and [**D22**].

CHAPTER 9

Index of Type I C*-algebras

As said in the introduction, the problem of description of irreducible unitary representations of groups is a preliminary step for using the general Gel'fand transform to study the structure of $L^1(G)$ and $C^*(G)$. In this chapter we introduce a general construction of indices of type I C*-algebras. we shall prove that if π is an irreducible *-representation of a C*-algebra A of type I then an element $a \in A$ is transformed under π into a compact operator if and only if it belongs into the intersection of kernels of the irreducible unitary representations $\pi' \neq \pi$ of A, which belong to the closure of the single point set $\{\pi\}$. This result give us a possibility to construct canonical composition series with CCR quotients, by which we shall find out a complete system of invariants of C*-algebras of type I. At the last section we shall show some concrete applications to group C*-algebras.

1. Compact Type Ideals in Type I C*-Algebras

Let A to be a C*-algebra of type I with identity element, we shall add it formally if the algebra has no one, $\hat{A}$ its dual object with the usual Jacobson Dixmier-Glimme-Sakai topology, see A. Kirillov[**Ki**], J. Dixmier[**Di**]. For simplicity we shall identify the equivalence classes of irreducible *-representations with their representatives.

A C*-algebra A is of type I if and only if the image of A under any non-degenerate irreducible *-representations contains the ideal $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ of compact operators in the separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\pi$, in which the representation is realized.

Definition 1.1. The preimage

$$\mathcal{K}_\pi = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{K}\mathcal{H})$$

of the ideal $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ in A is called an *ideal of compact type*, associated with the representation π.

From this definition, we can deduce directly that the compact type ideals are always closed and two-sided in A, see J. Dixmier[**Di**].

If the representation π is not of CCR, following the definition, the ideal $\mathcal{K}_\pi$ can not coincided with the whole C*-algebra A. In other side, the C*-algebra A is of type I iff $\mathcal{K}_\pi \neq 0$. Hence, we have an proper ideal. One pose a natural question is how to describe these ideals in the general case.

We recall a well-known result.

THEOREM 1.1 (**Rosenberg** [**Ros**]**- J. M. G. Fell** [**Fe1**]). *Irreducible *-representation* π *of C*-algebra of type I* A *is CCR if and only if the one-point set* $\{\pi\}$ *is closed in the topology of the dual object* $\hat{A}$ *cur a* A.

For a subset X in the dual object $\hat{A}$ of a C*-algebra A, we denote by $\bar{X}$ the closure of X.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let* a *to be an element of* A, π *an irreducible non-degenerate *-representation of* A. *Then the operator* $\pi(a)$ *id a compact operator if and only if* $\pi'(a) = 0$ *for all* $\pi' \in \{\pi\}$ *and* $\pi' \neq \pi$.

PROOF. As remarked above, $\mathcal{K}_\pi$ is a two-sided ideal of a type I, or in other words, GCR C*-algebra A. The restriction of π on $\mathcal{K}_\pi$ is a nontrivial *-representation, because $\pi(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Following J. DIXMIER([**Di**], §3.2.1), $\pi|_{\mathcal{K}} \in \hat{\mathcal{K}}$ is an irreducible CCR *-representation of $\mathcal{K}$. Following the theorem of A. ROSENBERG - J. M. G. FELL, the one-point set $\{\pi\}$ is closed in the topology of $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$, which is a closed set in $\hat{A}$.

Assume that $\pi' \neq \pi$ belongs to the closure $\overline{\{\pi\}}$ of the one-point set $\{\pi\}$ in the topology of $\hat{A}$. Following J. DIXMIER([**Di**],Prop.3.2.1), if $\pi'|_{\mathcal{K}} \neq 0$, then $\pi' \in \hat{\mathcal{K}}$ and π' is a weak limit of π. This is impossible, as said above . Thus, we have $\pi'|_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$. In other words, if $\pi(a)$ is a compact operator, i.e. $\pi(a) \in \mathcal{K}$, then $\pi'(a) = 0$ for all $\pi' \in \overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\pi'(a) = 0$ for all $\pi' \in \overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\}$. We must prove that $\pi(a)$ is a compact operator.

Suppose that

$$B = \bigcap_{\substack{\pi' \in \overline{\{\pi\}} \\ \pi' \neq \pi}} \operatorname{Ker} \pi'.$$

Then B is an two-sided closed ideal in A. Thus, the dual object $\hat{B}$ of B is included in the dual object $\hat{A}$ of A as an open subset. More exactly,

$$\hat{B} = \hat{A} \setminus \overline{(\overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\})}.$$

Really, $\tilde{\pi} \in \overline{\overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\}}$ means that

$$\operatorname{Ker} \tilde{\pi} \supseteq \bigcap_{\pi' \in \overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\}} \operatorname{Ker} \pi'.$$

Hence, $\tilde{\pi}|_B \equiv 0, \forall \tilde{\pi} \in \overline{\overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\}}$. In other words, we have

$$B = \bigcap_{\pi' \in \overline{\overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\}}} \operatorname{Ker} \pi'.$$

Following J. Dixmier([**Di**],§3.2.2), we have

$$\hat{B} = \hat{A} \setminus \overline{\overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\}}.$$

If $\pi|_B = 0$, then nothing is need to check. If $\pi|_B \neq 0$, we have $\pi \in \hat{B}$, as above. Because $\hat{B}$ is dense in $\hat{A}$, and following the definition of B, we conclude that $\{\pi\}$ is closed in $\hat{B}$. This means that π is a CCR *-representation of A, in other words, if $pi'(a) = 0$ with every $\pi' \in \overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\}$, we have $\pi(a) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. ☐

Corollary 1.1. *The compact type ideal $\mathcal{K}_\pi$ can be described as*

$$\mathcal{K}_\pi = \{a \in A \quad ; \quad \pi'(a) = 0, \forall \pi' \in \overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\}\}.$$

Remark 1.1. We have therefore a solution of our problem of description of compact type ideal. We also remark that the set $\{\pi\}$ is closed if and only if $\overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\}$ is an empty set. Therefore, our theorem contain the Theorem of A. Rosenberg - J. M. G. Fell as a particular case.

2. Canonical Composition Series

We construct now canonical composition series for each "good" C*-algebra, which satisfy the following definition.

Definition 2.1. We say that element $\pi \in \hat{A}$ is a *boundary point of degree 0* , if it belongs to the closure of no other point of $\hat{A}$. We denote by X_0 the set of all boundary points of degree 0. The point $\pi \in \hat{A} \setminus X_0$ is said to have *boundary degree 1*, if it belongs to the closure of no other point of $\hat{A} \setminus X_0$ with the induced topology. We denote by X_1 the set of all points of boundary degree 1. The point $\pi \in \hat{A}$ is said to have boundary degree 2, if it belongs to the closure of no other point of $\hat{A} \setminus X_0 \cup X_1$ with induced topology, etc....

We continue this procedure until infinity, if $\hat{A} \setminus (X_0 \cup X_1 \cup ...)$ is not empty.

Suppose that ρ is the first transfinite cardinal number and we suppose that for all preceded cardinal, $\tilde{\rho} \leq \rho$, the sets $X_{\tilde{\rho}}$ were already constructed. We pose $X_\rho = \varnothing$ and consider the set $\hat{A} \setminus \bigcup_{\tilde{\rho} \leq \rho} X_{\tilde{\rho}}$ with the induced topology.

If this set is nonempty, we continue this procedure,.... We obtain thus a sequence of sets $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_\alpha$, where α is a fixed cardinal number

$$\hat{A} = \bigcup_{\rho \leq \alpha} X_\rho.$$

We say that a C*-algebra has *boundary property* iff $X_{\tilde{\rho}}$ is a nonempty open set in $\hat{A} \setminus \bigcup_{\rho' < \tilde{\rho}} X_{\rho'}$ in every case when $\tilde{\rho}$ is a non-limit cardinal, $0 \leq \tilde{\rho} < \alpha$ and

$$\hat{A} \setminus \bigcup_{\rho' < \tilde{\rho}} X_{\rho'} \neq \varnothing.$$

We see that the set of GCR C*-algebras with boundary property is rather wide. The most of C*-algebras $C^*(G)$ of locally compact groups in our works and in other works of J. ROSENBERG have this property. We pose

$$\mathcal{E}_\rho := \bigcap_{\pi \in A_\rho} \operatorname{Ker} \pi,$$

where

$$A_\rho := \hat{A} \setminus \bigcap_{\rho' < \rho} X_{\rho'}, \text{ with} \rho > 0, \text{ and } A_0 := \hat{A}.$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose that A is a GCR C*-algebra with boundary property. Then:*

a) *All $\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ are two-sided closed ideals.*

b) *For each cardinal ρ, $0 \leq \rho < \alpha$,*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}_\rho} = \bigcap_{\tilde{\rho} < \rho} X_{\tilde{\rho}}.$$

c) *We have a tower of ideals*

$$\{0\} \subset \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_\alpha = A.$$

d) *For each cardinal ρ, $0 \leq \rho < \alpha$, the quotient C*-algebra $\mathcal{E}_{\rho+1}/\mathcal{E}_\rho$ is CCR.*

Hence for each C-algebra A with boundary property, we have a canonical composition series.*

Before to prove the theorem, we prove the following preliminary lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let T be a topological space, U an open subset of T, $F = T \setminus U$, O is an open set in the induced topology of F. Then, $U \coprod O$ is an open set in T.*

Proof. Really, let x to be an arbitrary point in $U\coprod O$. Then either $x \in U$, or $x \in O$. In the first case, there exists a neighborhood U_1 of x in the topology of T, such that $U_1 \subseteq U \subseteq U\coprod O$. In the second case, there exists a neighborhood U_1 of x in the induced topology of F, such that $O_1 \subseteq O \subseteq U\coprod O$. Following definition of induced topology, there exists an open V in T, such that $V \cap F = O_1$. Then, $V = V \cap T = (V \cap U)\coprod(V \cap F) = (V \cap U)\coprod O_1 \subseteq U\coprod O$. Thus, in any case, we can always choose an open neighborhood of x in $U\coprod O$. This means that $U\coprod O$ is open in T. ☐

Corollary 2.1. *Let A to be a GCR C*-algebra with boundary property. Then each set $A_\rho = \hat{A} \setminus \bigcup_{\rho'<\rho} X'_\rho$ is closed.*

Proof. Really, because A is a GCR C*-algebra with boundary property, the sets X_ρ , $0 \le \rho < \alpha$ are nonempty open sets, if ρ is not a limit cardinal number. Thus, $A_1 = \hat{A} \setminus X_0$ is closed. Because X_1 is open in A_1, following the above lemma, $X_0 \cup X_1$ is open in $\hat{A}$, and $A_2 = \hat{A} \setminus X_0 \cup X_1$ is closed, etc....

For each finite number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n$ is open in $\hat{A}$. For the first limit cardinals ω,

$$\bigcup_{\rho<\omega} X_\rho = X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n \cup \dots$$

is open in A, then

$$A_\omega = \hat{A} \setminus \bigcup_{\rho<\omega} X_\rho$$

is closed. Continue the procedure infinitely time,we see that all the sets A_ρ are closed in $\hat{A}$. ☐

Finally, we return to Proof of the theorem.

(a) Because A is a GCR C*-algebra with boundary property then each set X_ρ is open in the closed set

$$A_\rho = \bigcup_{\tilde{\rho}<\rho} X_{\tilde{\rho}}.$$

Following the corollary, all the set A_ρ are closed. Thus, following definition, all the set

$$\mathcal{E}_\rho = \bigcap_{\pi \in A_\rho} \operatorname{Ker} \pi$$

are closed two-sided ideals and $\mathcal{E}_0 = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{E}_\alpha = A$.

(b) Because $\cup_{\tilde{\rho}} X_{\tilde{\rho}}$ is open in $\hat{A}$, we have naturally

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_\rho = \bigcup_{\tilde{\rho}<\rho} X_{\tilde{\rho}}$$

(c) Because $A_0 = A$,

$$A_\rho = \hat{A} \setminus \bigcup_{\tilde{\rho}<\rho} X_{\tilde{\rho}},$$

we have a tower of inclusions

$$A_0 = \hat{A} \supseteq A_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq A_\alpha = \varnothing.$$

(d) Following definition, we have

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\rho+1}/\mathcal{E}_\rho}) = \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\rho+1} \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\rho = X_\rho.$$

Finally, we need only to show that each irreducible *-representation $\pi \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\rho+1}/\mathcal{E}_\rho$ is CCR. Indeed,

$$\pi \in (\widehat{\mathcal{E}_{\rho+1}/\mathcal{E}_\rho}) = X_\rho \subseteq A_\rho = X_\rho \coprod A_{\rho+1}$$

and moreover

$$\overline{\{\pi\}} \setminus \{\pi\} \subseteq A_{\rho+1}.$$

Because

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rho+1} = \bigcap_{\pi'\in A_{\rho+1}} \operatorname{Ker} \pi',$$

$\{\pi\}$ is closed in the induced topology of X_ρ. Following the theorem of compact type ideals, the representation π is CCR.

3. Index of type I C*-Algebras

We construct now the canonical composition representation for GCR C*-algebras with boundary property.

Let α to be a fixed cardinal number, $C_1, C_2, \dots C_\alpha$ a system of C*-algebras such that $C_\rho = \{0\}$, if ρ is a limit cardinal number. We denote $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}}_0(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_\alpha)$ the set of all increasing towers of C*-algebras of type I

$$\{0\} \subset E_) \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_\alpha = A,$$

such that

a) all the C*-algebras E_ρ are closed two-sided ideals in A,
b) if ρ is a limit cardinal, E_ρ coincided with the closure of the ideal $\bigcup_{\tilde{\rho}<\rho} E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ in A,
c) for each non-limit cardinal number $E_{\rho+1}/E_\rho \cong C_{\rho+1}, 0 \leq \rho < \alpha$.

In the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}}_0(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_\alpha)$ we define an equivalence relation.

Definition 3.1. Two towers of ideals α

$$(\mathfrak{A}) \qquad \{0\} \subset E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_\alpha = A$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{B}) \qquad \{0\}F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_\alpha = B$$

are called *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism $\theta : A \to B$ inducing *-isomorphisms between the corresponding ideals

$$\theta_i = \theta|_{E_i} \ : \ E_i \to F_i.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}_0(C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_\alpha)$ the set of all equivalent classes of towers of ideals in C*-algebras of type I. The main problem is how to find out the invariants characterizing the equivalence classes from $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}_0(C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_\alpha)$.

First we recall a little bits about R. C. BUSBY invariant [**Bu**]. Let D to be an arbitrary C*-algebra, the pair (T', T'') of two maps

$$T', T'' : D \longrightarrow D$$

satisfying the properties

$$xT'(y) \equiv T''(x)y, \forall x, y \in D$$

is called a *double multiplier*. We denote by $M(D)$ the set of all double multipliers of D. Equip to $M(D)$ natural operations and the norm of operators, $M(D)$ become a C*-algebra, called the *multiplier C*-algebra*. Remark that $M(D)$ contains D as an ideal and $M(D)$ is the smallest C*-algebra, which contains D as a proper closed ideal. We denote $O(D) = M(D)/D$ the quotient C*-algebra and denote $\mathrm{Hom}_0(C, O(D))$ the set of all *-homomorphism from a C*-algebra C to $O(D)$.

In the set $\mathrm{Hom}_0(C, O(D))$, we introduce an equivalence relation. Two elements γ_1, γ_2 of $\mathrm{Hom}(C, O(D))$ are called *equivalent* if there exists *-isomorphisms $\omega : D \to D$ and $\sigma : C \to C$ such that

$$\gamma_2 = \hat{\omega}\gamma_1\sigma^{-1},$$

where following definition, $\hat{\omega} : O(D) \to O(D)$ is *-isomorphism, associated with ω. We denote by $\mathrm{Hom}(C, O(D))$ the set of all these equivalence classes.

Assume $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}_0(C, O(D))$ is a fixed *-homomorphism. We consider the fibered product

$$E_\gamma := \{(m, c) \in M(D) \times C \ : \ \pi(m) = \gamma(c)\},$$

where π is the canonical projection from $M(D)$ onto the quotient C*-algebra $O(D) = M(D)/D$. Following R. C. BUSBY[**Bu**], E_γ is a C*-algebra. Assume $\mu : D \hookrightarrow M(D)$ is the natural inclusion. We denote E^0 the "universal" extension

$$E^0 \qquad 0 \longrightarrow D \xrightarrow{\mu} M(D) \xrightarrow{\pi} O(D) \longrightarrow 0$$

We can complete the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & C \arrow[d, "\gamma"] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & D \arrow[r, "\mu"] & M(D) \arrow[r, "\pi"] & O(D) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

by the homomorphisms $f : D \to E_\gamma$ following the formula $f(d) := (\mu(d), 0), \forall d \in D$ and $g : E_\gamma \to C$ following the formula $g(m, c) := c, \forall d \in D, m \in M(D)$ in order to have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & D \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "Id"] & E_\gamma \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "pr_1"] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\gamma"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & D \arrow[r, "\mu"] & M(D) \arrow[r, "\pi"] & O(D) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The obtained extension of C*-algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow E_\gamma \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

is denoted by E^0_γ and its equivalence class by $[E^0_\gamma]$. R. C. Busby[**Bu**] has had proved the following result.

Theorem 3.1 (**R. C. Busby**). *The map*

$$[\gamma] \in \mathrm{Hom}(C, O(D)) \mapsto [E^0_\gamma] \in \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(D, C)$$

is a bijection.

We remark that R. C. Busby denoted our set $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(D, C)$ as $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(C, D)$.

We consider now the set $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_\alpha)$ of equivalence classes of towers of ideals of C*-algebras of type

$$\{0\} \subset E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_\alpha = A \tag{$\mathfrak{A}$}$$

We have naturally the extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow E_1 \cong C_1 \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow E_2/E_1 \cong C_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

There exists an element $[\gamma_1] \in \mathrm{Hom}(C_2, O(C_1))$, defining the equivalence class of this extension, i.e. the equivalence class of the tower

$$\{0\} = E_0 \subset E_1 \cong C_1 \subset E_2.$$

Thus up to equivalence, we can replace E_2 by $E^0_{\gamma_1}$.

Then following the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow E^0_{\gamma_1} \cong E_2 \longrightarrow E_3 \longrightarrow E_3/E_2 \cong C_3 \longrightarrow 0.$$

As above, there exists an element $[\gamma_2] \in \mathrm{Hom}(C_3, O(E^0_{\gamma_1}))$, defining the equivalence class of the extension and is defined uniquely the equivalence class of the tower

$$\{0\} = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset E_3,$$

etc....

If ρ is a limit cardinal, then following the conditions of the definition of boundary property.

$$E_\rho = \overline{\bigcup_{\tilde{\rho}<\rho} E_{\tilde{\rho}}}$$

and E_ρ is uniquely defined by the system of invariants $\{[\gamma_{\tilde{\rho}}]\}_{\rho\tilde{<}\rho}$.

Then the equivalence class of the tower of ideals

$$\{0\} = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots E_\rho \subset E_{\rho+1}$$

is uniquely defined by the invariant

$$[\gamma_\rho] \in \operatorname{Hom}(C_{\rho+1}, O(\overline{\bigcup_{\tilde{\rho}<\rho} E^0_{\tilde{\rho}}})).$$

We have proved that: With each increasing tower of ideals of C*-algebras of type

$$(\mathfrak{A}) \qquad \{0\} = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_\alpha = A$$

in the set $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}_0(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_\alpha)$, there exists an unique system of invariants, uniquely defining the equivalence class of the tower $\mathfrak{A}$:

$$\begin{array}{rl}
[\gamma_1] & \in \operatorname{Hom}(C_2, O(C_1)), \\
[\gamma_2] & \in \operatorname{Hom}(C_3, O(E^0_{\gamma_1})), \\
\dots\dots & \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots \\
[\gamma_\rho] & \in \operatorname{Hom}(C_{\rho+1}, O(\overline{\bigcup_{\tilde{\rho}<\rho} E^0_{\gamma_{\tilde{\rho}}}})), \\
\dots\dots & \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots
\end{array}$$

Definition 3.2. Assume that the C*-algebra A can be decomposed into an increasing tower of ideals with length α,

$$(\mathfrak{A}) \qquad \{0\} = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_\alpha = A.$$

Then the system of invariants is called the *index* of C*-algebra A and is denoted by $\operatorname{Ind}_\alpha A$.

We have therefore proved the following results

Theorem 3.2. *The system of invariants* $\operatorname{Ind}_\alpha A = \{[\gamma_\rho]\}_{0\leq\rho<\alpha}$ *uniquely defines,up to equivalence the structure of GCR C*-algebra* A*, if it admits a composition series of ideals of type* $\mathfrak{A}$.

4. Compactness Criteria for Group C*-Algebras

Applying the K-homology to studying the structure of C*-algebras, we introduced the method of topological invariants. In the previous chapter, this method was constructed in most general for the class of C*-algebras of type I with boundary property, including the C*-algebras of groups. In this theory the ideals of compact type plays a central role. We described theses ideals in the language of the topology of dual objects. This topology is just the Zariski topology for primitive ideals and in general is complicate to describe. In this last section of this chapter, we shall describe these ideals in a more geometrical language. For the representations induced from irreducible unitary representations of closed normal subgroups, we describe the compactness by sing the partial Fourier-Gel'fand transforms.

4.1. Compactness Criteria. Let G be a countable at infinity locally compact group, G_1 a closed normal subgroup of G, $X = G_1\backslash G$ the quotient group of G by G_1. Choose representatives of the coset classes from $X = G_1\backslash G$ in such a way that we have a Borel section $s : X \to G$ corresponding to the right invariant Haar measure on G. We have a decomposition $g = bs(a)$, where $b = b(g)$ and $a = a(g)$, for all g in G. As a set, G can be identify with $G_1 \times X$ and the group multiplication la is defined by the formulae

$$(b,a).(b',a') = (b[\alpha(a)b']\beta(a,a'), aa'),$$

where the map $\alpha : X \to \operatorname{Aut} G_1$ is defined by the formula

$$\alpha(a)(b,e) = (e,a)(b,e)(e,a)^{-1},$$

and the map $\beta : X \times X \to G_1$ is defined by the formula c

$$(e,a)(e,a') = (\beta(a,a'), aa').$$

We can therefore choose the right (quasi-)invariant Haar measure dg, dg_1, dx on G, G_1, X, resp. such that

$$d_r g := dg = dg_1 dx, \quad d_l g = (\Delta_G/\Delta_{G_1})(g_1) d_l g_1 d_l x,$$

if $g = g_1.s(x)$. Then

$$d(xg)/dx = (\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)(h),$$

if

$$gs(x)g = hs(x).$$

Let $T = \operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^G \xi$ be an irreducible unitary representation of G, induced in th sense of G. Mackey from a CCR presentation ξ of a closed normal subgroup G_1. Then it is realized in the Hilbert space $L^2(X,dx)$ of square-integrable functions with values in the space of the representation ξ, following the formula

$$T(b,a)f(x) = (\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{\frac{1}{2}}(h)\xi(h)f(xa),$$

where $h = [\alpha(x)b]\beta(a,x)$ and Δ_{G_1} and Δ_G are the modular functions of Haar measures on G_1 and G, respectively.

We denote by $\overline{S}$ the closure of the set S in the topology of the dual $\hat{G}$ of group G. We shall use the same letters to denote representations and their corresponding equivalence classes of C*-algebras. For example, we use notation

$$T(\varphi) = \int_X \int_{G_1} T(b,a)\varphi(b,a)dbda,$$

for every $\varphi \in L^1(G)$.

We define the partial Fourier - Gel'fand transform in variable b of functions $\varphi(b,a)$ from $L^1(G, dbda)$, by the formula

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x,a) = \int_{G_1} (\xi.(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\alpha(x)b)\varphi(b,a)db.$$

When x runs over the set X, the representations $\alpha^*(x)\xi$ run over an orbit $O = \alpha^*(X)\xi$ in the dual object $\hat{G}_1$ of G_1, where

$$(\alpha^*(x)\xi)(b) := \xi(\alpha(x)b).$$

It is easy to see that the functions $\|\tilde{\varphi}(.,a)\|$ and

$$\| \int \tilde{\varphi}(.,a)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\beta(.,a))R(a)da\|$$

, where $R(.)$ is the regular representation of the group X, are lower semi-continuous when the quasi-orbit O is separable at infinity and they vanish at this infinity of the quasi-orbit O.

When $\alpha^*(x)\xi$ tend to the boundary of the quasi-orbit O or to the infinity of the quasi-orbit O, we have the restriction on $\partial O \cup \infty$, which we shall denote by $\tilde{\varphi}(.,a)|_{\partial O \cup \infty}$, etc....

Let $\zeta \in \partial O$ and $\omega = G.\omega$ be the quasi-orbit of ζ, τ an irreducible representation of the stabilizer G_ζ, under the action of G, the restriction of which onto G_1 is a multiple of ζ. We denote $T^{\omega,\tau} = \mathrm{Ind}_{G_\zeta}^G(\tau)$, which is irreducible following the Mackey theory of small subgroups.

We suppose that the quasi-orbit O is separable. We denote by Tr the trace function. In particular, we have

$$tr\tilde{\varphi}(x,a) = tr \int (\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha(x)b)\varphi(b,a)db.$$

THEOREM 4.1. *For every $\varphi \in L^1(G)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *$T(\varphi)$ is a compact operator.*

1. *(2)*

$$S(\varphi) = 0, \forall S \in \overline{T} \ and S \neq T.$$

2. *(3)*

$$T^{\omega,\tau}(\varphi) = 0, \ for\ every\ quasi\text{-}orbit\ \omega \subseteq \partial O,$$

   and for every representation τ the restriction of which onto G_1 is a multiple of ζ, $\tau|_{G_1} = \operatorname{mult}\zeta$.
3. *(4)* $\operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^{G_\zeta}(\varphi) = 0, \forall \zeta \in \partial O$.
4. *(5)* $\tilde{\varphi}(.,a)|_{\partial O} = 0,$ *almost everywhere w.r.t.a.*
5. *(6)* $\int_X \tilde{\varphi}(.,a)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\beta(.,a))R(a)da|_{\partial O} = 0.$
6. *(7) tr* $\int_X \tilde{\varphi}(.,a)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\beta(.,a))R(a)da|_{\partial O} = 0,$ *if the last trace exists and φ is a positive function in $L^1(G)$.*

COROLLARY 4.1. *The compactness criteria are independent from the functions $\alpha(.)$ and $\beta(.,.)$*

PROOF OF THE THEOREM (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2) was proved in the previous chapter.

(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3) can b proved directly by using the Mackey theory of representations induced from small subgroups and the results of J. M. G. FELL[46] on continuity of the induction functor: we denote th property "weak containment" by "$\in$". If $\zeta \in \partial O$, ζ is weak contained in $\overline{\{\xi\}}$, and thus $\operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^G \zeta$ is weak contained in $\operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^G \xi$. Because $\tau|_{G_1} = \operatorname{mult}\zeta$, we have τ weak contain in $\operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^{G_\zeta} \zeta$ and $T^{\omega,\tau} = \operatorname{Ind}_{G_\zeta}^G \tau \in \operatorname{Ind}_{G_\zeta}^G \operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^{G_\zeta} \zeta = \operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^G \zeta$. From this, we have

$$T^{\omega,\tau} \in \operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^G \zeta \in \overline{\operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^G \xi} = \overline{\{T\}}.$$

(3) $\Longrightarrow$ (4). This assertion is clear,because $\operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^{G_\zeta} \zeta|_{G_1} = \operatorname{mult}\zeta$. Hence,

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^{G_\zeta} \zeta = \int^{\oplus} \tau d\mu(\tau),$$

where the direct integral is taken over the set of all irreducible unitary representations of G_ζ the restriction of which onto G_1 is a multiple of ζ, and $d\mu(\tau)$ the fixed measure on it, and finally,

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{G_1}^G \zeta = \int^{\oplus} T^{\omega,\tau} d\mu(\tau).$$

(4) $\Longrightarrow$ (5) is proved from the well-known properties of regular representations. In particular, there exists an approximative unity

$$\|\varphi f_n - \varphi\| \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0,$$

where $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of Dirac δ-like functions.

(5) $\Longrightarrow$ (6) is clear.

(6) $\Leftrightarrow$ (7) is proved easily that: For positive operators, the trace is vanishing iff nd only the operator is zero.

(6) $\Longrightarrow$ (1) can be proved with the help of two lemmas what follow.

Lemma 4.1 (**Particular case**). *Assume* $\varphi(b,a) = \chi(b)\psi(a)$, *where* $\chi \in L^1(G_1)$, $\tilde{\xi}|_{\partial O} = 0$; $\tilde{\xi}(x) := \int_{G_1} \xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha(x)b)\chi(b)db$, $\psi \in L^1(X)$ *and* $\|\psi\|_{L^1} \neq 0$. *Then* $T(\phi)$ *is a compact operator.*

Proof. Because X is countable at infinity, we can choose a sequence of included one-in-another compact

$$K_n \Subset K_{n+1} \Subset \cdots \Subset O,$$

such that $\cup_n K_n = O$ and continuous functions $theta_n$ such that $0 \leq \theta_n(x) \leq 1$ and

$$\theta_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in K_n \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin K_{n+1} \end{cases}$$

Because $\tilde{\chi}(x)$ is continuous in x, and in virtue of hypothesis,

$$\tilde{\chi}|_{\partial O} = 0,$$

the operator $T(\varphi)$ can be approximate by a sequence of operators A_n,

$$A_n f(x) := \theta_n(x)\tilde{\chi}(x)\int_X f(xa)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{1/2}(\beta(x,a))\psi(a)da,$$

which are *compact.*

Indeed, we have

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(\varphi) - A_n\| &= \|(1-\theta_n)\tilde{\chi}\textstyle\int_X R(a)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{1/2}(\beta(x,a))\psi(a)da \\ &\leq \|(1-\theta_n)\tilde{\chi}\|.\|\textstyle\int_X R(a)(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{1/2}(\beta(.,a)) \\ &\psi(a)da\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $(1-\theta_n)\tilde{\chi}$ is the operator of multiplication by a function.

Because $\tilde{\chi}|_{\partial O} = 0$ and $(1-\theta_n)\tilde{\chi}|_{\partial O} = 0$, we can choose n big enough that

$$\|(1-\theta_n)\tilde{\chi}\|.\|\int_X R(a)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{1/2}(\beta(.,a))\psi(a)da\|$$

is small enough.

(b) Operator A_n has an operator-valued kernel $K_n(x,a)$,

$$A_n f(x) = \int_X K_n(x,t) f(t) dt,$$

with

$$K_n(x,a) = \theta_n(x)\tilde{\chi}(x)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{1/2}(\beta(x,a))\psi(x^{-1}a)\Delta_X(x),$$

where Δ_X is the modular function of the Haar measure on X. Because the integral

$$\int_X dx \int_X \|K_n(x,a)\| da$$

converges absolutely, following Fubini Theorem, the operators A_n are all compact ones.

The lemma is therefore proved. □

Lemma 4.2 (**General case**). *Let φ be an element of $L^1(G)$ such that $\varphi(.,a)|_{\partial O} = 0$, Then the operator $T(\varphi)$ is compact.*

Proof. Because $\varphi \in L^1(G_1 \times X)$, we can construct an approximative sequence

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{L^1} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0,$$

where

$$\varphi_n(b,a) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \chi_k(b)\psi_k(a)$$

and $\chi_k \in L^1(G_1)$, $\psi_k \in L^1(X)$, $\|\psi_k\|_{L^1} \neq 0$. Because

$$\int_X \tilde{\varphi}(.,a)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{1/2}(\beta(.,a))R(a)da|_{\partial O\cup\infty} = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \tilde{\chi}_k(.) \int_X \psi_k(a)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{1/2}(\beta(.,a))R(a)da\|_{\partial O\cup\infty} \\ = \| \textstyle\int_X \tilde{\varphi}_n(.,a)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{1/2}(\beta(.,a))R(a)da - \\ - \textstyle\int_X \tilde{\varphi}(.,a)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{1/2}(\beta(.,a))R(a)da\|_{\partial O\cup\infty} \\ \leq \textstyle\int_X \int_{G_1} |\varphi_n(b,a) - \varphi(b,a)| dbda.\end{aligned}$$

□

Following the previous Lemma, $T(\varphi_n)$ can be approximated by a sequence of operators A_{nm},

$$A_{nm} f(x) = \theta_m(x) \int_X \tilde{\varphi}(x,a)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{1/2})(\beta(x,a)) f(xa) da,$$

such that

$$\|T(\varphi_n) - A_{nm}\| =$$

$$\|(1-\theta_m)(.)\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{N_m}\tilde{\chi}(.)\int_X \psi_k(a)(\xi(\Delta_{G_1}/\Delta_G)^{1/2})(\beta(.,a))R(a)da\| \xrightarrow[\substack{n\to\infty\\ m\to\infty}]{} 0.$$

We have hence,

$$\|T(\varphi)-A_{nm}\| \leq \|T(\varphi)-T(\varphi_n)\| + \|T(\varphi_n)-A_{nm}\| \xrightarrow[\substack{n\to\infty\\ m\to\infty}]{} 0.$$

Following the previous lemma, all the operators A_{nm} are compact operators. Thus the operator $T(\varphi)$ is a compact operator and the proof of the theorem is achieved.

5. Application to Lie Group Representations

We apply now the compactness criteria to description of compact type ideals, associated with the representations, obtained from the multidimensional orbit method.

5.1. The case of solvable Lie groups. For type I connected and simply connected solvable Lie groups, all the irreducible unitary representations can be obtained from the orbit method, see L. AUSLANDER - B. KOSTANT[**AK**].

THEOREM 5.1. *Every irreducible unitary representation of a type I connected and simply connected solvable or nilpotent Lie group can be obtained as a representation, induced from an irreducible unitary representation of a closed normal subgroup. And hence, the compactness criteria are applicable.*

PROOF. Indeed, for type I connected and simply connected solvable or nilpotent Lie groups, every irreducible unitary representation can be obtained from the orbit method, i.e. can be expressed in the form $T = T^{\Omega_F,\chi_F}$ with some F in $\mathfrak{g}^*$:

$$T = T^{\Omega_F,\chi_F} = \operatorname{Ind}(G;\mathfrak{p},H,\rho,\sigma).$$

Following the analysis of the structure of induced representations in the previous chapter,

$$T = \operatorname{Ind}(G,\mathfrak{p},H,\rho,\sigma) \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_A^G \xi,$$

where $\xi = \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2$, $A = M.N$ is a semi-direct product, where A is a normal subgroup in G,

$$\xi_1 = T^{\Omega_f,\chi_f} = \operatorname{Ind}(N;\mathfrak{p}_1,\rho,\chi_f),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_2 &= T^{\Omega_l,\chi_l}\\ &= \operatorname{Ind}(M;\mathfrak{p}_2,\rho,\chi_l)\\ &= (\xi_2)_+\circ\pi\\ &\simeq \operatorname{Ind}(M_+;(\mathfrak{p}_2)_+,\rho_+,\chi_{l_+})\circ\pi\end{aligned}$$

are irreducible unitary representations of the nilpotent Lie groups N, M_+. Thus, $\xi = \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2$ is a CCR representation of the closed normal subgroup $A = M.N$. ☐

5.2. Generic Representations of Reductive Lie Groups. We recall now the detailedR. L. LIPSMANanalysis for irreducible representations of semi-simple and reductive Lie groups. We shall show that all the generic irreducible presentations can be obtained as representations, repeatedly induced from irreducible representation of some appropriate normal subgroups, and therefore the compactness criteria can be repeated applicable on each induction steps, see [**D7**].

Let $(\Phi, \tau) \in \mathcal{B}$ be a Duflo data, i.e. $\Phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is admissible in the sense of Duflo, integral and well-polarizable and τ an irreducible unitary representation of two-fold covering of G_Φ, such that its restriction to G_Φ is a multiple of χ_Φ. We denote the corresponding representation, obtained by using the orbit method, by $\pi(\Phi, \tau)$. Let N be the unipotent radical of G, $\mathfrak{n} = LieN$ is its Lie algebra $\theta = \Phi|_\mathfrak{n}$ is the restriction of Φ onto $\mathfrak{n}$. We denote $G^1 = G_\theta.N$, $\mathfrak{g}^1 = LieG^1$, and $\Phi^1 = \Phi|_{\mathfrak{g}^1}$. It is easy to see that $\Phi^1 \in \mathcal{AP}(G^1)$, and there exists a representation $\tau^1 \in \mathcal{X}^i_{G^1}(\Phi^1)$ uniquely defined by τ such that $\pi(\Phi, \tau) = \mathrm{Ind}^G_{G^1} \pi_{G^1}(\Phi^1, \tau^1)$.

Repeat the procedure with $(\Phi^1, \tau^1) \in \mathcal{B}(G^1)$, suppose that N^1 is the unipotent radical of G^1,..., we have a finite sequence, say r steps,

$$G^r = (G^r)_{\theta^r}.N^r, \quad (\Phi^r, \tau^r) \in \mathcal{B}(G^r).$$

Following the rule of step induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\Phi, \tau) &= \mathrm{Ind}^G_{G^1} \mathrm{Ind}^{G^1}_{G^2} \ldots \mathrm{Ind}^{G^{r-1}}_{G^r} \pi_{G^r}(\Phi^r, \tau^r)\\ &= \mathrm{Ind}^G_{G^r} \pi_{G^r}(\Phi^r, \tau^r).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\gamma = \pi_{G^r}(\theta^r)$ is the representation of nilpotent Lie group, defined by A. KIRILLOV. We choose the reductive factor$S$ following the Levi decomposition of G^r, in $(G^r)_{\theta^r}$. We have a semi-direct product $G^r = S.N^r$. Because the action of S fix θ^r, then γ can be canonically extended into a projective representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ of S in the space of the representation γ. It is well-known that there exists an irreducible unitary representation (can be projective), uniquely defined by ω of S such that

$$\pi_{G^r}(\Phi^r, \tau^r) = (\omega \times \tilde{\gamma}) \times \gamma.$$

Passing to the two-fold-covering, we Cain always consider ω as a unitary representation of S. If $\zeta = \Phi|_{\mathfrak{s}=LieS}$ then there exists a $\nu \in \mathcal{X}_S(\zeta)$, uniquely defined by τ such that $\omega = \pi_S(\xi, \nu)$.

THEOREM 5.2. *Every generic irreducible unitary representation of a reductive or semi-simple Lie group can be obtained as a representation, repeatedly induced from irreducible representations of closed normal subgroups. And hence in each induction step, we can apply the compactness criteria.*

PROOF. From the construction, as analyzed above, it is easy to see that G^i are closed normal subgroup in G^{i-1}.

R. L. LIPSMAN[**Li2**] has had seen that one can restrict to consider only the square-integrable $\pi_S(\xi, \nu)$ In this case $\pi_{G^r}(\Phi^r, \tau^r)$ is CCR, then following the Gel'fand - Piateskij-Schapiro theorem on CCR-property, on each induction step, we can apply the compactness criteria. ☐

6. Bibliographical Remarks

The main idea of this chapter appeared in [**D1**] and [**D2**]. The author started from a concrete example of the affine transformation group and then generalized into the main situation of C*-algebras of type I, which admit some boundary properties, guaranting existence of a canonical composition series. For the group C*-algebras, the author funded out some geometric criteria. This results were published in [**D7**].

CHAPTER 10

Invariant Index of Group C*-Algebras

This chapter is devoted to studying harmonic L^1-analysis on Lie groups. It is more complicate than the problem of studying harmonic L^2-analysis exposed in the previous section. We must consider the so called the Fourier - Gel'fand transformation for functions in place of the well-defined Fourier transformation. We shall see that there are some analytical difficulties which require introducing K-theory in order to find topological invariants for function algebras. Below, we introduce the problem, define the construction of indices of group C^*-algebras and illustrate the construction with examples.

1. The Structure of Group C*-Algebras

Let G be a locally compact group and dg the right- (left-) invariant Haar measure. In the previous section it was shown that the constructed irreducible representations are enough to decompose the (right) regular representation of G in $L^2(G, dg)$ into a sum of the so called discrete series part $\sum^{\oplus}$ and the continuous series part $\int^{\oplus}$, $L^2(G, dg) = \sum^{\oplus} \cdots \oplus \int^{\oplus} \ldots d\mu(.)$. It is more complicate to study the class L^1 function algebra $L^1(G, dg)$ with the well - known convolution product $\varphi * \psi$,

$$(\varphi * \psi)(x) := \int_G \varphi(y)\psi(y^{-1}x)dy$$

and with involution $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$,

$$\varphi^*(x) := \overline{\varphi(x^{-1})} \quad .$$

Remember that with the L^1-norm $\| \, . \, \|_{L^1}$,

$$\| \varphi \|_{L^1} := \int_G |\varphi(x)| dx$$

$L^1(G, dg)$ is a Banach (involutive) algebra. But its norm $\| \, . \, \|_{L^1}$ is not regular ; in general,

$$\| a^* * a \|_{L^1} \leq \| a \|^2_{L^1} \quad .$$

We try to introduce therefore some regular norm. Recall the well - known Fourier - Gel'fand transformation

$$\varphi \in L^1 \mapsto \hat{\varphi}; \hat{\varphi}(\pi) = \pi(\varphi) := \int_G \pi(x)\varphi(x)dx, \forall \pi \in \hat{G}.$$

We define the new C^*-norm $\| \, . \, \|_{C^*(G)}$ by

$$\| \varphi \|_{C^*(G)} := \sup_{\pi \in \hat{G}} \| \pi(\varphi) \|.$$

This norm is *regular* , i.e.

$$\| \varphi^* * \varphi \|_{C^*(G)} = \| \varphi \|^2_{C^*(G)} .$$

The *group C*-algebra* $C^*(G)$ is defined as the completion of $L^1(G, dg)$ with respect to this regular norm $\| \, . \, \|_{C^*(G)}$.

If G is commutative, it returns to the Fourier -Gel'fand transform and $C^*(G) \cong \mathbb{C}(\hat{G})$. With the Plancherèl theorem is related the well - known *Pontrijagin duality* . If G is compact but possibly non-commutative,

$$C^*(G) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

In particular, $C^*(\mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{C}_0(\mathbb{Z})$, what is just the Fourier analysis theory of class L^1 functions. The Plancherèl type theorem on this class is the so called *Tanaka - Krein duality*

The situation is rather complicate in cases, where G is non-compact and noncommutative. Some spectacular results were obtained in 1962 by J. M. G. Fell for $C^*(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and some times later by others for $C^*(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$, $C^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})})$,.... by the same method of analytic description of the (non-commutative) Fourier - Gel'fand transforms.

The question about the structure of the group C*-algebra $C^*(G)$ was open for example for the simplest non-trivial solvable Lie group of affine transformations of the real straight line $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R} := \{g = (a, b) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}; x \mapsto ax + b, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}; a \neq 0; a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

For $G = \mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}$ it was well - known a detailed description of the dual object $\hat{G}$ already in 1945 by I. M. Gel'fand and M. A. Naimark: $\hat{G}$ consists of one infinite dimensional representation T, which is itself dense in the whole $\hat{G}$. All the other representations are the one-dimensional representations (more precisely, the characters) $U^\varepsilon_\lambda, \varepsilon = 0, 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$U^\varepsilon_\lambda(a, b) := (\mathrm{sgn}(a))^\varepsilon . |a|^{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}.$$

The irreducible unitary representation T can be realized in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^*, \frac{dx}{|x|})$, where $\mathbb{R}^* := \mathbb{R} \setminus (0)$, by the formula

$$(T(a, b)f)(x) = \exp{(\sqrt{-1}bx)}f(ax).$$

Now if we consider the connected component of identity

$$G_0 := (\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R})_0 := \{(a, b) \in \mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}; a > 0\},$$

there are two infinite dimensional representations, the closure of them contains one-parameter family $U_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ of one-dimensional representations (more precisely, the characters),

$$U_\lambda(a,b) = a^{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}.$$

These representations $T_\pm, U_\lambda; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ exhaust the dual object $\hat{G}_0$ and are in a one-to-one correspondence with the co-adjoint orbits

$$\mathfrak{g}^*/G = \{\Omega_\pm, b^* \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

However, the structure of the group C*-algebra $C^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R})$ and $C^*((\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R})_0)$ was unknown until 1975, when the BDF-K-functor $\mathcal{E}xt_*$ was used to calculate the topological invariant $IndexC^*(G)$ for these groups. This topological invariant $IndexC^*(G)$ defines the topological equivalence class of $C^*(G)$ up to some isomorphisms. So it was computed that

$$IndexC^*(\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R}) = (1,1) \in \mathcal{E}xt(\mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z},$$

$$IndexC^*((\mathrm{Aff}\,\mathbb{R})_0) = (1,1) \in \mathcal{E}xt(\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}^1), \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \quad .$$

2. Construction of $IndexC^*(G)$

Let us denote by G a connected and simply connected Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}\, G$ its Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ the dual vector space, $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(G)$ the space of all the co-adjoint orbits of G in g*. This space is a disjoint union of subspaces of co-adjoint orbits of fixed dimension, i.e.

$$\mathcal{O} = \amalg_{0 \le 2n \le \dim G} \mathcal{O}_{2n},$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{2n} := \{\Omega \in \mathcal{O}; \dim \Omega = 2n\}.$$

We define

$$V_{2n} := \cup_{\dim \Omega = 2n} \Omega.$$

Then it is easy to see that V_{2n} is the set of points of a fixed rank of the Poisson structure bilinear function

$$\{X, Y\}(F) = \langle F, [X, Y] \rangle,$$

hence it is a foliation, at least for $V_{2n}, 2n = max$.

Proposition 2.1. *The foliation V_{2n} can be obtained by the associated action of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ on V_{2n} via 2n times repeated action of $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Indeed, fixing any basis $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{2n}$ of the tangent space $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F$ of Ω at the point $F \in \Omega$, we can define an action $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \curvearrowright V_{2n}$ as

$$(\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright (\ldots \mathbb{R} \curvearrowright V_{2n})))$$

by

$$(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{2n}) \longmapsto \exp(t_1 X_1) \ldots \exp(t_{2n} X_{2n}) F.$$

Thus we have the Hamiltonian vector fields

$$\xi_k := \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \exp(t_k X_k)F, k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$$

and the linear span

$$F_{2n} = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{2n}\}$$

provides a tangent distribution. The proposition is proved. □

THEOREM 2.1. *(V_{2n}, F_{2n}) is a measurable foliation in the sense of A. Connes.*

PROOF. Let us denote by f_k the generating function of the Hamiltonian vector field ξ_k, i.e.

$$df_k + \imath(\xi_k)\omega_F = 0,$$

where ω_F is the symplectic structure on co-adjoint orbit Ω_F. It is well-known that in every symplectic manifold f_k is uniquely (up to an additive constant) defined by its Hamiltonian vector field ξ_k. We have

$$\begin{aligned} df_1 \wedge df_2 \wedge \dots \wedge df_{2n} &= (-1)^{2n}\imath(\xi_1)\omega_F \wedge \dots \wedge \imath(\xi_{2n})\omega_F \\ &= (\text{Pfaff} \quad \omega_F)^2 \times Volume \;\; element \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved. □

COROLLARY 2.1. *The Connes C^*-algebra $C^*(V_{2n}, F_{2n}), 0 \leq 2n \leq \dim G$ are well defined.*

Now we assume that the orbit method gives us a complete list of irreducible representations of G,

$$\pi_{\Omega_F,\sigma} = \text{Ind}(G, \Omega_F, \sigma, \mathfrak{p}), \sigma \in \mathcal{X}_G(F),$$

the finite set of Duflo's data.

Suppose that

$$\mathcal{O} = \cup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{2n_i}$$

is the decomposition of the orbit space on a stratification of orbits of dimensions $2n_i$, where $n_1 > n_2 \dots > n_k > 0$

We include $C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1})$ into $C^*(G)$. It is well known that the Connes C*-algebra of foliation can be included in the algebra of pseudo-differential operators of degree 0 as an ideal. This algebra of pseudo-differential operators of degree 0 is included in C*(G).

We define

$$J_1 = \bigcap_{\Omega_F \in \mathcal{O}(G)\setminus\mathcal{O}_{2n_1}} \text{Ker}\, \pi_{\Omega_F,\sigma},$$

and

$$A_1 = C^*(G)/J_1.$$

Then

$$C^*(G)/C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}) \cong A_1$$

and we have

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & J_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C^*(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Id"] & A_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}) \arrow[r] & C^*(G) \arrow[r] & C^*(G)/C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence $J_1 \simeq C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1})$ and we have

$$O \to C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}) \to C^*(G) \to A_1 \to 0.$$

Repeating the procedure in replacing

$$C^*(G), C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}), A_1, J_1 \ \textit{by} \ A_1, C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}), A_2, J_2,$$

we have

$$0 \to C^*(V_{2n_2}, F_{2n_2}) \to A_1 \to A_2 \to 0$$

etc....

So it is proved the following result.

THEOREM 2.2. *The group C^*-algebra $C^*(G)$ can be included in a finite sequence of extensions*

$$(\gamma_1): \qquad 0 \to C^*(V_{2n_1}, F_{2n_1}) \to C^*(G) \to A_1 \to 0$$

$$(\gamma_2): \qquad 0 \to C^*(V_{2n_2}, F_{2n_2}) \to A_1 \to A_2 \to 0$$

$$\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots$$

$$(\gamma_k): \qquad 0 \to C^*(V_{2n_k}, F_{2n_k}) \to A_{k-1} \to A_k \to 0$$

where $\widehat{A_k} \simeq \mathrm{Char}(G)$

COROLLARY 2.2. *$IndexC^*(G)$ is reduced to the system $IndexC^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i}), i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ by the invariants*

$$[\gamma_i] \in KK(A_i, C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i})), i = 1, 2, \ldots, k.$$

Ideally, all these invariants $[\gamma_i]$ could be computed step-by-step from $[\gamma_k]$ to $[\gamma_1]$.

3. Reduction of the Indices

Let us consider $C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i})$ for a fixed i. We introduce the following assumptions which were considered by Kasparov in nilpotent cases:

Assumption (A_1) There exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < k \leq 2n_i$ such that

$$V_{gen} := V_{2n_i} \setminus (\operatorname{Lie}\Gamma)^{\perp}$$

has its C*- algebra

$$C^*(V_{gen}, F|_{V_{gen}}) \cong C(\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{gen}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H),$$

where

$$\Gamma := \mathbb{R}^k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n_i} \hookrightarrow G,$$
$$\operatorname{Lie}\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^k \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_{F_i}, (\operatorname{Lie}\Gamma)^{\perp} \subset \mathfrak{g}^* \cap V_{2n_i}.$$

Example 3.1. If V_{gen} is a principal bundle, or the space $\mathcal{O}_{gen} = V_{gen}/G$ is a Hausdorff space, then $C^*(V_{gen}, F|_{V_{gen}}) \simeq C(\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{gen}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)$

It is easy to see that if the condition (A_1) holds ,$C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i})$ is an extension of $C^*(V_{2n_i} \backslash V_{gen}, F_{2n_i}|.)$ by $C(\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{gen}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)$,where $\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{gen} = \{\pi_{\Omega_F,\sigma}; \Omega_F \in \mathcal{O}_{gen}, \sigma \in \mathcal{X}_G(F)$. If $k = 2n_i$, $(\mathbb{R}^{2n_i})^{\perp} = \{O\}$, $V_{2n_i} = V_{gen}$, we have

$$C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i}) \simeq C(\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{2n_i} \otimes \mathcal{K}(H).$$

If $k = k_1 < 2n_i$, then $\mathbb{R}^{2n_i - k_1}$ acts on $V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen}$ and we suppose that a similar assumption (A_2) holds

(A_2) There exists $k_2, 0 < k_2 \leq 2n_i - k_1$ such that

$$(V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen})_{gen} := (V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen}) \setminus (\mathbb{R}^{k_2})^{\perp}$$

has its C*-algebra

$$C^*((V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen})_{gen}, F_{2n_i}|.) \simeq C((\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{gen})_{gen})^{\sim} \otimes \mathcal{K}(H).$$

As above, if $k_2 = 2n_i - k_1$, $C^*(V_{2n_i} \backslash V_{gen}, F_{2n_i}|.) \simeq C((\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{gen})^{\sim}_{gen}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H)$. In other case we repeat the procedure and go to assumption (A_3), etc....

The procedure must be finished after a finite number of steps, say in m-th step,

$$C^*((\dots(V_{2n_i} \backslash V_{gen}) \backslash (V_{2n_i} \backslash V_{gen})_{gen} \backslash \dots, F_{2n_i}|.) \simeq C((\dots(\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{gen}) \backslash \dots)^{\sim}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H).$$

Thus we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *If all the arising assumptions $(A_1), (A_2), \dots$ hold, the C*-algebra $C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i})$ can be included in a finite sequence of extensions*

$$0 \to C(\mathcal{O}^{\sim}_{gen}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(V_{2n_i}, F_{2n_i}) \to C^*(V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen}, F_{2n_i}|.) \to 0$$

$$0 \to C((\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{gen})^{\sim}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(V_{2n_i} \setminus V_{gen}, F_{2n_i}) \to C^*(\dots) \to 0$$

$$\dots\dots\dots$$

$$0 \to C((\dots(\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{gen}) \backslash (\mathcal{O}_{2n_i} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{gen}))_{gen} \dots{}^{\sim}) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to C^*(\dots) \to C^*(\dots) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to 0$$

4. General Remarks on Computation of Indices

We see that the general computation procedure of Index C*(G) is reduced to the case of short exact sequences of type

$$(\gamma): \qquad 0 \to C(Y) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to \mathcal{E} \to C(X) \otimes \mathcal{K}(H) \to 0$$

$$[\gamma] = Index\mathcal{E} \in KK(X, Y).$$

The group $KK_i(X, Y)$ can be mapped onto

$$\oplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}/(2)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^j(X), K^{i+j}(Y))$$

with kernel

$$\oplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}/(2)} \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^j(X), K^{i+j+1}(Y))$$

by the well known cap-product , see [K2]. So $[\gamma] = (\delta_0, \delta_1)$

$$\delta_0 \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^0(X), K^1(Y)) = \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}_0(X) \wedge K^1(Y)$$

$$\delta_1 \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K^1(X), K^0(Y)) = \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}_1(X) \wedge K_0(Y).$$

Suppose $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n \in \pi^1(X)$ to be generators and $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n \in \mathcal{E}$ the corresponding Fredholm operators, $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n$ the Fredholm operators, representing the generators of $K^1(Y) = Index[Y, \mathrm{Fred}]$. We have therefore

$$[\delta_0] = \sum_j c_{ij} \ \ Index T_j, where$$

$$\delta_0 = (c_{ij}) \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\operatorname{rank} K_0(X)\times\operatorname{rank} K^1(Y)}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

In the same way δ_1 can be computed.

5. Bibliographical Remarks

This general ideas are due to the author of this book. It was firstly appeared in [**D29**]; then published in [**D11**].

Bibliography

[A] Atiyah, M. F. *K-theory*, Benjamin, New York 1976.

[AK] Auslander, L. and Kostant, B., *Polarizations and unitary representations of solvable Lie groups* , Invent. Math., **14**(1971), No 4, 255-354.

[AS1] Atiyah, M. F. and Singer, I. M., *The index of elliptic operators.I* , Ann. Math., **87**(1968), 484-530.

[AS2] Atiyah, M. F. and Singer, I. M., *The index of elliptic operators.III* , Ann. Math., **87**(1968), 546-604.

[AS3] Atiyah, M. F. and Singer, I. M., *The index of elliptic operators. IV* , Ann. Math., **93**(1971), 119-138.

[AS4] Atiyah, M. F. and Singer, I. M., *The index of elliptic operators.V* , Ann. Math., **93**(1971), 139-149.

[Ba] Bak, A., *K-theory of forms* , Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1981.

[BC] Baum, P. and Connes, A., *leafwise homotopy equivalence and rational Pontrijagin classes* , Preprint IHES, Sept. 1983.

[BCD] Bernat, P., Conze.N., Duflo, M. et coll., *Représentations de groupes de Lie résolubles* , Paris, Dunot, 1972.

[Bla1] Blattner, P., *On induced representations.I* , Amer. J. Math., **83**(1961), No. 1, 79-98.

[Bla2] Blattner, P., *On induced representations.II* , Amer. J. Math., **83**(1961), No. 3, 499-512.

[BDF1] Brown, L. G., Douglas, R. G. and Fillmore, P. A., *Extensions of C*-algebras* , Ann. Math., Ser. 2, **105**(1977), No. 2, 265-324.

[BDF2] Brown, L. G., Douglas, R. G. and Fillmore, P. A., *Extensions of C*-algebras, operators with compact self-commutators and K-homology* , Bull. A.M.S., **79**(1973), No. 5, 973-978.

[BM] Boyer, R. and Martin, R., *The group C*-algebra of the De Sitter group.*

[Bo1] Bouaziz, A., *Sur les representations des groupes de Lie réductifs non connexes* , preprint 1983.

[Bou1] Bourbaki,N., *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, ch. I-III*, Hermann, Paris 1971,1972.

[Bou2] Bourbaki, N., *Variétés différentielles et analytiques* , Hermann, Paris, 1971.

[Br] Brown, L. G., *Extension and the structure of C*-algebras* , Inst. Naz. Alta Matematica, Symp. Math., **20**(1976), 539-566.

[Bu] Busby, R. C., *Double centralizers and extensions of C*-algebras* , Trans. A.M.S., **132**(1968), 79-99.

[C1] Connes, A., *A survey of foliations and operator algebras,* Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **38**(1982), 521–628.

[C2] Connes, A., *C*-algèbres et géometrie différentielle,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série A, **280**(1980), 599–604.

[C3] Connes, A., *An analogue of the Thom isomorphism for crossed products of C*-algebras by an action of* ℝ , Adv. Math., 38(1980), Adv. in Math. **39**(1981), 31-55.

[C4] Connes, A., *Sur la théorie noncommutative de l'integration* , in *Algèbres d'operateurs* , Lecture Notes in Math., No. 725, pp. 19 -43, Springer - Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1979.

[CNS] Corvin, L., Nee'man,Y., and Sternberg, S., *Graded Lie algebras in mathematics and in physics (Bose-Fermi symmetry)* , Rev. Mod. Phys., **47**(1975), 573-604.

[CS] Connes, A. and Skandalis, G., *The longitudinal index theorem for foliations* , Preprint IHES 1982.

[Cu] Cuntz, J., *A survey of some aspects of noncommutative geometry,* Preprint, Math. Inst. Uni Heidelberg, **No 35** (1992).

[CQ1] Cuntz, J. and Quillen, D., *Algebra extensions and nonsingularity,* Preprint, Math. Inst. Uni Heidelberg, **No 34**(1992).

[CQ2] Cuntz, J. and Quillen, D., *Operators on noncommutative differential forms and cyclic homology,* Preprint, Math. Inst. Uni Heidelberg, **No 38**(1992).

[CQ3] Cuntz, J. and Quillen, D., Preprint in preparation, 1993.

[De] Delaroche, C., *Extensions des C*-algèbres,* Bull. Soc. Math. France, **29**(1972).

[D1] Do Ngoc Diep, *The structure of the group C*-algebra of the group of affine transformations of the straight line,* Funkt. Anal. i Priloz., **9** (1975), No 1, 63– 64.

[D2] Do Ngoc Diep, *The structure of C*-algebras of type I,* Vestnik Moskov. Uni., **1978** , No 2, 81 –87.

[D3] Do Ngoc Diep, *Applications of the homological K-functor $\mathcal{E}xt$ to studying the structure of the C*-algebras of some solvable Lie groups,* Ph. D. Thesis, Moskov. Uni. **1977.**

[D4] Do Ngoc Diep, *Quelques aspects topologiques en analyse harmonique,* Preprint IHES/M/83/22; Acta Math. Vietnam., **8** (1983), No 2, 35–131.

[D5] Do Ngoc Diep, *Construction des représentations unitaires par les K-orbites et quantification,* C. R. Acad. Paris, Série A, **291** (1980), 295–298.

[D6] Do Ngoc Diep, *Quantification des systèmes hamiltoniens à l'action plate d'un groupe de Lie,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I, **295** (1982), 345–348.

[D7] Do Ngoc Diep, *Idéaux de type compact associés aux représentations irréductibles induites par des repésentations liminaires de sous-groupes invariants,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I, **294** (1982), 189–192.

[D8] Do Ngoc Diep, *Construction et reduction of the K-theory invariant Index C*(G) of group C*-algebras,* Sonderforschungbereich 343 "Diskrete Strukturen in der Mathematik", Uni Bielefeld, **92-015** (1992), I.1-I.10.

[D9] Do Ngoc Diep, *Discrete series for loop groups I,* Sonderforschungbereich 343 "Diskrete Strukturen in der Mathematik", Uni Bielefeld **92-015** (1992),IV.1-IV.16.

[D10] Do Ngoc Diep, *Multidimensional quantization and Fourier integral operators,* Forschungsgruppe "Nichtkommutative Geometrie und Topologie", Math, Inst. Uni Heidelberg, **No 52** (1992).

[D11] Do Ngoc Diep, *A survey of noncommutative geometry methods for group algebras*, J. of Lie Theory, **3**(1993), 149-176.

[D12] Do Ngoc Diep, *Multidimensional quantization.I The general construction* , Acta Math. Vietnam., **5**(1980), No 2, 42-55.

[D13] Do Ngoc Diep, *Methods of algebraic topology in harmonic analysis* , Announcement of research results (in Vietnamese), Hanoi, 1980.

[D14] Do Ngoc Diep, *Functor of projective limit in Banach categories* , J. Math. (in Vietnamese), **9**(1981) No. 1, 16-20.

[D15] Do Ngoc Diep, *Multidimensional quantization II. The covariant derivation* , Acta Math. Vietnam., **7**(1982), No. 1, 87-93.

[D16] Do Ngoc Diep, *Quantification multidimensionnelle III. Applications : Sur les représentations irréductibles de groupes de difféomorphismes* , Acta Math. Vietnam., **8**(1983), No. 1, 59-72.

[D17] Do Ngoc Diep, *Geometric quantization* , J. Math. (in Vietnamese), **11**(1983), No 3, 1-4.

[Do18] Do Ngoc Diep, *C*-complexes de Fredholm I.* , Preprint IHES/M/83/53 ; Acta Math. Vietnam., **9**(1984), No. 1, 121-130.

[D19] Do ngoc Diep, *C*-complexes de Fredholm II.* , Preprint IHES/M/83/64 ; Acta Math. Vietnam., **9**(1984), No. 2, 193-199.

[D20] Do Ngoc Diep, *The Borel-Serre compactification for Langlands type discrete groups* , Preprint Series, No. 28,Inst. Math. Hanoi, 1986.

[D21] Do Ngoc Diep, *Multidimensional quantization and the generic representations* , Preprint Series, Inst. Math. Hanoi, No. 29, 1986.

[D22] Do Ngoc Diep, *Multidimensional quantization of the Hamiltonian systems with supersymmetry* , Preprint series, Inst. Math. Hanoi, No. 31, 1986.

[D23] Do Ngoc Diep, *Multidimensional quantization and Fourier integral operators* , Preprint, Inst. Math. Hanoi, 1986.

[D24] Do Ngoc Diep, *On the Langlands type discrete groups I. The Borel-Serre compactification* , Acta Math. Vietnam., **12**(1987), No. 1, 41-54.

[D25] Do Ngoc Diep, *Multidimensional quantization IV. The generic representations* , Acta Math. Vietnam., **13**(1988), 67–72.

[D26] Do Ngoc Diep, *Multidimensional quantization V. The mechanical systems with supersymmetry* , Acta Math. Vietnam., **15**(1990), No 1, 11–40.

[Do27] Do Ngoc Diep, *On the Langlands type discrete groups II. The theory of Eisenstein series* , Acta Math. Vietnam., **16**(1991), no 1, 77-90.

[D28] Do Ngoc Diep, *On the Langlands type discrete groups III. The continuous cohomology* , Sonderforschungbereich 343 "Diskrete Strukturen in der Mathematik", **92-015**, Uni Bielefeld.

[D29] Do Ngoc Diep, *Construction and reduction of the K-theory invariant Index C*(G) of group C*-algebras* , Preprint 1992.

[D30] Do Ngoc Diep, *Noncommutative geometry methods for group algebras*, **D. Sci. Dissertation**, Institute of Math., NCST of Vietnam, Hanoi, 1995, 147pp.

[D31] Do Ngoc Diep, *Multidimensional quantization and degenerate principal series*, Vietnam J. of Math., **23**(1995), 127-132.

[D32] Do Ngoc Diep, *Vanishing theorem for representations with regular lowest weight of loop groups*, Forschungsgruppe " Nichtkommutative Geometrie und Topologie", Uni Heidelberg, **75**(1993), 1-21.

[DT] Do Ngoc Diep and Nguyen Van Thu, *Homotopy invariance of entire current periodic cyclic homology*, Vietnam J. of Math. (to appear).

[DVS] Do Ngoc Diep, Ho Huu Viet and Vuong Manh Son, *Sur la structure des C*-algèbres d'une classe de groupes de Lie* Preprint Series, Inst. Math. Hanoi, No. 7(1981) ; Acta math. Vietnam. **8**(1983), No. 2, 90-125.

[Di] Dixmier, J., *Les C*-algèbres et leurs représentations,* Paris, Gauthier-Verlag, 1969.

[Do1] Tran Dao Dong, On globalization over $U(1)$-covering of Zuckermann $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-modules, Tap Chi Toan Hoc (J. of Math.), **19** (1991), No 1, 60-72.

[Do2] Tran Dao Dong, *A reduction of the globalization and* $U(1)$*-covering,* Preprint ICTP, Trieste, Italy, 1993.

[Du1] Duflo, M., *Théorie de Mackey pour les groupes de Lie algébriques* , Acta Math. **149** (1982), 153–213.

[Du2] Duflo, M., *On the trace formula for almost algebraic real Lie groups* , Proc. Conf. "Lie group representations III", Lecture Notes in Math. , No 1077(1984), 101-165.

[Du3] Duflo, M., *Sur les extensions des représentations irréductibles des groupes de Lie nilpotents* , Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., 5(1972), 71-120.

[DV] Tran Dao Dong and Tran Vui, *On the procedure of multidimensional quantization,* Acta Math. Vietnam., **14** (1989), 19–30.

[Em] Emch, G. G., *Algebraic methods in statistical physics and quantum field theory* , Wiley - Interscience, Inc., New York, London, Sydney, Toronto, 1972.

[Ev] Evans, B., *C*-bundles and compact transformation groups,* Memoirs A.M.S., **No 269** (1982).

[Fa] Fack, T., *K-théorie Bivariante de Kasparov* , Séminaire N. Bourbaki, exp. 605, Février, 1983.

[Fe1] Fell, J. M. G., *The structure of algebras of operator fields,* Acta Math., **106** (1961), 233–280.

[Fe2] Fell, J. M. G., *A new prove that nilpotent groups are CCR* , Proc. A.M.S., **13**(1962), 93-99.

[Fe3] Fell, J. M. G., *Weak containment and induced representations of groups I* , Canad. J. Math., **14**(1962), No. 2, 237-268 ; II, Trans. A.M.S., **110**(1964), No. 3, 424-447.

[Ge] Gel'fand, I. M., *On the elliptic equations* , Uspechi Math. Nauk (in Russian), **15(113)**(1960), No. 3,.

[GN] Gel'fand, I. M. and Naimark, M.A., *Unitary representations of the group of affine transformations of the straight line,* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **55** (1947), No 7, 571–574.

[GoR] Gootman, E. C. and Rosenberg, J., *The structure of crossed product C*-algebras : A proof of the generalized Effros-Hahn conjecture* , Invent. Math., **52**(1979), 283-298.

[Gr] GREEN, P., *C*-algebras of transformation groups with smooth orbit space,* Pacific J. Math., **72** (1977), No 1.

[Gu] GUTT, S., *Deformation quantization,* Lectures at the Workshop on Representation Theory of Lie Groups, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy, March 15 - April 2, 1993.

[HW] HILTON, P. AND WILEY, S., *Homology theory* , Cambridge, 1960.

[JT] JENSEN, K. AND THOMSEN, K., *Elements of KK-theory,* Birkhäuse, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1991.

[Ka] KAC, V., *Lie super-algebras* , Adv. Math. **26**(1977), No. 1, 8-96.

[KaS] KAMINKER, J. AND SCHOKET, C., *Steenrod homology and operator algebras* , Bull. A.M.S., **81**(1975), No 2, 431-434.

[Kar1] KAROUBI, M., *K-theory: An introduction,* Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften, **No 226** , Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1978.

[Kar2] KAROUBI, M., *Connections, courbures et classes caractéristiques en K-théorie algébrique* , Canadian Math. Soc. Conf. Proc., **Vol. 2**, part 1, 1982, 19-27.

[Kas1] KASPAROV, G. G., *Topological invariants of elliptic operators I. K-homologies* , Izvestija AN SSSR, Ser, mat., **39**(1975), No. 4, 796-838.

[Kas2] KASPAROV, G. G., *K-functor in the theory of C*-algebra extensions* , Funkt. Anal. Priloz., **13**(1979), No 4, 73-74.

[Kas3] KASPAROV, G. G., *The operator K- functor and extensions of C*-algebras,* Math. USSR Izvestija, **16** (1981), No 3, 513–572.

[Kas4] KASPAROV, G. G., *The operator K-theory and its applications,* Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Soverem. Probl. Math., **27** (1985), 3–31.

[Kas5] KASPAROV, G. G., *K-theory, group C*-algebras and higher signatures* (Konspectus), Parts I, II, Preprints, Chernogolovka, 1981.

[Ki] KIRILLOV, A. A., *Elements of the theory of representations,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.

[KM] KRALJEVIC H. AND MILICIC, D., *The C*-algebra of the universal covering group of* $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$*,* Glasnik Math., Ser. III, **1972** , 35–48.

[KN] KOBAYASHI, S. AND NOMIZU, K., *Foundations of differential geometry* , New York - London, 1963.

[KoNS] KORWIN, L., NE'EMAN, Y. AND STERNBERG, S., *Graded Lie algebras in mathematics and in physics (Bose-Fermi symmetry)* , Rev. Mod. Phys., **47**(1975), 573-604.

[KoS] KOSMAN-SCHWARZBACH, Y., *Dérivée de Lie de morphismes de fibrés* , Publ. Univ. Paris VII, t.3, Géometrie différentielle.

[Kos1] KOSTANT, B., *Quantization and unitary representations, Part I. Prequantization* , Lecture Notes in Modern analysis and applications I, Lecture Notes in Math., No. 170, pp 87-208, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1978.

[Kos2] KOSTANT, B., *Graded manifolds, graded Lie theory and representations* , Lecture Notes in Math., No. 570, pp. 177-306, Springer -Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.

[Li1] LIPSMAN, L., *Group representations* , Lecture Notes in Math., No. 338, Springer - Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1974.

[Li2] Lipsman, L., *Generic representations are induced from square-integrable representations*, Trans. A.M.S., **285**(1984), No. 2, 845-854.

[Mac] Mackey, M. G., *Infinite dimensional Lie group representations*, Coll. Lecture given at Stillwater, Oklahoma, August 29 - September 01, 1961 (Sixty-six Summer Meeting of the AMS).

[Man] Manin, Yu. I., *New dimensions in geometry*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **39**(1984), No 6(240), 47-73.

[Mi1] Milicic, D., *Topological representations of the group C^*-algebra of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$,* Glasnik Math., Ser. III, **1971**,231–246.

[Mi2] Milicic, D., *Algebraic $\mathcal{D}$-modules and representations of semi-simple Lie groups,* Lectures at the Workshop on Representation Theory of Lie Groups, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy, March 15 - April 2, 1993.

[Mis1] Mischenko, A. S., *Homotopy invariants of non-simply connected manifolds I. Rational invariants*, Izvestija AN SSSR (in Russian), **4**(1970), 506-519.

[Mis2] Mischenko, A. S., *Infinite dimensional representations of discrete groups and higher signatures*, Izvestija AN SSSR (in Russian), **8**(1974), 85-111.

[MisF1] Mischenko, A. S. and Fomenko, A. T., *Generalized Liouville method of integration of Hamiltonian systems*, Funkt. Anal. Priloz., **12**(1978), No. 2, 46-56.

[MisF2] Mischenko, A. S. and Fomenko, A. T., *Index of elliptic operators over C^*-algebras*, Izvestija AN SSSR (in Russian), **15**(1980), 87-112.

[MisS] Mischenko, A. S. and Solov'ev, I. P., *On infinite dimensional representations of fundamental groups and formulae of Hizerbruch type*, Dokl. AN SSSR (in Russian), **18**(1977), No. 3, 767-771.

[N1] Nghiem Xuan Hai, *Algèbres de Heisenberg et géométrie symplectique de algèbres de Lie*, Publ. Math. Orsay, No. 78-08, Univ. Paris XI, 1978.

[N2] Nghiem Xuan Hai, *Une variante de la conjecture de Gel'fand - Kirillov et la transformation de Fourier - Plancherèl*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 293(1981), Série I, 381-384.

[N3] Nghiem Xuan Hai, *La tranformation de Fourier - Plancherèl analytique des groupes de Lie I. Algèbres de Weyl et opérateurs différentiels*, Publ. Math. Orsay, No. 81T22, Univ. Paris XI, 1981.

[N4] Nghiem Xuan Hai, *La transformation de Fourier - Plancherèl analytique des groupes de Lie II. Les groupes nilpotents*, Publ. Math. Orsay, No. 81T23, Univ. Paris XI, 1982.

[N5] Nghiem Xuan Hai, *La transformation de Fourier - Plancherèl analytique des groupes de Lie résolubles*, Prépublication, No. 80T39, Univ. Paris XI, 1982.

[Per] Perdrizet, F., *Topologie et trace sur les C^*-algèbres,* Bull. Soc. Math. France, **99** (1971), 193–239.

[Puk] Pukanszky, L., *Unitary representations of solvable Lie groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., Série A, **4**(1971), No 4, 457-608.

[Pus] Puschnigg, M., *Asymptotic cyclic cohomology,* Dissertation, 1993, Univ. Heidelberg.

[RoR] Robinson, P. L. and Rawnsley, J. H., *The metaplectic representation, Mp^c structures and geometric quantization* , Mem. A. M. S., **Vol. 81**, No 410, 1989.

[Ros] Rosenberg, A., *The number of irreducible representations of simple rings with no minimal ideals* , Amer. J. Math., **75**(1953), 523-530.

[Ros1] Rosenberg, J., *The C*-algebras of some real and p-adic solvable groups,* Pacific J. Math., **65** (1976), No 1, 175–192.

[Ros2] Rosenberg, J., *K-theory of group C*-algebras, foliation C*-algebras and crossed products,* Contemp. Math., **1988** , 251–301.

[Ros3] Rosenberg, J., *Homological invariants of extensions of C*-algebras* , Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **Vol. 38**, pp. 35-75, A.M.S., Providence, R.I..

[Ros4] Rosenberg, J., *Realization of square-integrable representations of unimodular Lie groups in L^2-cohomology spaces* , Trans. A.M.S., **261**(1980), No. 1, 1-31.

[Ros5] Rosenberg, J., *C*-algebras, positive scalar curvature and the Novikov conjecture* , Appendix to *M. Gromov and H. B. Lawson, Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operators on complete Riemannian manifolds* ,.

[RosS] Rosenberg, J.and Schochet, C., *The classification of extensions of C*-algebras,* Bull. A.M.S., New series, **4** (1981), 105-110.

[Sa] Saito, M., *Sur certains groupes de Lie résolubles,* Sci. Papers of The College of General Education, Univer. of Tokyo, **7**(1957)1-11, 157-168.

[ScW] Schmid, W. and Wolf, J. A.,*Geometric quantization and deri derived functor modules for semi-simple Lie groups* , MSRI Berkeley, California, J. Funct. Anal.,.

[SoV] Vuong Manh Son and Ho Huu Viet, *Sur la structure des C*-algèbres d'une classe de groupes de Lie,* J. Operator Theory, **11** (1984),77–90.

[SuW] Sulanke, M. and Wintgen, P., *Differentialgeometrie und Faserbündel,* Berlin. Vel. Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften.

[Tam] Tamura, I., *Topology of foliations*, Mir, Moscow 1979 (Russian translation).

[Tay] Taylor, J. L., *Banach algebras and Topology,* in "Algebra in Analysis", J. William Ed., Acad. Press, New York, 1975.

[Tor] Torpe, A. M., *K-theory for the leaf space of foliations by Reeb components,* J. Funct. Anal., **61** (1985), 15–71.

[Vi1] Ho Huu Viet, *Sur la structure des C*-algèbres d'une classe de groupes de Lie résolubles de dimension 3,* Acta Math. Vietnam., **11** (1986), No 1, 86–91.

[Vi2] Ho Huu Viet, *Application of the sequence of repeated extensions to studying the structure of type I C*-algebras,* Preprint Series, Inst. Math. Hanoi, **No 87.24** (1987), (in Russian).

[Vo] Voiculescu, D., *Remarks on the singular extensions in C*-algebra of the Heisenberg group,* J. Operator Theory.

[Vu1] Le Anh Vu, *The foliation formed by the K-orbits of maximal dimension of the real diamond group* , Tap chi Toan hoc (J. Math.), **15**(1987), No 3, 1-10.

[Vu2] Le Anh Vu, *On the structure of the C*-algebra of foliation formed by the K-orbits of maximal dimension of the real diamond group,* J. Operator Theory, **24** (1990), No 2, 227–238.

[Vu3] Le Anh Vu, *On the foliation formed by the generic K-orbits of the MD4-groups,* Acta Math. Vietnam., **15** (1990), No 2, 35–55.

[Vui1] Tran Vui, *Multidimensional quantization and $U(1)$-covering,* Acta Math. Vietnam., **16** (1991), 103–119.

[Vui2] Tran Vui, *A reduction of the multidimensional quantization and $U(1)$-covering,* Tap Chi Toan Hoc (J. Math.), **19** (1992), 1–12.

[Vui3] Tran Vui, *Geometric construction of unitary representations of Lie groups via multidimensional quantization,* Preprint ICTP, Trieste, Italy, 1993.

[Wan1] Wang, X., *Les C*-algèbres d'une classe de groupes de Lie résolubles,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I, **306** (1988), 765–767.

[Wan2] Wang, X., *On the C*-algebras of a family of solvable Lie groups and foliations,* Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. Maryland, **1985.**

[Wass] Wasserman, A, *Une démonstration de la conjecture de Connes-Kasparov pour les groupes de Lie linéaires convese réductifs,* Preprint IHES, 1983.

[Win] Winkelkemper, H. E., *The graph of foliation*, Preprint

[Wo] Wolf, J. A., *Admissible representations and the geometry of flag manifold,* Lectures at the Workshop on Lie Representation Theory of Lie Groups, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy, March 15 - April 2, 1993; to appear in Proceedings of the A.M.S. Summer Conference "Penrose Transform and Analytic Cohomology".